Judicial Council of California
Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

## Materials for May 1, 2024 <br> virtual Meeting

## Meeting Contents

| Agenda | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minutes |  |
| Draft Minutes from the March 27, 2024 meeting | 5 |
| Discussion and Possible Action Items (Items 1-2) |  |
| Item 1 - Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) for 2024-25 (Action Required) $\qquad$ | 7 |
| Attachment 1A: Judicial Council of California Approved 2023-24 and Proposed 2024-25 Allocations, State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund, State Operations and Local Assistance Appropriations . | 12 |
| Attachment 1B: State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Summary of Programs | 13 |
| Attachment 1C: State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Fund Condition Statement April 2024. | 15 |
| Item 2 - State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) Allocation Increase for 2023-24 for Judicial Council Legal Services (Action Required) | 16 |
| Attachment A: Judicial Council of California Approved 2023-24 Allocations, State Trial Court IMF, State Operations and Local Assistance Appropriations. | 18 |
| Attachment B: State Trial Court IMF Fund Condition Statement, April 2024....................... | 19 |
| Item 3 - Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) for 2024-25 (Action Required) ......... | 20 |
| Attachment 3A: Judicial Council of California, Approved 2023-24 and Proposed 2024-25 Allocations, State Operations and Local Assistance, Trial Court Trust Fund...... | 26 |
| Attachment 3B: 2024-25 TCTF Recommended Preliminary Allocations .............................. | 27 |
| Attachment 3C: 2024-25 Workload Formula Allocations ............................................... | 29 |
| Attachment 3D: Trial Court Trust Fund, Fund Condition Statement ................................... | 31 |


| Item 4 - AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program Allocations for 2024-25 (Action Required) $\qquad$ | 32 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Attachment A: Child Support Commissioner (CSC) Program Allocation, 2024-25. | 34 |
| Attachment B: Family Law Facilitator (FLF) Program Allocation, 2024-25.............................. | 35 |
| Item 5 - Community Assistance, Recovery, and Empowerment (CARE) Act Allocations for 202425 (Action Required) | 36 |
| Attachment A: Allocation Table 1 and 2. | 39 |
| Item 6 - Model Self-Help Pilot Program—Technology Model Project Allocation Methodology <br> (Action Required). | 41 |
| Item 7 - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Allocations for 2024-25 (Action Required). | 43 |
| Attachment A: 2024-25 Allocation of Dependency Counsel Funding.. | 45 |
| Attachment B: 2024-25 Total Funding Need for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Based on 2016 Workload Methodology | 46 |
| Item 8 - Pretrial Release Program Allocations for 2024-25 (Action Required).. | 47 |
| Attachment A: Recommended FY 2024-25 Pretrial Release Ongoing Allocations............... | 51 |
| Item 9 - Court Reporter Allocations for 2024-25 (Action Required).. | 53 |
| Attachment 9A: Court Reporter Funding: Recommended 2024-25 Allocations.................. | 55 |
| Item 10 - Self-Help Program Allocations for 2024-25 through 2026-27 (Action Required).......... | 57 |

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

## Notice and Agenda of Open Meeting

Open to the Public (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.75(c)(1) and (e)(1))
THIS MEETING IS BEING CONDUCTED BY ELECTRONIC MEANS
THIS MEETING IS BEING RECORDED

| Date: | Wednesday, May 1, 2024 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Time: | 12:00 p.m. - 2:00 p.m. |
| Public Video Livestream: | https://jcc.granicus.com/player/event/3263 |

Meeting materials will be posted on the advisory body web page on California Courts website at least three business days before the meeting.

Members of the public seeking to make an audio recording of the meeting must submit a written request at least two business days before the meeting. Requests can be emailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov.

Agenda items are numbered for identification purposes only and will not necessarily be considered in the indicated order.

```
I. OPEN MEETING (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(C)(1))
```


## Call to Order and Roll Call

Approval of Minutes
Approve minutes of the March 27, 2024, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) meeting.
11. PUBLIC COMMENT (CAL. RULES OF COURT, RULE 10.75(K)(1))

This meeting will be conducted by electronic means with a listen-only conference line available for the public. As such, the public may submit comments for this meeting only in writing. In accordance with California Rules of Court, rule $10.75(\mathrm{k})(1)$, written comments pertaining to any agenda item of a regularly noticed open meeting can be submitted up to one complete business day before the meeting. For this specific meeting, comments should be e-mailed to tcbac@jud.ca.gov. Only written comments received by 12:00 p.m. on April 30,2024 will be provided to advisory body members prior to the start of the meeting.

## III. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLEACTION ITEMS (ITEMS 1-10)

Item 1
Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) for 2024-25 (Action Required)

Consideration of Revenue and Expenditure (R\&E) Subcommittee recommendations on 2024-25 allocations from the IMF.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Heather Staton, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

## Item 2

State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) Allocation Increase for 2023-24 for Judicial Council Legal Services (Action Required)

Consideration of a R\&E Subcommittee recommendation to increase the 2023-24 IMF allocation for Legal Services to address increased legal support for the trial courts.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Eric Schnurpfeil, Deputy Chief Counsel, Judicial Council Legal Services

## Item 3

Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) for 2024-25 (Action Required)
Consideration of R\&E Subcommittee recommendations on 2024-25 allocations from the TCTF.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Oksana Tuk, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services

## Item 4

AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program Allocations for 2024-25 (Action Required)

Consideration of the 2024-25 methodologies and allocations for the child support commissioner and family law facilitator programs.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Anna Maves, Supervising Attorney, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children \& the Courts

## Item 5

Community Assistance, Recovery, and Empowerment (CARE) Act Allocations for 2024-25 (Action Required)

Consideration of Funding Methodology Subcommittee (FMS) recommendations on 202425 allocations for the CARE Act.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Don Will, Deputy Director, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children \& the Courts

## Item 6

## Model Self-Help Pilot Program—Technology Model Project Allocation Methodology (Action Required)

Consideration of FMS recommendations to revise the allocation methodology for Model Self-Help Pilot Program funding.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Don Will, Deputy Director, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children \& the Courts

## Item 7

Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Allocations for 2024-25 (Action Required)
Consideration of the 2024-25 methodologies and allocations for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Kelly Meehleib, Supervising Analyst, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children \& the Courts
Ms. Vida Terry, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children \& the Courts

## Item 8

## Pretrial Release Program Allocations for 2024-25 (Action Required)

Consideration of the 2024-25 allocations and funding floor adjustment for the Pretrial Release Program.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Deirdre Benedict, Supervising Analyst, Judicial Council Criminal Justice Services

## Item 9

## Court Reporter Allocations for 2024-25 (Action Required)

Consideration of the 2024-25 allocations for $\$ 30$ million to increase the number of court reporters in family law and civil case types.

Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Mr. Chris Belloli, Manager, Judicial Council Business Management Services

## Item 10

## Self-Help Program Allocations for 2024-25 through 2026-27 (Action Required)

Consideration of the 2024-25 through 2026-27 allocations for the Self-Help Program.
Presenter(s)/Facilitator(s): Ms. Melanie Snider, Supervising Attorney, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children \& the Courts
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## IV. ADJOURNMENT

## Adjourn

Judicial Council of California

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
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## Minutes of Open Meeting

March 27, 2024
12:00 p.m. - 12:30 p.m.
https://icc.granicus.com/player/event/3409

## Advisory Body Members Present:

Judges: Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin (Chair), Hon. Judith C. Clark, Hon. Kimberly
A. Gaab, Hon. Maria D. Hernandez, Hon. David C. Kalemkarian, Hon. Patricia L. Kelly, Hon. Erick L. Larsh, Hon. Michael J. Reinhart, and Hon. Kevin M. Seibert.

Executive Officers: Ms. Rebecca Fleming (Vice Chair), Ms. Stephanie
Cameron, Mr. Chad Finke, Mr. James Kim, Mr. Shawn Landry, Ms. Krista LeVier, Mr. Brandon E. Riley, Mr. Chris Ruhl, Mr. Lee Seale, Mr. David W. Slayton, Mr. Neal Taniguchi, and Mr. David H. Yamasaki.

Advisory Body Members Absent:

Others Present:

Hon. Wendy G. Getty and Hon. Michael A. Sachs.

Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Ms. Fran Mueller, Ms. Donna Newman, and Ms. Rose Lane.

## OPEN MEETING

## Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair welcomed the members, called the meeting to order at 12:02 p.m. and took roll call.

## Approval of Minutes

The committee approved minutes from the February 7, 2024, Action by E-mail between meetings and the February 14, 2024, Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) meeting.

## DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS (ITEM1)

## Item 1 -2025-26 Budget Change Concepts under Purview of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (Action Required)

Review and prioritize 2025-26 budget change concepts developed by other advisory bodies for which the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee has purview and can provide input.

Action: The TCBAC unanimously voted to support the budget change concepts submitted by other advisory bodies without prioritization for Judicial Branch Budget Committee consideration at its May 15, 2024 meeting.

## ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:20 p.m.
Approved by the advisory body on enter date.

# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA <br> BUDGET SERVICES <br> Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (Action Item) 

## Title: Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) for 2024-25

Date: $\quad 5 / 1 / 2024$
Contact: Heather Staton, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services 916-643-8026 | heather.staton@jud.ca.gov

## Issue

Consider the recommendation from the Revenue and Expenditure (R\&E) Subcommittee for the 2024-25 allocations from the IMF for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Budget Committee) and then the Judicial Council at its July 12, 2024, business meeting.

The total allocation requested for 2024-25 is $\$ 46.6$ million (Attachment 1A, Column G, Row 33 ), which is a decrease of approximately $\$ 1.6$ million from the prior year.

The proposed allocations requested by Judicial Council offices represent known operational needs for each existing program funded by the IMF.

## Proposed 2024-25 Allocations

The proposed 2024-25 IMF allocations for various Judicial Council offices are detailed in Attachment 1A. Attachment 1B provides narrative descriptions of the programs receiving IMF funding allocations. Attachment 1C displays the IMF Fund Condition Statement. Based on current revenue estimates, the fund is estimated to have a sufficient balance to fund the requested allocations (Attachment 1C, Column R, Row 25).

Additionally, as approved by the Judicial Council at its June 24, 2016, business meeting, the IMF retains a reserve of $\$ 2$ million to protect against possible declines in revenue. The reserve is available for expenditure, if needed, to support program operations. ${ }^{1}$ It is not expected to be needed to support the 2024-25 allocation recommendations.

[^0]
## Descriptions of Proposed 2024-25 Allocation Requests by Judicial Council Offices

1. Audit Services - Conducts operational audits and risk assessments and recommends improvements to all judicial branch entities.

Approve an allocation of \$399,000-an increase of \$27,000 from the 2023-24 allocation.
a. The allocation is for conducting performance and compliance audits of the 58 trial courts.
b. The adjustment is due to increased staffing and rent costs.
2. Branch Accounting and Procurement - Supports the trial courts' financial and human resources Phoenix System.

Approve an allocation of \$302,000-an increase of \$10,000 from the 2023-24 allocation.
a. The allocation is to provide two staff, one in the treasury and one in the accounting sections, as well as contract-related services to produce statewide leveraged procurement agreements.
b. The adjustment is due to increased staffing and rent costs.
3. Business Management Services - Supports the judicial branch's research, data, and analytic programs and manages the Temporary Assigned Judges Program.

Approve an allocation of \$9,000-no change from the 2023-24 allocation.
a. The allocation is for the Data Analytics Advisory Committee meeting expenses for court personnel and judges related to workload studies.
4. Budget Services - Supports meetings of various committees and subcommittees as they relate to trial court funding, policies, and other issues.

Approve an allocation of \$18,000-a decrease of \$17,000 from the 2023-24 allocation.
a. The allocation is for the TCBAC and subcommittee meetings and annual Revenue Distribution and Collections Reporting Template trainings.
b. The adjustment reflects a hybrid approach to in-person and remote meetings and trainings.
5. Center for Families, Children \& the Courts - Supports various programs within the courts for litigants.

Approve an allocation of $\$ 5.4$ million - a decrease of $\$ 866,000$ from the 2023-24 allocation.
a. The allocation is for providing Domestic Violence forms in languages other than English to all courts; enabling all courts to use Hotdocs Document Assembly applications while filing documents; providing court-based
assistance to self-represented litigants; supporting the Beyond the Bench conference, Child \& Family Focused Education Conference, and Youth Summit; providing funding for legal services agencies and their court partners to represent indigent persons; updating the Self-represented Litigants Statewide Support Program and expanding the Self-Help Guide to the California Courts, on the public website of the judicial branch; and recruiting new interpreters.
b. The $\$ 5$ million for self-help centers constitutes the majority of the allocation. Provisional language in the budget requires unspent funds for self-help to revert to the General Fund.
c. The $\$ 866,000$ decrease is due to the Shriver Civil Counsel Program cy près funding. This funding is the remaining balance from class action lawsuits collected in 2019-20. Minor revenue deposits have continued to be collected related to lawsuits from that year.
6. Center for Judicial Education and Research (CJER) - Provides education to judges, court leaders, court staff, faculty, managers, supervisors, and lead staff.

Approve an allocation of $\$ 2.2$ million-an increase of $\$ 689,000$ from the 2023-24 allocation.
a. The allocation is for faculty development, participant expenses, training for court leaders, the Court Clerk Training Institute, and newly elected or appointed judges and subordinate judicial officers' education programs.
b. The adjustment is due to increases in enrollment coupled with rising costs for travel and catering associated with in-person judicial trainings. In 2023-24, CJER's training enrollment doubled from the previous year (118 participants in 2022-23 to 275 participants in 2023-24). To ensure that new judges comply with the requirements of California Rules of Court, rule 10.462, CJER is expanding required new judge education offerings.
c. In 2025-26, CJER anticipates adding two Judicial Colleges, which will increase future allocation requests.
7. Criminal Justice Services - Supports the Judicial Council's Criminal Jury Instructions Advisory Committee.

Approve an allocation of \$9,000-no change from the 2023-24 allocation.
a. The allocation is for the criminal portion of the jury instructions and is selffunded by royalties generated from their sales.
8. Human Resources - Supports the Trial Court Labor Relations Academy and Forum to assist trial court staff in addressing various labor issues.

No allocation was requested for 2024-25, which results in a decrease of \$23,000 from the 2023-24 allocation.
a. The Academy and Forum is held every other year. No Academy and Forum is scheduled in 2024-25; therefore, funding is not needed.
9. Information Technology - Supports information technology systems for the 58 trial courts.

Approve an allocation of $\$ 33.6$ million-a net decrease of $\$ 1.3$ million from the 2023-24 allocation, which includes a reduction of $\$ 721,000$ in authority related to one-time funding that has expired.
a. The allocation is for the Data Center and Cloud Service to host services for the 58 California trial courts, the appellate courts, and the Supreme Court; the distribution and mandated reporting of uniform civil fees collected by the 58 trial courts; the California Courts Protective Order Registry; development and support of a standardized level of network infrastructure for the trial courts; the Enterprise Policy \& Planning program, which provides a variety of Oracle products to the courts; data integration; and the Jury Management System.
b. The majority of the adjustment is due to an estimated $\$ 1.5$ million in savings for the Enterprise Policy \& Planning programs as a result of new contracts and operational efficiencies, which is offset by cost increases of $\$ 932,000$ due to additional staffing and rent costs.
10. Legal Services - Supports the Judicial Council staff divisions and the courts, manages litigation for the branch, and is responsible for maintaining the California Rules of Court and Judicial Council forms and supporting the Judicial Council's Civil Jury Instructions Advisory Committee.

Approve an allocation of $\$ 2.4$ million - a decrease of $\$ 181,000$ from the 2023-24 allocation.
a. The allocation is for the Regional Office Assistance Group of Legal Services to provide direct services to the trial courts and for the civil portion of the Jury Instructions, which is self-funded by royalties generated from their sales.
b. Legal support and settlement costs in the Litigation Management Program (LMP) for the trial courts have increased during the past several years. A onetime $\$ 2$ million IMF allocation request for 2023-24 is currently going through the committee process to address the program's immediate needs. Because these increases are expected to continue, an allocation of $\$ 1.7$ million is requested for 2024-25 to supplement the General Fund appropriation for the LMP. Legal Services will evaluate program costs and available resources to determine if a future budget change proposal is needed to ensure the program is adequately funded.
11. Leadership Support Services - Supports trial court judicial officers for the Commission on Judicial Performance defense master insurance policy.

Approve an allocation of $\$ 2$ million-an increase of $\$ 49,000$ from the 2023-24 allocation.
a. The allocation is for the Judicial Performance Defense Insurance program, which is used to pay the insurance premium for trial court judges and judicial officers for the Commission on Judicial Performance defense master insurance policy.
b. The adjustment is due to increased premiums, staffing costs, and rent expenditures.

## Recommendation

The following recommendation from the R\&E Subcommittee is presented to the TCBAC for consideration:

1. Approve a total of $\$ 46.6$ million in allocations for 2024-25 from the IMF for consideration by the Budget Committee and then the Judicial Council at its business meeting on July 12, 2024.

