

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
(Action Item)

Title: 2023–24 Allocations for Dependency Counsel Collections Program and Expected Unspent Program Funding

Date: 1/26/24

Contact: Kelly Meehleib, Supervising Analyst, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts | kelly.meehleib@jud.ca.gov | 916-263-1693

Vida Terry, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children & the Courts | vida.terry@jud.ca.gov | 415-865-7721

Issue

Consider the following two recommendations for redistributions of funding for court-appointed juvenile dependency counsel for fiscal year 2023–24, for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee and then the Judicial Council at its March 15, 2024 business meeting:

- (1) Under the Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program (JDCCP), and as directed in Welfare and Institutions Code section 903.1, courts collect reimbursements from parents and other responsible persons liable for the cost of dependency-related legal services to the extent that those persons are able to pay. Allocate \$349,733, which is the 2023-24 statutorily restricted JDCCP funds remitted in excess of dependency counsel program administrative costs to trial courts according to the methodology adopted by the council at its August 23, 2013 business meeting.
- (2) Reallocate \$970,111 in unspent dependency counsel funding from courts that have identified funds they do not intend to spend to courts funded at below the average statewide funding level pursuant to council action in April 2015.

Background

Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program

At its meeting on October 26, 2012, the Judicial Council adopted the JDCCP guidelines,¹ which fulfilled the council’s legislative mandate to establish a program to collect reimbursement from parents or minors demonstrating an ability to pay.² Additional amendments were adopted by the council at its meeting on August 23, 2013, regarding the issue of equitable allocation of funds remitted through the JDCCP.³ The council then allocated funds remitted through the JDCCP for

¹ The guidelines took effect January 1, 2013, and are published as Appendix F of the California Rules of Court. See www.courts.ca.gov/documents/appendix_f.pdf.

² Judicial Council of Cal., Juvenile Dependency: Counsel Collections Program (Sept. 14, 2012), www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20121026-itemA20.pdf.

³ Judicial Council of Cal., Juvenile Dependency: Counsel Collections Program Guidelines (Aug. 15, 2013),

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
(Action Item)

the first time at its meeting on February 20, 2014.⁴ In subsequent years, the council has allocated available funds to eligible trial courts annually.

In 2022–23, the trial courts remitted a total of \$608,166. The statute requires the Judicial Council to allocate the monies remitted in excess of dependency counsel program administrative costs to the trial courts for use to reduce court-appointed attorney caseloads to the council’s approved standard.

For a court to be eligible to receive an allocation of these funds, it must meet the participation and funding need requirements described in section 14 of the JDCCP guidelines.⁵ Every court that satisfies those requirements receives an allocation. An eligible court’s allocated share of the JDCCP funds is equivalent to its share of the aggregate funding need of all the eligible courts. Estimates of courts’ funding needs are computed using the dependency workload model approved by the council in April 2016⁶, and then updated in July 2016⁷ and July 2022.⁸

Attachment A displays the recommended allocation amount for each court.

Court-Appointed Counsel Funding Reallocation

At its business meeting on April 17, 2015, the council approved a methodology for reallocating funds unspent by courts for court-appointed counsel in dependency cases.⁹

Trial courts that had spending patterns at midyear and indicated that they may not expend their full 2023–24 allocations were identified and contacted. Of those courts, four confirmed that they would not spend the full allocation and provided an estimate of unspent funding. Attachment B shows the total \$970,111 estimate and proposed reallocation. Under the reallocation methodology adopted at the Judicial Council business meeting on April 17, 2015, funds are reallocated

www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20130823-itemF.pdf.

⁴ Judicial Council of Cal., Trial Court Allocations: Criminal Justice Realignment, Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel, and Workers’ Compensation Liabilities (Feb. 10, 2014), www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20140220-itemJ.pdf.

⁵ As described in section 14 of the JDCCP guidelines, a court can demonstrate its participation in the program by submitting annual reports as required by section 13 and adopting a local rule or policy to inquire regarding a responsible person’s ability to reimburse the cost of appointed counsel at each dispositional hearing.

⁶ Judicial Council of Cal., Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Workload and Funding Methodology (Apr. 1, 2016), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4382676&GUID=E8BCCA8A-5DED-48C3-B946-6E21EBB0BEAF>.

⁷ Judicial Council of Cal., Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Workload and Funding Methodology Options (Jul. 18, 2016), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4572873&GUID=C33C7410-DDA2-451A-9004-024D84910504>.

⁸ Judicial Council of Cal., Trial Court Budget: Fiscal Year 2022–23 Allocation of Court-Appointed Juvenile Dependency Counsel Funding (Jun. 24, 2022), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=11019079&GUID=CB0A2EE1-B3CF-43AC-B92B-F4724B5D209C>.

⁹ Judicial Council of Cal., Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed-Counsel Funding Reallocation (Apr 8, 2015), <http://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20150417-itemI.pdf>

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA
BUDGET SERVICES
Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
(Action Item)

proportionally by workload to courts that (a) did not remit unspent funds, and (b) are not fully funded to their need.

To ensure use of the reallocation funds, additional outreach to eligible trial courts was conducted to confirm the court's ability to completely expend funds during the fiscal year. Declined funds were placed back in the pool and reallocated to those courts eligible for and accepting additional funds.

On an annual basis, approximately two percent of court-appointed counsel funds remain unspent at the end of the fiscal year.

Recommendation

The following two recommendations for Court-Appointed Counsel funding is presented to the TCBAC for consideration:

1. Allocate Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program funds of \$349,733 remitted in 2022–23 (Attachment A); and
2. Allocate 2023–24 estimated unspent dependency counsel funding of \$970,111 from courts that have identified funds they do not intend to spend to courts that are not fully funded to their need (Attachment B).

Attachments

1. Attachment A: Recommended Fiscal Year 2023–2024 Trial Court Allocations of Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program Funds
2. Attachment B: Recommended Fiscal Year 2023–2024 Trial Court Allocations of Court-Appointed Counsel Unspent Funding

Recommended Fiscal Year 2023-2024 Trial Court Allocations of Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program Funds

Attachment A

Court	Estimated Funding Need (JC Report - July 2023)	Estimated Funding Need as Percentage of Statewide Need (Col. A Total)	Allocation of Court Appointed Counsel (CAC) Base Funding in 2023-24	Allocation as a Percentage of Total CAC Base Funding in 2023-24 (Col. C Total)	Court is under funded	Court participates in program 22-23	Eligible for JDCCP Funding ¹	Funding Need of Eligible Courts (Col. A when Col. F equals "Y")	Need as a % of Total Need of Eligible Courts (Col. H Total)	Recommended Allocation of 2022-23 JDCCP Collections (Col. I x \$349,733)	JDCCP Allocations Through 2022-23	JDCCP Distributions Through December 2023	JDCCP Allocations Remaining Through December 2023
	Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Col. I	Col. J	Col. K	Col. L	Col. M
Alameda	\$5,340,545	2.11%	\$3,903,699	2.09%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Alpine	\$25,622	0.01%	\$25,764	0.01%	N	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Amador	\$212,023	0.08%	\$158,374	0.08%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Butte	\$1,293,234	0.51%	\$945,296	0.51%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	51,970.93	-	51,970.93
Calaveras	\$216,619	0.09%	\$190,388	0.10%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	13,816.45	-	13,816.45
Colusa†	\$111,138	0.04%	\$111,854	0.06%	N	N	N	-	0.00%	-	293.14	-	293.14
Contra Costa	\$3,629,916	1.43%	\$2,653,306	1.42%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Del Norte	\$268,195	0.11%	\$256,964	0.14%	N	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
El Dorado	\$644,987	0.25%	\$474,903	0.25%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Fresno	\$6,549,587	2.58%	\$4,787,455	2.56%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Glenn	\$143,780	0.06%	\$143,016	0.08%	N	N	N	-	0.00%	-	5,261.47	5,261.00	0.47
Humboldt	\$998,462	0.39%	\$729,831	0.39%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Imperial	\$795,309	0.31%	\$581,336	0.31%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Inyo	\$72,350	0.03%	\$76,990	0.04%	N	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Kern	\$4,985,989	1.97%	\$3,644,535	1.95%	Y	Y	Y	4,985,989.37	3.01%	10,540.12	180,882.99	171,295.00	9,587.99
Kings	\$1,060,814	0.42%	\$775,408	0.42%	Y	Y	Y	1,060,814.17	0.64%	2,242.50	62,191.51	47,114.00	15,077.51
Lake	\$203,493	0.08%	\$277,755	0.15%	N	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Lassen	\$191,506	0.08%	\$174,612	0.09%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles	\$124,470,473	49.11%	\$90,982,340	48.73%	Y	Y	Y	124,470,473.20	75.24%	263,123.97	5,711,444.76	5,711,444.76	0.00
Madera	\$1,060,009	0.42%	\$844,825	0.45%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	16,068.83	16,069.00	(0.17)
Marin	\$357,998	0.14%	\$358,761	0.19%	N	Y	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	\$87,640	0.03%	\$73,918	0.04%	Y	Y	Y	87,640.36	0.05%	185.27	2,568.23	2,368.62	199.61
Mendocino	\$658,478	0.26%	\$608,018	0.33%	N	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Merced	\$1,440,319	0.57%	\$1,052,809	0.56%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	32,783.77	-	32,783.77
Modoc	\$38,874	0.02%	\$50,853	0.03%	N	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Mono	\$26,616	0.01%	\$21,591	0.01%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	103.62	104.00	(0.38)
Monterey	\$798,660	0.32%	\$595,734	0.32%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	19,795.72	19,796.00	(0.28)
Napa	\$510,600	0.20%	\$375,955	0.20%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	9,391.29	-	9,391.29
Nevada	\$204,648	0.08%	\$203,761	0.11%	N	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Orange	\$12,540,527	4.95%	\$9,166,564	4.91%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Placer	\$930,735	0.37%	\$704,472	0.38%	Y	Y	N	-	0.00%	-	66,468.15	38,816.00	27,652.15
Plumas	\$112,340	0.04%	\$159,634	0.09%	N	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Riverside	\$14,649,029	5.78%	\$10,707,784	5.74%	Y	Y	Y	14,649,028.79	8.85%	30,967.27	825,342.81	106,771.94	718,570.87
Sacramento	\$6,710,957	2.65%	\$4,905,409	2.63%	Y	Y	Y	6,710,957.13	4.06%	14,186.61	82,533.86	82,533.86	0.00
San Benito	\$129,390	0.05%	\$95,270	0.05%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	11,990.80	4,345.00	7,645.80
San Bernardino	\$20,604,882	8.13%	\$15,061,246	8.07%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	242,055.83	2,641.00	239,414.83
San Diego	\$8,578,420	3.38%	\$6,270,441	3.36%	Y	Y	Y	8,578,420.33	5.19%	18,134.33	117,423.99	117,423.99	0.00
San Francisco	\$3,887,680	1.53%	\$2,841,720	1.52%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin	\$3,889,728	1.53%	\$2,843,217	1.52%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
San Luis Obispo	\$957,999	0.38%	\$700,254	0.38%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
San Mateo	\$1,039,566	0.41%	\$765,432	0.41%	Y	Y	Y	1,039,566.15	0.63%	2,197.59	82,444.49	29,275.00	53,169.49
Santa Barbara	\$1,908,246	0.75%	\$1,394,843	0.75%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	\$4,145,634	1.64%	\$3,030,273	1.62%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-