## Attachments

Attachment 1A: Judicial Council of California Approved 2023-24 and Proposed 2024-25 Allocations, State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund, State Operations and Local Assistance Appropriations
Attachment 1B: State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Summary of Programs
Attachment 1C: State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Fund Condition
Statement April 2024

Approved 2023-24 and Proposed 2024-25 Allocations State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund State Operations and Local Assistance Appropriations

${ }^{1}$ Current year allocation request for this item is currently being routed simultaneously through the committee process with the 2024-25 IMF Allocation Request.

|  | Totals by Office | Office |  | Judicial Council Approved Allocations | State Operations | Local Assistance | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \$ Change } \\ \text { from } \\ 2023-24 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \% Change } \\ & \text { from } \\ & 2023-24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legend | C |  | D | E | F | $\mathbf{G}=(\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{F})$ | H = (G-D) | $\mathrm{I}=(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D})$ |
| 34 | Audit Services | AS | \$ | \$ 372,000 | \$ 399,000 | \$ | \$ 399,000 | \$ 27,000 | 7.3\% |
| 35 | Branch Accounting and Procurement | BAP |  | 292,000 | 302,000 | - | 302,000 | 10,000 | 3.4\% |
| 36 | Business Management Services | BMS |  | 9,000 | - | 9,000 | 9,000 | - | 0.0\% |
| 37 | Budget Services | BS |  | 35,000 | - | 18,000 | 18,000 | $(17,000)$ | -48.6\% |
| 38 | Center for Families, Children \& the Courts | CFCC |  | 6,280,000 | - | 5,414,000 | 5,414,000 | $(866,000)$ | -13.8\% |
| 39 | Center for Judicial Education and Research | CJER |  | 1,502,000 | 40,000 | 2,151,000 | 2,191,000 | 689,000 | 45.9\% |
| 40 | Criminal Justice Services | CJS |  | 9,000 | 9,000 | - | 9,000 | - | 100.0\% |
| 41 | Human Resources | HR |  | 23,000 | - | - | - | $(23,000)$ | -100.0\% |
| 42 | Information Technology | IT |  | 34,850,490 | 6,619,000 | 26,951,000 | 33,570,000 | $(1,280,490)$ | -3.7\% |
| 43 | Legal Services | LS |  | 2,871,000 | 2,680,000 | 10,000 | 2,690,000 | $(181,000)$ | -6.3\% |
| 44 | Leadership Services | LSS |  | 1,931,000 | 2,000 | 1,978,000 | 1,980,000 | 49,000 | 100.0\% |
| Total Allocations |  |  | \$ | \$ 48,174,490 | \$ 10,051,000 | \$ 36,531,000 | \$ 46,582,000 | \$ (1,592,490) | -3.3\% |

State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund Summary of Programs

| Row \# | Program Name | Office | Program Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | C | D |
| 1 | Audit Services | AS | Conducts performance and compliance audits of the state's 58 trial courts per the annual audit plan. |
| 2 | Trial Master Agreements | BAP | Pays for personal services, phone services, and rent allocation for one position in Branch Accounting and Procurement to provide contract related services for the production of statewide leveraged procurement agreements. |
| 3 | Treasury Services - Cash Management | BAP | Is used for the compensation, operating expenses and equipment costs for two accounting staff. |
| 4 | Data Analytics Advisory Committee | BMS | Pays for meeting expenses of the Data Analytics Advisory Committee and travel expenses for court personnel and judges related to workload studies. |
| 5 | Budget Focused Training and Meetings | BS | Supports meetings of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee and associated subcommittees on the preparation, development, and implementation of the budget for trial courts and provides input to the Judicial Council on policy issues affecting trial court funding. |
| 6 | Revenue Distribution Training | BS | Pays for annual training on revenue distribution to all the collection programs as well as annual Collection Reporting Templates training. |
| 7 | Domestic Violence Forms Translation | CFCC | Makes available to all courts, translation of domestic violence protective order forms in languages other than English. Since 2000, these forms have been translated into Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese and Korean based on data from various language needs studies. |
| 8 | Interactive Software - Self-Rep Electronic Forms | CFCC | Enables all courts to use Hotdocs Document Assembly applications, which present court users with a Q\&A format that automatically populates fields across all filing documents. |
| 9 | Self-Help Center | CFCC | Provides court-based assistance to self-represented litigants. |
| 10 | Statewide Multidisciplinary Education | CFCC | Supports the biannual Beyond the Bench conference, biannual Child \& Family Focused Education Conference and annual Youth Summit. |
| 11 | Shriver Civil Counsel- Cy Pres Funding | CFCC | Provides funding for legal services agencies and their court partners to provide representation to indigent persons in cases involving housing, child custody, guardianship, conservatorships, and domestic violence. |
| 12 | Statewide Support for Self-Help Programs | CFCC | Updates and expands the Self-Help Guide to the California Courts on the public websire of the judicial branch and facilitates the translation of over 50 Judicial Council forms that are used regularly by self-represented litigants. |
| 13 | Court Interpreter Testing etc. | CFCC | Pays for the testing, orientation, and recruitment of new interpreters. |
| 14 | CJER Faculty | CJER | Pays for lodging, meals, and travel for faculty development participants. Primarily, this program supports development of pro bono judge and court staff faculty who will teach all CJER programs for the trial courts. |
| 15 | Essential Court Management Education | CJER | Includes national and statewide training for court leaders, including Institute for Court Management (ICM) courses, CJER Core 40 and Core 24 courses, and other local and regional courses for managers, supervisors and lead staff. |
| 16 | Essential Court Personnel Education | CJER | Includes the Court Clerks Training Institute - courtroom and court legal process education in civil, traffic, criminal, probate, family, juvenile, appellate as well as regional and local court personnel courses and the biennial Trial Court Judicial Attorneys Institute. |
| 17 | Judicial Education | CJER | Includes programs for all newly elected or appointed judges and subordinate judicial officers required by California Rule of Court, rule 10.462 (c)(1) to complete the new judge education programs offered by CJER; Judicial Institutes, courses for experienced judges; and programs for presiding judges, court executive officers, and supervising judges. |
| 18, 30 | Jury System Improvement Projects | CJS | Provides financial support for efforts to improve the jury system. Funds in this account are generated by royalties from sales of criminal and civil jury instructions deposited according to the Government Code. |
| 19 | Trial Court Labor Relations Training | HR | Updated by the Judicial Council's Human Resources office to align with its biennial funding model, includes a one-day, in-person session in spring for seasoned court professionals in labor relations, focusing on current trends and strategies. The funding allocation will be used to pay for conference rooms, materials, lunch for participants, and lodging for trial court attendees on a limited basis. Additionally, a threeday virtual Labor Relations Academy I is held annually in summer, aimed at court managers and human resources staff new to labor negotiations. This program reflects a comprehensive effort to enhance trial court employees' skills and knowledge in the field of labor relations within the judicial branch. |
| 20 | Data Center and Cloud Service (formerly CCTC and/or CCTC Operations) | IT | The CCTC hosts some level of services for the 58 California superior courts, all the Courts of Appeal and the Supreme Court and has over 10,000 supported users. Major installations in the CCTC include the following: <br> - Appellate Court Case Management System (ACCMS) <br> - California Court Protective Order Registry (CCPOR) <br> - Phoenix - Trial Court Financial and Human Resources System <br> - Interim Case Management System (ICMS) <br> - Computer aided facilities management (CAFM) system <br> - Civil, Small Claims, Probate, and Mental Health Trial Court Case Management System (V3) <br> - Integration Services Backbone (ISB) <br> This program provides consistent, cost effective, and secure hosting services, including ongoing maintenance and operational support, data network management, desktop computing and local server support, tape back-up and recovery, help desk services, email services, and a disaster recovery program. |

State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund
Summary of Programs

| Row \# | Program Name | Office | Program Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | C | D |
| 21 | Uniform Civil Filing Services | IT | Supports the distribution and mandated reporting of uniform civil fees collected by all 58 superior courts, with an average of $\$ 52$ million distributed per month. The system generates reports for the State Controller's Office and various entities that receive the distributed funds. Over 200 fee types are collected by each court, distributed to 31 different entities (e.g., Trial Court Trust Fund, county, Equal Access Fund, law library), requiring 65,938 corresponding distribution rules that are maintained by UCFS. UCFS benefits the public by minimizing the amount of penalties paid to the state for incorrect or late distributions and ensuring that the entities entitled to a portion of the civil fees collected, as mandated by law, receive their correct distributions. |
| 22 | California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR) | IT | As a statewide repository of protective orders, contains both data and scanned images of orders that can be accessed by judges, court staff, and law enforcement officers. CCPOR allows judges and law enforcement officers to view orders issued by other court divisions and across county lines. |
| 23, 27 | Telecommunications Support | IT | This program develops and supports a standardized level of network infrastructure for the California superior courts. This infrastructure provides a foundation for local systems (email, jury, CMS, VOIP, etc.) and enterprise system applications such as Phoenix, via shared services at the CCTC provides operational efficiencies, and secures valuable court information resources. |
| 24 | Enterprise Policy \& Planning (Statewide Planning and Dev Support) | IT | Provides the trial courts access to a variety of Oracle products (e.g., Oracle Enterprise Database, Real Application Clusters, Oracle Security Suite, Oracle Advanced Security, Diagnostic Packs, Oracle WebLogic Application Server) without cost to the courts. |
| 25 | ISB Support (Data Integration) | IT | Provides system interfaces between Judicial Council systems and the computer systems of our justice partners, such as courts, law enforcement agencies, the Department of Justice, and others. Without the Integrated Services Backbone (ISB), the current systems for sharing protective orders, for example, would not function. |
| 26 | Jury Management System | IT | The allocation for the Jury Program is used to distribute funds to the trial courts in the form of grants to improve court jury management systems. All trial courts are eligible to apply for the jury funding. The number of courts receiving grants varies according to the amount of grant funding available and the number of jury grant requests received. |
| 29 | Jury System Improvement Projects | LS | This program is related to Jury Instructions and is a "self-funding" PCC. Funds in this account are generated by royalties generated from sales of criminal and civil jury instructions. The funds are deposited pursuant to the Government Code. |
| 30 | Regional Office Assistance Group | LS | Pays for attorneys and support personnel to provide direct legal services to the trial courts in the areas of transactions/business operations, legal opinions, ethics, and labor and employment law. |
| 31 | Litigation Management Program | LS | Provides for the defense and indemnification of all judicial branch entities, their bench officers, and their employees. Defense of these parties is for government claims, prelitigation claims, and litigation, as well as for various risk-reduction measures, as required by Government Code sections 810-811.9, 825-825.6, 900.3, and 995-996.6 and California Rules of Court, rules 10.201 and 10.202. |
| 32 | Judicial Performance Defense Insurance | LSS | Pays the insurance premium for trial court judges and judicial officers for the Commission on Judicial Performance (CJP) defense master insurance policy and associated costs to provide for online enrollment and submission of compliance information. The program (1) covers defense costs in CJP proceedings related to CJP complaints; (2) protects judicial officers from exposure to excessive financial risk for acts committed within the scope of their judicial duties, and (3) lowers the risk of conduct that could lead to complaints through required ethics training for judicial officers. |