Court	Estimated Funding Need (JC Report - July 2023) Col. A	Estimated Funding Need as Percentage of Statewide Need (Col. A Total) Col. B	Allocation of Court Appointed Counsel (CAC) Base Funding in 2023-24 Col. C	Allocation as a Percentage of Total CAC Base Funding in 2023-24 (Col. C Total) Col. D	Court is under funded Col. E	Court participates in program 22-23 Col. F	Eligible for JDCCP Funding ¹ Col. G	Funding Need of Eligible Courts (Col. A when Col. F equals "Y") Col. H	Need as a % of Total Need of Eligible Courts (Col. H Total) Col. I	Recommended Allocation of 2022-23 JDCCP Collections (Col. I x \$349,733) Col. J	JDCCP Allocations Through 2022-23 Col. K	JDCCP Distributions Through December 2023 Col. L	JDCCP Allocations Remaining Through December 2023 Col. M
Santa Cruz	\$607,692	0.24%	\$623,754	0.33%		Y	N	-	0.00%	-	6,901.90	6,901.90	(0.00)
Shasta	\$1,124,351	0.44%	\$821,850	0.44%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	40,660.01	38,437.00	2,223.01
Sierra	\$38,625	0.02%	\$28,440	0.02%	N	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	\$196,638	0.08%	\$256,552	0.14%	N	Y	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Solano	\$1,590,035	0.63%	\$1,162,244	0.62%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	20,500.98	20,500.98	(0.00)
Sonoma	\$2,223,386	0.88%	\$1,625,196	0.87%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus	\$1,942,404	0.77%	\$1,419,811	0.76%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	-	-	-
Sutter	\$434,175	0.17%	\$336,571	0.18%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	15,457.03	-	15,457.03
Tehama	\$299,901	0.12%	\$294,234	0.16%	N	N	N	-	0.00%	-	16,222.19	-	16,222.19
Trinity	\$78,441	0.03%	\$83,204	0.04%	N	Y	N	-	0.00%	-	1,996.54	-	1,996.54
Tulare	\$3,306,098	1.30%	\$2,416,609	1.29%	Y	Y	Y	3,306,097.65	2.00%	6,988.91	156,969.11	65,870.00	91,099.11
Tuolumne	\$341,239	0.13%	\$307,665	0.16%	N	N	N	-	0.00%	-	7,054.13	-	7,054.13
Ventura	\$2,521,856	1.00%	\$1,843,364	0.99%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	110,204.12	110,204.00	0.12
Yolo	\$1,689,887	0.67%	\$1,235,231	0.66%	Y	N	N	-	0.00%	-	17,813.12	17,813.00	0.12
Yuba	\$551,781	0.22%	\$418,668	0.22%	Y	Y	Y	551,780.90	0.33%	1,166.44	20,997.61	-	20,997.61
Unallocated	\$0		\$100,000					-		-			
Total	\$253,429,531		\$186,700,000					\$165,440,768.05	100.00%	\$349,733.00	\$ 7,949,609.40	\$6,614,986.05	\$1,334,623.35
Reserved for admin.										258,433.00			
Distribution amount available to courts										349,733.00			
Total collected										608,166.00			