## State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund <br> Fund Condition Statement <br> April 2024

| Updated: April 12, 2024 |  |  |  |  | Estimated |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Description | 2020-21 <br> (Year-End <br> Financial <br> Statement) | $2021-22$ <br> (Year-End <br> Financial <br> Statement) | 2022-23 <br> (Year-End <br> Financial <br> Statement) | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | E |
| 1 | Beginning Balance | 21,152,288 | 16,886,288 | 23,242,054 | 38,128,109 | 32,236,659 | 28,540,659 |
| 2 | Prior-Year Adjustments | 2,422,000 | 8,176,338 | 8,638,611 | -3,200,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Adjusted Beginning Balance | 23,574,288 | 25,062,626 | 31,880,665 | 34,928,109 | 32,236,659 | 28,540,659 |
| 4 | REVENUES ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Jury Instructions Royalties | 466,000 | 538,154 | 429,853 | 576,000 | 560,000 | 560,000 |
| 6 | Interest from Surplus Money Investment Fund | 242,000 | 210,218 | 1,550,086 | 1,727,000 | 1,296,000 | 1,037,000 |
| 7 | Escheat-Unclaimed Checks, Warrants, Bonds | 65,000 | 0 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 8 | 50/50 Excess Fines Split Revenue | 7,288,250 | 4,986,200 | 7,504,000 | 2,863,000 | 2,720,000 | 2,584,000 |
| 9 | 2\% Automation Fund Revenue | 7,925,750 | 8,455,157 | 8,327,104 | 8,479,000 | 8,394,000 | 8,394,000 |
| 10 | Other Revenues/State Controller's Office Adjustments | 366,000 | 285,925 | 171,078 | 20,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 11 | Class Action Residue | 911,000 | 952,317 | 329,186 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Subtotal Revenues | 17,264,000 | 15,428,439 | 18,311,387 | 13,666,000 | 12,973,000 | 12,578,000 |
| 13 | Transfers and Other Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | To Trial Court Trust Fund (Gov. Code, § 77209(j)) | -13,397,000 | -13,397,000 | -13,397,000 | -13,397,000 | -13,397,000 | -13,397,000 |
| 15 | To Trial Court Trust Fund (Budget Act) | -594,000 | -594,000 | -594,000 | -594,000 | -594,000 | -594,000 |
| 16 | General Fund Transfer (Gov. Code, § 20825.1) |  | -270,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Total Revenues, Transfers, and Other Adjustments | 3,273,000 | 1,167,439 | 4,320,387 | -325,000 | -1,018,000 | -1,413,000 |
| 18 | Total Resources | 26,847,288 | 26,230,065 | 36,201,052 | 34,603,109 | 31,218,659 | 27,127,659 |
| 19 | EXPENDITURES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Judicial Branch Total State Operations | 4,635,000 | 5,217,956 | 5,319,495 | 8,184,400 | 10,051,000 | 8,683,000 |
| 21 | Judicial Branch Total Local Assistance | 47,825,000 | 44,734,883 | 36,857,436 | 38,283,050 | 36,531,000 | 36,859,000 |
| 22 | Pro Rata and Other Adjustments | 289,000 | 307,171 | 180,012 | 117,000 | 314,000 | 314,000 |
| 23 | Less funding provided by General Fund (Local Assistance) | -42,788,000 | -47,272,000 | -44,284,000 | -44,218,000 | -44,218,000 | -44,218,000 |
| 24 | Total Expenditures and Adjustments | 9,961,000 | 2,988,011 | -1,927,057 | 2,366,450 | 2,678,000 | 1,638,000 |
| 25 | Fund Balance | 16,886,288 | 23,242,054 | 38,128,109 | 32,236,659 | 28,540,659 | 25,489,659 |
| 26 | Fund Balance - less restricted funds | 12,775,459 | 19,677,611 | 35,864,950 | 30,072,500 | 26,426,500 | 23,375,500 |
| 27 | Structural Balance | -6,688,000 | -1,820,572 | 6,247,444 | -2,691,450 | -3,696,000 | -3,051,000 |

${ }^{1}$ Revenue estimates are as of 2024-25 Governor's Budget

# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET SERVICES <br> Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (Action Item) 

## Title: $\quad$ State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) Allocation Increase for 2023-24 for Judicial Council Legal Services

## Date: 4/18/2024

Contact: Eric Schnurpfeil, Deputy Chief Counsel, Legal Services
415-865-8936 | eric.schnurpfeil@jud.ca.gov

## Issue

Consider a recommendation from the Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee to provide the Judicial Council's Legal Services office with a $\$ 2$ million IMF allocation in 2023-24 for the Litigation Management Program to address trial court-related litigation expenses and settlements for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Budget Committee) and then the Judicial Council.

## Background

The Judicial Council's Legal Services office provides litigation management services for the defense and indemnification of all judicial branch entities, their bench officers, and employees. Defense of these parties is for government claims, prelitigation claims, and litigation, as well as for various risk-reduction measures as required by Government Code sections 810-811.9, 825$825.6,900.3$, and $995-996.6$ and California Rules of Court, rules 10.201 and 10.202. These obligations are generally referred to as the Litigation Management Program (LMP).

The LMP receives an annual appropriation of $\$ 6.2$ million General Fund. The majority of this funding is for expenditures and settlements related to trial court matters. In 2023-24, the LMP incurred three large trial court-related settlements that substantially affected the budget, and there is a pending fee settlement in a nearly 10-year trial court litigation. The current year's settlement expense is the second largest in the last decade.

As a result of the number of high-expense trial court matters and several high-value trial court settlements, the LMP appropriation for 2023-24 has been fully used. Additional funding of $\$ 2$ million is necessary to continue servicing the LMP portfolio of cases and fund higher value settlements before the end of the current fiscal year. Overall, settlement values are generally increasing, particularly with employment matters for which recovery of attorney's fees often drives up the settlement value.

Litigation expenditures were generally consistent with those of past years, but costs in the current year were affected by a significant litigation matter that was granted summary judgment a week before trial, as well as three high-expense employment cases. While the sizeable amount of trial settlements affected the budget, overall litigation expenses have continued to rise and remain a growing pressure on the fund.

The IMF is an appropriate fund source to pay for trial court-related expenditures to ensure the continuity of LMP services. Attachment A reflects the approved year to date IMF allocations for the Judicial Council. This request for $\$ 2$ million is reflected in the IMF Fund Condition Statement (Attachment B). Based on current revenue estimates, the fund will have a sufficient balance for the requested allocation in 2023-24.

As cost increases for LMP are expected to continue, an IMF allocation of $\$ 1.7$ million will be requested for 2024-25 to supplement the General Fund appropriation. Legal Services will evaluate program costs and available resources to determine if a future budget change proposal is needed to ensure the program is adequately funded.

## Recommendation

The following recommendation is presented to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee for consideration:

Approve a $\$ 2$ million 2023-24 IMF allocation for Legal Services for the LMP to address trial court-related litigation expenses and settlements. Doing so will ensure that trial courts will be defended and indemnified as required by California Rules of Court, rules 10.201 and 10.202.

## Attachments

1. Attachment A: Judicial Council of California Approved 2023-24 Allocations, State Trial Court IMF, State Operations and Local Assistance Appropriations
2. Attachment B: State Trial Court IMF Fund Condition Statement, April 2024

State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund State Operations and Local Assistance Appropriations

| Updated: April 12, 2024 |  |  | Approved 2023-24 Allocations |  |  | Proposed 2023-24 Allocations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Program Name | Office | State Operations | Local Assistance | Total | IMF Allocation Increase | Total |
| A | B | C | D | E | F = ( $\mathrm{D}+\mathbf{E}$ ) | G | $\mathbf{H}=(\mathbf{F}+\mathbf{G})$ |
| 1 | Audit Services | AS | 372,000 | \$ - | \$ 372,000 | \$ | 372,000 |
| 2 | Trial Court Master Agreements | BAP | 182,000 | - | 182,000 | - | 182,000 |
| 3 | Treasury Services - Cash Management | BAP | 110,000 | - | 110,000 | - | 110,000 |
| 4 | Data Analytics Advisory Committee | BMS | - | 9,000 | 9,000 | - | 9,000 |
| 5 | Budget Focused Training and Meetings | BS | - | 25,000 | 25,000 | - | 25,000 |
| 6 | Revenue Distribution Training | BS | - | 10,000 | 10,000 | - | 10,000 |
| 7 | Treasury Services - Cash Management | BS | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | Domestic Violence Forms Translation | CFCC | - | 17,000 | 17,000 | - | 17,000 |
| 9 | Interactive Software - Self-Rep Electronic Forms | CFCC | - | 60,000 | 60,000 | - | 60,000 |
| 10 | Self-Help Center | CFCC | - | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 | - | 5,000,000 |
| 11 | Statewide Multidisciplinary Education | CFCC | - | 67,000 | 67,000 | - | 67,000 |
| 12 | Shriver Civil Counsel - cy près Funding | CFCC | - | 893,000 | 893,000 | - | 893,000 |
| 13 | Statewide Support for Self-Help Programs | CFCC | - | 100,000 | 100,000 | - | 100,000 |
| 14 | Court Interpreter Testing etc. | CFCC | - | 143,000 | 143,000 | - | 143,000 |
| 15 | CJER Faculty | CJER | - | 48,000 | 48,000 | - | 48,000 |
| 16 | Essential Court Management Education | CJER | 40,000 |  | 40,000 | - | 40,000 |
| 17 | Essential Court Personnel Education | CJER | - | 130,000 | 130,000 | - | 130,000 |
| 18 | Judicial Education | CJER | - | 1,284,000 | 1,284,000 | - | 1,284,000 |
| 19 | Jury System Improvement Projects | CJS | - | 9,000 | 9,000 | - | 9,000 |
| 20 | Trial Court Labor Relations Academies and Forums | HR | - | 23,000 | 23,000 | - | 23,000 |
| 21 | Data Center and Cloud Service | IT | 2,215,000 | 4,471,000 | 6,686,000 | - | 6,686,000 |
| 22 | Uniform Civil Filing Services | IT | 399,000 | 3,000 | 402,000 | - | 402,000 |
| 23 | California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR) | IT | 418,000 | 537,000 | 955,000 | - | 955,000 |
| 24 | Telecommunications | IT | - | 14,500,000 | 14,500,000 | - | 14,500,000 |
| 25 | Enterprise Policy \& Planning (Statewide Planning and Dev Support) | IT | 1,044,000 | 2,500,000 | 3,544,000 | - | 3,544,000 |
| 26 | Data Integration | IT | 703,000 | 993,000 | 1,696,000 | - | 1,696,000 |
| 27 | Jury Management System | IT | - | 665,000 | 665,000 | - | 665,000 |
| 28 | Case Management System Replacement | IT | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29 | Telecom | IT | 1,297,000 | 4,384,000 | 5,681,000 | - | 5,681,000 |
| 30 | Digitizing Court Records | IT | - | 721,490 | 721,490 | - | 721,490 |
| 31 | Jury System Improvement Projects | LS | - | 10,000 | 10,000 | - | 10,000 |
| 32 | Regional Office Assistance Group | LS | 861,000 | - | 861,000 | - | 861,000 |
| 33 | Litigation Management Program | LS | - | - | - | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 |
| 34 | Judicial Performance Defense Insurance | LSS | - | 1,931,000 | 1,931,000 | - | 1,931,000 |
|  | Total |  | \$ 7,641,000 | \$ 38,533,490 | \$ 46,174,490 | \$ 2,000,000 | \$ 48,174,490 |



## State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund <br> Fund Condition Statement <br> April 2024

| Updated: April 12, 2024 |  |  |  |  | Estimated |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Description | 2020-21 <br> (Year-End <br> Financial <br> Statement) | 2021-22 <br> (Year-End <br> Financial <br> Statement) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2022-23 } \\ \text { (Year-End } \\ \hline \text { Financial } \\ \text { Statement) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | E |
| 1 | Beginning Balance | 21,152,288 | 16,886,288 | 23,242,054 | 38,128,109 | 32,236,659 | 28,540,659 |
| 2 | Prior-Year Adjustments | 2,422,000 | 8,176,338 | 8,638,611 | -3,200,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Adjusted Beginning Balance | 23,574,288 | 25,062,626 | 31,880,665 | 34,928,109 | 32,236,659 | 28,540,659 |
| 4 | REVENUES ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Jury Instructions Royalties | 466,000 | 538,154 | 429,853 | 576,000 | 560,000 | 560,000 |
| 6 | Interest from Surplus Money Investment Fund | 242,000 | 210,218 | 1,550,086 | 1,727,000 | 1,296,000 | 1,037,000 |
| 7 | Escheat-Unclaimed Checks, Warrants, Bonds | 65,000 | 0 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 8 | 50/50 Excess Fines Split Revenue | 7,288,250 | 4,986,200 | 7,504,000 | 2,863,000 | 2,720,000 | 2,584,000 |
| 9 | 2\% Automation Fund Revenue | 7,925,750 | 8,455,157 | 8,327,104 | 8,479,000 | 8,394,000 | 8,394,000 |
| 10 | Other Revenues/State Controller's Office Adjustments | 366,000 | 285,925 | 171,078 | 20,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 11 | Class Action Residue | 911,000 | 952,317 | 329,186 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Subtotal Revenues | 17,264,000 | 15,428,439 | 18,311,387 | 13,666,000 | 12,973,000 | 12,578,000 |
| 13 | Transfers and Other Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | To Trial Court Trust Fund (Gov. Code, § 77209(j)) | -13,397,000 | -13,397,000 | -13,397,000 | -13,397,000 | -13,397,000 | -13,397,000 |
| 15 | To Trial Court Trust Fund (Budget Act) | -594,000 | -594,000 | -594,000 | -594,000 | -594,000 | -594,000 |
| 16 | General Fund Transfer (Gov. Code, § 20825.1) |  | -270,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Total Revenues, Transfers, and Other Adjustments | 3,273,000 | 1,167,439 | 4,320,387 | -325,000 | -1,018,000 | -1,413,000 |
| 18 | Total Resources | 26,847,288 | 26,230,065 | 36,201,052 | 34,603,109 | 31,218,659 | 27,127,659 |
| 19 | EXPENDITURES: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Judicial Branch Total State Operations | 4,635,000 | 5,217,956 | 5,319,495 | 8,184,400 | 10,051,000 | 8,683,000 |
| 21 | Judicial Branch Total Local Assistance | 47,825,000 | 44,734,883 | 36,857,436 | 38,283,050 | 36,531,000 | 36,859,000 |
| 22 | Pro Rata and Other Adjustments | 289,000 | 307,171 | 180,012 | 117,000 | 314,000 | 314,000 |
| 23 | Less funding provided by General Fund (Local Assistance) | -42,788,000 | -47,272,000 | -44,284,000 | -44,218,000 | -44,218,000 | -44,218,000 |
| 24 | Total Expenditures and Adjustments | 9,961,000 | 2,988,011 | -1,927,057 | 2,366,450 | 2,678,000 | 1,638,000 |
| 25 | Fund Balance | 16,886,288 | 23,242,054 | 38,128,109 | 32,236,659 | 28,540,659 | 25,489,659 |
| 26 | Fund Balance - less restricted funds | 12,775,459 | 19,677,611 | 35,864,950 | 30,072,500 | 26,426,500 | 23,375,500 |
| 27 | Structural Balance | -6,688,000 | -1,820,572 | 6,247,444 | -2,691,450 | -3,696,000 | -3,051,000 |

[^1]
# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA <br> BUDGET SERVICES <br> Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee <br> (Action Item) 

## Title: 2024-25 Allocations From the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) and Trial Court Allocations

Date: 5/1/2024
Contact: Oksana Tuk, Senior Analyst, Judicial Council Budget Services 916-643-8027 | oksana.tuk@jud.ca.gov

## Issue

Government Code section 68502.5 (c)(2)(A) requires the Judicial Council to make a preliminary allocation to the trial courts in July and to finalize allocations in January of each fiscal year. The 2024-25 TCTF and General Fund (GF) allocations are included for review and approval by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) and for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Budget Committee) and then the Judicial Council at its July 12, 2024, business meeting.

## CPI Funding and Definition of "New Money"

At its January 12, 2018, business meeting, the Judicial Council approved new policy parameters for the Workload Formula (WF) that specifically addressed how new money included in the budget is to be allocated in the WF, including the definition of "new money":
[A]ny new ongoing allocation of general discretionary dollars to support costs of trial court workload, excluding funding for benefits and retirement increases. ${ }^{1}$

In fiscal years 2021-22, 2022-23, and 2023-24, the budget included a Consumer Price Increase (CPI) adjustment to address trial court operational cost increases due to inflation. This funding was intended to benefit all courts. Therefore, it was not allocated per WF methodology, but rather it was allocated proportionally based on applying the CPI percentage increase to the prior year's WF allocation for each court in each respective fiscal year. By allocating the CPI increases in that manner, the council did not specifically address whether the CPI increases, on their own, meet the definition of "new money."