1. A court is eligible for an allocation if the court has met both the Funding Need and Participation requirements described in section 14 of the JDCCP Guidelines. This table indicates a court's eligibility to receive an allocation based on the Funding Need criteria. Courts that meet the Funding Need criteria must also meet the Participation requirements in order to receive an allocation.

Recommended FY 2023-2024 Trial Court Allocations of Court Appointed Counsel Unspent Funds

Court	Estimated Funding Need (JC Report - July 2023) Col. A	Estimated Funding Need as Percentage of Statewide Need (Col. A Total) Col. B	Allocation of Court Appointed Counsel (CAC) Base Funding in 2023-24 Col. C	Allocation as a Percentage of Total CAC Base Funding in 2023-24 (Col. C Total) Col. D	Est. Unspent CAC Funding 2023-24 Col. E	Eligible for Reallocated Funding ¹ Col. F	Funding Need of Eligible Courts (Col. A when Col. F equals "Y") Col. G	Need as a % of Total Need of Eligible Courts (Col. G Total) Col. H	Recommended 2023-24 CAC Reallocation Col. I
Alameda	\$5,340,545	2.11%	\$3,903,699	2.09%	0	Y	5,340,545.28	2.31%	22,437.08
Alpine	\$25,622	0.01%	\$25,764	0.01%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Amador	\$212,023	0.08%	\$158,374	0.08%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Butte	\$1,293,234	0.51%	\$945,296	0.51%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Calaveras	\$216,619	0.09%	\$190,388	0.10%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Colusa	\$111,138	0.04%	\$111,854	0.06%	60,000	N	-	0.00%	-
Contra Costa	\$3,629,916	1.43%	\$2,653,306	1.42%	0	Y	3,629,915.65	1.57%	15,250.26
Del Norte	\$268,195	0.11%	\$256,964	0.14%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
El Dorado	\$644,987	0.25%	\$474,903	0.25%	0	Y	644,986.90	0.28%	2,709.77
Fresno	\$6,549,587	2.58%	\$4,787,455	2.56%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Glenn	\$143,780	0.06%	\$143,016	0.08%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Humboldt	\$998,462	0.39%	\$729,831	0.39%	0	Y	998,462.35	0.43%	4,194.81
Imperial	\$795,309	0.31%	\$581,336	0.31%	0	Y	795,309.43	0.34%	3,341.31
Inyo	\$72,350	0.03%	\$76,990	0.04%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Kern	\$4,985,989	1.97%	\$3,644,535	1.95%	0	Y	4,985,989.37	2.16%	20,947.50
Kings	\$1,060,814	0.42%	\$775,408	0.42%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Lake	\$203,493	0.08%	\$277,755	0.15%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Lassen	\$191,506	0.08%	\$174,612	0.09%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Los Angeles	\$124,470,473	49.11%	\$90,982,340	48.73%	0	Y	124,470,473.20	53.90%	522,934.27
Madera	\$1,060,009	0.42%	\$844,825	0.45%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Marin	\$357,998	0.14%	\$358,761	0.19%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Mariposa	\$87,640	0.03%	\$73,918	0.04%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Mendocino	\$658,478	0.26%	\$608,018	0.33%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Merced	\$1,440,319	0.57%	\$1,052,809	0.56%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Modoc	\$38,874	0.02%	\$50,853	0.03%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Mono	\$26,616	0.01%	\$21,591	0.01%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Monterey	\$798,660	0.32%	\$595,734	0.32%	195,734	N	-	0.00%	-
Napa	\$510,600	0.20%	\$375,955	0.20%	75,000	N	-	0.00%	-
Nevada	\$204,648	0.08%	\$203,761	0.11%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Orange	\$12,540,527	4.95%	\$9,166,564	4.91%	0	Y	12,540,527.36	5.43%	52,686.16
Placer	\$930,735	0.37%	\$704,472	0.38%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Plumas	\$112,340	0.04%	\$159,634	0.09%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Riverside	\$14,649,029	5.78%	\$10,707,784	5.74%	0	Y	14,649,028.79	6.34%	61,544.55
Sacramento	\$6,710,957	2.65%	\$4,905,409	2.63%	0	Y	6,710,957.13	2.91%	28,194.55
San Benito	\$129,390	0.05%	\$95,270	0.05%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
San Bernardino	\$20,604,882	8.13%	\$15,061,246	8.07%	0	Y	20,604,882.38	8.92%	86,566.71
San Diego	\$8,578,420	3.38%	\$6,270,441	3.36%	0	Y	8,578,420.33	3.72%	36,040.27
San Francisco	\$3,887,680	1.53%	\$2,841,720	1.52%	0	Y	3,887,680.07	1.68%	16,333.20
San Joaquin	\$3,889,728	1.53%	\$2,843,217	1.52%	0	Y	3,889,728.36	1.68%	16,341.81
San Luis Obispo	\$957,999	0.38%	\$700,254	0.38%	0	Y	957,998.76	0.41%	4,024.81
San Mateo	\$1,039,566	0.41%	\$765,432	0.41%	0	Y	1,039,566.15	0.45%	4,367.50
Santa Barbara	\$1,908,246	0.75%	\$1,394,843	0.75%	0	Y	1,908,246.31	0.83%	8,017.06
Santa Clara	\$4,145,634	1.64%	\$3,030,273	1.62%	0	Y	4,145,633.71	1.80%	17,416.93