CPI increases have been included in the budget only in recent years. The 2024-25 proposed Governor's Budget does not include a CPI adjustment or any other new funding for the trial courts due to the state's projected fiscal deficit. Inquiries from trial courts have raised the issue

[^2]of whether CPI adjustments should be considered "new money" for the purpose of allocating funding via WF methodology.

Those inquiries resulted in a discussion at the Funding Methodology Subcommittee (FMS) meeting on March 7, 2024. FMS recommended that CPI funding included in the budget to address inflationary costs for the trial courts should not be considered "new money" for the purpose of allocating funding via the Workload Formula and, therefore, the definition of "new money" in the policy should be revised to exclude CPI funding. ${ }^{2}$

## Funding Reallocation in Fiscal Years With "No New Money"

At its January 17, 2020, business meeting, the council approved recommendations to make technical refinements to the WF policy parameters. Specifically, the allocation of funding for every second year in which no new money was included in the budget was now based on the beginning WF allocations, distributed to courts via distance from the statewide average and size based on WF need, in the following sequence:

1. Up to a 1 percent reduction for courts above the 2 percent band to courts below the 2 percent band.
2. Up to a 2 percent reduction for courts above 105 percent of funding need to courts below the 2 percent band.
3. Courts above 105 percent of funding need will not fall below 104 percent of funding need.
4. Courts that penetrate into the band following the up-to-1-percent reallocation will not be eligible for additional funding from the 2 percent reallocation from courts above 105 percent of funding need. ${ }^{3}$

Since the WF was implemented in 2018-19, there have been no instances of the reallocation of funding due to a second year of no new money being included in the budget. The funding reallocation of $\$ 7.2$ million for 2024-25 was calculated based on the above steps and is displayed in Attachment 3B, columns E and F.

[^3]
## Base, Discretionary, and Nondiscretionary Programs

1. Program 0140010 - Judicial Council
a. Revenue and Expenditure (R\&E) Subcommittee allocation recommendations ${ }^{4}$ for Judicial Council staff of $\$ 4$ million (Attachment 3A, column J, line 29).
2. Program 0150010 - Support for Operation of the Trial Courts
a. TCTF allocation of $\$ 2.8$ billion (Attachment 3B, column W).
b. New allocations of:
i. $\$ 35.6$ million for non-court interpreter benefits cost change for 2024-25 (Attachment 3B, column D); and
ii. $\$ 7.2$ million in funding reallocation (Attachment 3 B , columns E and F).
c. R\&E Subcommittee allocation recommendation for support for operation of the trial courts of $\$ 48.7$ million (Attachment 3A, column J, line 30).
3. Program 0150011 - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel
a. An allocation of $\$ 186.7$ million for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel (Attachment 3A, column J, line 31).
i. This item is included as a single amount; the detail is presented under Item 7 of this meeting's agenda.
4. Program 0150010 - Pretrial Funding
a. An allocation of $\$ 68.9$ million for pretrial (Attachment 3B, column V).
i. This item is included as a single amount; the detail is presented under Item 8 of this meeting's agenda.
5. Program 0150010 - CARE Act Funding
a. An allocation of $\$ 52.7$ million for the CARE Act (Attachment 3B, column L).
i. This item is included as a single amount; the detail is presented under Item 5 of this meeting's agenda.
6. Program 0150037 - Court Interpreters
a. R\&E Subcommittee allocation recommendation of $\$ 87,000$ for the Court Interpreter Data Collection System (Attachment 3A, column J, line 32).
7. Program 0150095 - Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts
a. R\&E Subcommittee allocation recommendation of $\$ 26.6$ million for expenditures incurred by the Judicial Council on behalf of the trial courts (Attachment 3A, column J, line 33).
[^4]
## Trial Court Trust Fund

$\$ 50.0$ million from the TCTF for support for operation of the trial courts (Attachment 3B, column B). The $\$ 50.0$ million allocation was previously funded by the State Court Facilities Construction Fund (SCFCF). However, the TCTF is supporting this allocation since 2023-24 to allow for solvency of the SCFCF.

## General Fund

$\$ 68.8$ million GF for trial court employee benefits (Attachment 3B, column U).

## 2024-25 Workload Formula Allocation

The 2024-25 WF allocation includes allocations, revenues, and adjustments of $\$ 2.6$ billion (Attachment 3C, column Y). The allocations are draft and may be adjusted as needed for technical changes.

Changes to the prior year WF allocation include:
a. Adjustment to the subordinate judicial officer allocation totaling - $\$ 2.2$ million (Attachment 3C, column M);
b. A change of $\$ 193,000$ in Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics collections from 2021-22 to 2022-23 (Attachment 3C, column N);
c. 2024-25 non-interpreter benefit cost changes totaling $\$ 35.6$ million (Attachment 3C, column O);
d. Criminal Justice Realignment funding of $\$ 9.2$ million (Attachment 3C, column P );
e. 2022-23 revenues collected totaling $\$ 50.7$ million (Attachment 3C, column Q); ${ }^{5}$
f. Funding reallocation of $\$ 7.2$ million as outlined in the "Funding Reallocation in Fiscal Years with 'No New Money'" section above (Attachment 3C, columns R and S); and
g. 2024-25 funding floor adjustment, with all other courts sharing a pro rata adjustment in the funding floor allocation (Attachment 3C, column V). The funding floor adjustment may change based on final appropriations included in the 2024 Budget Act.

## Resource Assessment Study (RAS) Model Update and Workload Formula

The 2024-25 RAS model, which is the foundation of the WF, uses the most recent three-year average filings (2020-21, 2021-22, and 2022-23) and caseweights that were approved by the Judicial Council in 2017. ${ }^{6}$

[^5]The Data Analytics Advisory Committee is currently updating the RAS model caseweights and other parameters. The updated model is anticipated to be approved by the Judicial Council in early 2025.

## Pending Allocations

Items pending allocation from the Program 0150010 appropriation include the following:
a. Under Government Code section 77203(b), "a trial court may carry over unexpended funds in an amount not to exceed 3 percent of the court's operating budget from the prior fiscal year," effective June 30, 2020. The proposed budget includes trailer bill language to increase the trial court fund balance cap from 3 percent to 5 percent or $\$ 100,000$, whichever is greater, to ensure that trial courts have adequate reserve funding to support operational needs and address emergency expenditures.

Because the courts have until July 15, 2024, to provide their preliminary 2023-24 ending fund balances, the preliminary reduction amounts related to trial court reserves above the cap referenced in Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(A) will not be available in time to be considered by the TCBAC and make a recommendation to the council for its July 12, 2024, business meeting. Therefore, the TCBAC will consider the final allocation reductions for fund balances above the statutory cap prior to its recommendation to the Judicial Council before January 2025.
b. In 2021-22, an ongoing $\$ 30$ million was provided for increasing the number of court reporters in family law and civil cases as well as an ongoing $\$ 7$ million to cover the costs associated with increased transcript rates. An update to the funding methodology and allocation recommendation for 2024-25 is being presented to the TCBAC under Item 9 of this meeting agenda.
c. Using the council-approved formula, the allocation of funding collected through the dependency counsel collections program will be brought to the TCBAC and Judicial Council once final 2023-24 collections are known.
d. Various revenue distributions as required by statute or as authorized charges for the cost of programs or cash advances.

## Potential Impacts to Allocations

a. Allocation changes may be necessary to the extent there are changes to appropriations and associated language in the 2024 Budget Act.
b. To address the projected budget shortfall, the proposed budget includes a number of solutions to achieve a balanced budget, one of which is a one-time reversion of $\$ 5$ million of the $\$ 10$ million available in the Trial Court Emergency Fund. The proposed budget maintains $\$ 5$ million to support emergency situations, revenue shortages, or budgetary imbalances. This $\$ 5$ million in emergency funding assumes no allocations in 2024-25. If funding is allocated in 2024-25, courts would need to replenish the funding up to what was allocated by the council from their 2025-26 base allocation. ${ }^{7}$

[^6]The projected 2024-25 ending TCTF fund balance is $\$ 147.9$ million (Attachment 3D, column F, row 27). Of this amount, approximately $\$ 67.8$ million is either statutorily restricted or restricted by the council (Attachment 3D, column F, row 29). The estimated unrestricted fund balance is $\$ 80.1$ million (Attachment 3D, column F, row 30). The 2024-25 preliminary allocation requests totaling $\$ 3$ billion can be supported by the TCTF based on revenue projections and projected savings in the current year.