Court	Estimated Funding Need (JC Report - July 2023) Col. A	Estimated Funding Need as Percentage of Statewide Need (Col. A Total) Col. B	Allocation of Court Appointed Counsel (CAC) Base Funding in 2023-24 Col. C	Allocation as a Percentage of Total CAC Base Funding in 2023-24 (Col. C Total) Col. D	Est. Unspent CAC Funding 2023-24 Col. E	Eligible for Reallocated Funding ¹ Col. F	Funding Need of Eligible Courts (Col. A when Col. F equals "Y") Col. G	Need as a % of Total Need of Eligible Courts (Col. G Total) Col. H	Recommended 2023-24 CAC Reallocation Col. I
Santa Cruz	\$607,692	0.24%	\$623,754	0.33%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Shasta	\$1,124,351	0.44%	\$821,850	0.44%	0	Y	1,124,351.26	0.49%	4,723.71
Sierra	\$38,625	0.02%	\$28,440	0.02%	0	Y	38,625.40	0.02%	162.28
Siskiyou	\$196,638	0.08%	\$256,552	0.14%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Solano	\$1,590,035	0.63%	\$1,162,244	0.62%	0	Y	1,590,034.86	0.69%	6,680.17
Sonoma	\$2,223,386	0.88%	\$1,625,196	0.87%	0	Y	2,223,385.92	0.96%	9,341.05
Stanislaus	\$1,942,404	0.77%	\$1,419,811	0.76%	0	Y	1,942,404.27	0.84%	8,160.57
Sutter	\$434,175	0.17%	\$336,571	0.18%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Tehama	\$299,901	0.12%	\$294,234	0.16%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Trinity	\$78,441	0.03%	\$83,204	0.04%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Tulare	\$3,306,098	1.30%	\$2,416,609	1.29%	639,377	N	-	0.00%	-
Tuolumne	\$341,239	0.13%	\$307,665	0.16%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Ventura	\$2,521,856	1.00%	\$1,843,364	0.99%	0	Y	2,521,856.32	1.09%	10,595.00
Yolo	\$1,689,887	0.67%	\$1,235,231	0.66%	0	Y	1,689,886.68	0.73%	7,099.67
Yuba	\$551,781	0.22%	\$418,668	0.22%	0	N	-	0.00%	-
Unallocated	\$0		\$100,000				-		-
Total	\$253,429,531		\$186,700,000		\$970,111.00		\$230,908,896	100.00%	\$970,111.00
Total Returned									\$970,111.00