## Recommendation

The following recommendations to the TCBAC, which assume that the funding proposed in the Governor's Budget will remain in the final Budget Act of 2024, include R\&E Subcommittee and FMS recommendations, and an informational update on RAS filings data related to the WF:

- Approve the recommendation that CPI funding included in the budget to address inflationary costs for the trial courts is not considered "new money" for the purpose of allocating funding via the WF and, therefore, revise the definition of "new money" in the policy to exclude CPI funding.
- Approve base, discretionary, and nondiscretionary program allocations from the TCTF in the amount of $\$ 3.1$ billion (Attachment 3B, column AD), including:
- TCTF allocation of $\$ 50$ million for support for operation of the trial courts (Attachment 3B, column B); and
- GF allocation of $\$ 68.8$ million for employee benefits (Attachment 3B, column U).
- As a subset of the $\$ 3.1$ billion total allocation, approve a WF allocation of $\$ 2.6$ billion based on methodologies approved by the Judicial Council (Attachment 3C, column Y).


## Attachments

Attachment 3A: Judicial Council of California, Approved 2023-24 and Proposed 2024-25
Allocations, State Operations and Local Assistance, Trial Court Trust Fund
Attachment 3B: 2024-25 TCTF Recommended Preliminary Allocations
Attachment 3C: 2024-25 Workload Formula Allocations
Attachment 3D: Trial Court Trust Fund, Fund Condition Statement

|  |  |  |  | 2023-24 Allocations |  |  | Recommended 2024-25 Allocations |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Program Name | Program Number | Office | State Operations | Local Assistance | Total <br> Approved Allocations | State Operations | Local Assistance | Total <br> Proposed <br> Allocations | $\begin{gathered} \$ \$ \\ \text { Change from } \\ 2023-24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change from } \\ 2023-24 \end{gathered}$ |
| A | B | C | D | E | F | $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{F})$ | H | I | $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{H}+\mathbf{I})$ | K (J - G) | L (K/G) |
| 1 | SCO Audit - Pilot program per GC 77206 (h)(4) | 0150095 | AS |  | \$ 540,000 | \$ 540,000 |  | 540,000 | \$ 540,000 |  | 0\% |
| 2 | California State Auditor Audits | 0150010 | AS |  |  |  |  | 325,000 | 325,000 | 325,000 |  |
| 3 | Phoenix Financial Services | 0140010 | BAP | 94,000 |  | 94,000 | 103,000 |  | 103,000 | 9,000 | 10\% |
| 4 | Phoenix HR Services | 0140010 | BAP | 1,756,000 |  | 1,756,000 | 1,723,000 |  | 1,723,000 | $(33,000)$ | -2\% |
| 5 | Other Post Employment Benefits Valuations | 0150095 | BAP |  | 530,850 | 530,850 |  | 131,000 | 131,000 | $(399,850)$ | -75\% |
| 6 | Statewide Support for Collections Programs | 0140010 | BS | 601,000 |  | 601,000 | 597,000 |  | 597,000 | $(4,000)$ | -1\% |
| 7 | Jury | 0150010 | BS |  | 18,700,000 | 18,700,000 |  | 18,700,000 | 18,700,000 | - | 0\% |
| 8 | Elder Abuse | 0150010 | BS |  | 1,300,000 | 1,300,000 |  | 1,400,000 | 1,400,000 | 100,000 | 8\% |
| 9 | SCO Administrative Costs per GC $68085(\mathrm{~g})$ | 0150010 | BS |  | 75,000 | 75,000 |  | 88,000 | 88,000 | 13,000 | 17\% |
| 10 | Children in Dependency Case Training | 0150095 | CFCC |  | 113,000 | 113,000 |  | 113,000 | 113,000 |  | 0\% |
| 11 | Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Pilot Program | 0140010 | CFCC | 1,073,000 |  | 1,073,000 | 780,000 |  | 780,000 | $(293,000)$ | -27\% |
| 12 | Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Pilot Program ${ }^{1}$ | 0150095 | CFCC |  | 15,832,000 | 15,832,000 |  | 21,032,000 | 21,032,000 | 5,200,000 | 33\% |
| 13 | Equal Access Fund | 0140010 | CFCC | 274,000 |  | 274,000 | 274,000 |  | 274,000 | - | 0\% |
| 14 | Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections | 0140010 | CFCC | 556,000 |  | 556,000 | 556,000 |  | 556,000 | - | 0\% |
| 15 | Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel | 0150011 | CFCC |  | 186,700,000 | 186,700,000 |  | 186,700,000 | 186,700,000 | - | 0\% |
| 16 | Juvenile Dependency Collections Reimbursement | 0150010 | CFCC |  | 364,976 | 364,976 |  | 350,000 | 350,000 | $(14,976)$ | -4\% |
| 17 | Self-Help Center | 0150010 | CFCC |  | 25,300,000 | 25,300,000 |  | 25,300,000 | 25,300,000 |  | 0\% |
| 18 | Screening Equipment Replacement | 0150010 | FS |  | 2,286,000 | 2,286,000 |  | 2,511,000 | 2,511,000 | 225,000 | 10\% |
| 19 | Court Interpreters Data Collections System (CIDCS) | 0150037 | IT | 87,000 |  | 87,000 | 87,000 |  | 87,000 | - | 0\% |
| 20 | Data Center and Cloud Services | 0150095 | IT |  | 1,372,457 | 1,372,457 |  | 4,611,000 | 4,611,000 | 3,238,543 | 236\% |
| 21 | Electronic Courts of Appeal Record and Transcripts (eCART) Program | 0150095 | IT |  | 200,000 | 200,000 |  | 200,000 | 200,000 | - | 0\% |
| 22 | Total Allocations |  |  | \$ 4,441,000 | \$ 253,314,283 | \$ 257,755,283 | \$ 4,120,000 | \$ 262,001,000 | \$ 266,121,000 | \$ 8,365,717 | 3.25\% |


|  | Totals by Office | Office | State Operations | Local Assistance | Total Approved Allocations | State Operations | Local Assistance | Total <br> Proposed <br> Allocations | \$ <br> Change from <br> 2023-24 | Change from <br> 2023-24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legend |  | E | F | G (E + F) | H | I | $\mathbf{J}$ (H+1) | K (J-G) | L (K / G) |
| 23 | Audit Services | AS | \$ - | 540,000 | 540,000 | \$ | 865,000 | 865,000 | 325,000 | 60.19\% |
| 24 | Branch Accounting and Procurement | BAP | 1,850,000 | 530,850 | 2,380,850 | 1,826,000 | 131,000 | 1,957,000 | $(423,850)$ | -17.80\% |
| 25 | Budget Services | BS | 601,000 | 20,075,000 | 20,676,000 | 597,000 | 20,188,000 | 20,785,000 | 109,000 | 0.53\% |
| 26 | Center for Families, Children and the Courts | CFCC | 1,903,000 | 228,309,976 | 230,212,976 | 1,610,000 | 233,495,000 | 235,105,000 | 4,892,024 | 2.12\% |
| 27 | Facility Services | FS |  | 2,286,000 | 2,286,000 |  | 2,511,000 | 2,511,000 | 225,000 | 9.84\% |
| 28 | Information Technology | IT | 87,000 | 1,572,457 | 1,659,457 | 87,000 | 4,811,000 | 4,898,000 | 3,238,543 | 195.16\% |
| Total Allocations |  |  | \$ 4,441,000 | \$ 253,314,283 | \$ 257,755,283 | \$ 4,120,000 | \$ 262,001,000 | \$ 266,121,000 | \$ 8,365,717 | 3.25\% |


|  | Totals by Program | Program Number | State Operations |  | LocalAssistance |  | Total Approved Allocations |  | State Operations |  | LocalAssistance |  | Total <br> Proposed <br> Allocations |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ \$ \\ \text { Change from } \\ 2023-24 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \\ \text { Change from } \\ 2023-24 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Legend |  |  | E |  | F |  | G (E+F) |  | H |  | I |  | $\mathbf{J}$ (H+1) |  | K (J-G) | L (K / G) |
| 29 | Judicial Council (Staff) | 0140010 | \$ | 4,354,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 4,354,000 | \$ | 4,033,000 | \$ |  | \$ | 4,033,000 |  | (321,000) | -7.37\% |
| 30 | Support for the Operation of the Trial Courts | 0150010 |  | - |  | 48,025,976 |  | 48,025,976 |  | - |  | 48,674,000 |  | 48,674,000 |  | 648,024 | 1.35\% |
| 31 | Court Appointed Dependency Counsel | 0150011 |  |  |  | 186,700,000 |  | 186,700,000 |  |  |  | 186,700,000 |  | 186,700,000 |  |  | 0.00\% |
| 32 | Court Interpreters | 0150037 |  | 87,000 |  |  |  | 87,000 |  | 87,000 |  | - |  | 87,000 |  | - | 0.00\% |
| 33 | Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts | 0150095 |  | - |  | 18,588,307 |  | 18,588,307 |  |  |  | 26,627,000 |  | 26,627,000 |  | 8,038,693 | 43.25\% |
|  |  | Total Allocations | \$ | 4,441,000 | \$ | 253,314,283 |  | 257,755,283 | \$ | 4,120,000 | \$ | 262,001,000 | \$ | 266,121,000 |  | - 8,365,717 | 3.25\% |

[^7]| Court | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2023-24 } \\ \text { Ending } \\ \text { Trial Court } \\ \text { Trust fund } \\ \text { (TCTF) } \\ \text { Ongoing Base } \\ \text { Allocation } \end{gathered}$ | $2024-25$ Ongoing base allocations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | G1812110 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Trial Court Operations Allocation Funded from TCTF (former SCFCF) | Ongoing Appropriation to Fund Trial Court Security | 2024-25Non-Interpreter <br> Benefit Cost <br> Change <br> Funding | Funding to Reallocate for Donor Couts (second year of no "new money") | Funding to Reallocate to Recipient Courts (second year of no "new money") | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Ongoing } \\ \text { Allocations } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | A | B | c | D | E | F | 6 (B;F) |
| Alameda | 88,991,670 | 2,104,111 |  | 1,002,908 | (946,452) |  | 2,160,567 |
| Alpine | 838,968 | 21,282 |  | 22,530 |  |  | 43,812 |
| Amador | 4,093,210 | 62,182 |  | 191,071 |  |  | 253,253 |
| Butte | 14,018,569 | 273,524 |  | 415,925 | (146,900) |  | 542,550 |
| Calaveras | 3,269,572 | 58,645 |  | 14,809 |  | 37,676 | 111,130 |
| Colusa | 2,362,972 | 48,701 |  | 28,830 |  |  | 77,531 |
| Contra Costa | 50,377,376 | 1,132,213 |  | (309,097) |  | 599,078 | 1,422,194 |
| Del Norte | 3,647,004 | 69,702 |  | 109,148 |  |  | 178,850 |
| El Dorado | 9,042,278 | 186,535 |  | 143,535 |  | 108,195 | 438,265 |
| Fresno | 59,887,765 | 1,211,523 |  | 1,417,503 | (662,872) |  | 1,966,154 |
| Glenn | 2,868,749 | 52,813 |  | 51,851 |  |  | 104,664 |
| Humboldt | 8,013,300 | 172,432 |  | 91,433 | (93, 184 |  | 170,681 |
| Imperial | 10,296,136 | 237,510 |  | 80,091 | (242,200) |  | 75,401 |
| Inyo | 2,522,842 | 57,03 |  | 37,523 |  |  | 94,526 |
| Kern | 61,233,870 | 1,122,339 |  | 2,080,729 | (687,763) |  | 2,515,305 |
| Kings | 10,797,809 | 185,312 |  | 113,124 |  |  | 298,436 |
| Lake | 5,155,871 | 93,356 |  | 110,949 |  | 60,562 | 264,867 |
| Lassen | 2,625,010 | 65,929 |  | 47,203 |  |  | 113,132 |
| Los Angeles | 706,591,784 | 14,700,731 |  | 8,182,120 |  |  | 22,882,851 |
| Madera | 11,895, 363 | 200,598 |  | 283,852 |  |  | 484,450 |
| Marin | 12,971,963 | 337,855 |  | 134,371 |  | 23,232 | 495,457 |
| Mariposa | 1,838,475 | 33,001 |  | 20,185 |  |  | 53,186 |
| Mendocino | 7,469,724 | 139,029 |  | 140,572 | (77,750) |  | 201,850 |
| Merced | 15,631,050 | 312,868 |  | 228,172 |  |  | 541,040 |
| Modoc | 1,259,686 | 26,220 |  | 37,542 |  |  | 63,762 |
| Mono | 2,248,683 | 43,038 |  | 11,274 |  |  | 54,312 |
| Monterey | 26,106,419 | 472,462 |  | 489,828 |  |  | 962,290 |
| Napa | 9,082,269 | 199,584 |  | 262,589 |  | 90,353 | 552,527 |
| Nevada | 7,031,641 | 139,614 |  | 182,067 |  | 57,609 | 379,290 |
| Orange | 179,104,238 | 3,891,207 |  | 2,296,979 |  | 1,290,673 | 7,478,859 |
| Placer | 24,994,376 | 410,174 |  | 412,441 |  |  | 822,615 |
| Plumas | 1,804,528 | 36,529 |  | 34,324 |  |  | 70,853 |
| Riverside | 134,972,706 | 2,296,005 |  | 2,745,338 |  | 1,556,912 | 6,598,255 |
| Sacramento | 104,543,253 | 2,090,813 |  | 1,280,259 |  | 183,556 | 3,554,628 |
| San Benito | 4,613,356 | 70,059 |  | 73,357 |  |  | 143,416 |
| San Bernardino | 140,469,046 | 2,569,673 |  | (461,927) |  | 1,566,401 | 3,674,147 |
| San Diego | 175,598,915 | 3,882,649 |  | 2,022,388 |  |  | 5,905,037 |
| San Francisco | 56,925,148 | 1,531,727 |  | 1,137,025 | (1,659,153) |  | 1,009,599 |
| San Joaquin | 49,734,494 | 859,541 |  | 591,515 | (535,337) |  | 915,720 |
| San Luis Obispo | 18,264,202 | 376,713 |  | 340,199 | (194,925) |  | 521,987 |
| San Mateo | 40,504,620 | 932,577 |  | 926,488 |  | 490,333 | 2,349,398 |
| Santa Barbara | 26,341,884 | 569,017 |  | 191,196 |  |  | 760,213 |
| Santa Clara | 89,640,157 | 2,129,236 |  | 1,942,632 | (973,540) |  | 3,098,328 |
| Santa Cruz | 16,130,084 | 321,970 |  | 248,082 | (169,408) |  | 400,644 |
| Shasta | 18,576,915 | 337,674 | 660,000 | 296,356 |  |  | 1,294,030 |
| Sierra | 891,087 | 21,571 |  | 29,716 |  |  | 51,287 |
| Siskivou | 4,317,350 | 85,800 |  | 70,489 |  | 25,537 | 181,826 |
| Solano | 28,032,958 | 559,362 |  | 1,030,502 |  |  | 1,589,864 |
| Sonoma | 29,67,947 | 643,923 |  | 1,179,705 | (307, 329) |  | 1,516,299 |
| Stanislaus | 29,356,713 | 540,457 |  | 465,703 |  | 370,548 | 1,376,708 |
| Sutter | 7,996,328 | 127,407 |  | 234,605 |  | 94,853 | 456,865 |
| Tehama | 5,622,719 | 98,606 |  | 129,459 |  |  | 228,065 |
| Trinity | 2,411,108 | 47,850 |  | 4,037 |  | 22,770 | 74,657 |
| Tulare | 31,819,225 | 457,506 |  | 1,258,729 |  | 385,490 | 2,101,724 |
| Tuolumne | 4,954,838 | 85,983 |  | 58,882 | $(50,856)$ |  | 94,009 |
| Ventura | 42,22,019 | 914,809 |  | 1,261,141 | (469,993) |  | 1,705,957 |
| Yolo | 15,565,979 | 245,500 |  | 82,983 |  | 175,048 | 503,531 |
| Yuba | 6,019,484 | 105,550 |  | 76,395 |  | 78,836 | 260,781 |
| Unallocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,433,279,704 | 50,000,000 | 660,000 | 35,581,637 | (7,217,661) | 7,217,661 | 86,241,637 |


| G1812110 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Telephonic Appearances | Criminal Justice Realignment | Court Reporters Funding | Increased Transcript Rates Funding | $\begin{gathered} \text { CARE } \\ \text { Act } \\ \text { funding } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { One-aime } \\ \text { Base } \\ \text { Allocations } \end{gathered}$ |
| H | 1 | 1 | K | L | M (H:L) |
|  | 143,034 |  |  |  | 143,034 |
| 5,790 | 6,471 |  |  |  | 12,261 |
| 15,210 | 164,679 |  |  |  | 179,889 |
| 791 | 8,926 |  |  |  | 9,717 |
|  | 8,033 |  |  |  | 8,033 |
|  | 41,505 |  |  | - | 41,505 |
|  | 19,190 |  |  |  | 19,190 69939 |
| $\begin{array}{r}24,48 \\ 75,930 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4, 5 , 21 24,118 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 69,939 } \\ \hline 320,048 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| 1,230 | 6,025 |  |  |  | 7,255 |
| 12,250 | 34,364 |  |  |  | 46,614 |
| 25,465 | 27,670 |  |  |  | 53,135 |
| 1,395 | 7,587 |  |  |  | 8,982 |
| -3,7,935 | 275,135 |  |  |  | 313,835 |
|  | 48,422 |  |  |  | 54,357 |
|  | 14,951 |  |  |  | 14,951 |
| 4,241 | 8,926 |  |  |  | 13,167 |
|  | 3,094,094 |  | - |  | 3,094,094 |
|  | 41,951 | - |  |  | 41,951 |
| 42,540 | 17,851 |  |  |  | 60,391 |
|  | 3,347 | - |  | $\square$ | 3,347 |
| 8,520 | 84,571 |  |  |  | 93,091 |
| 13,095 | 56,232 |  | - |  | 69,327 |
|  | 5,802 |  |  |  | 6,578 |
| 776 | - 446 |  |  |  | 446 |
| $14,590$ | 47,306 |  |  |  | 47,306 |
|  | 36,149 |  |  |  | 50,739 |
|  | 12,050 |  |  |  | 12,050 |
|  | 490,913 | - |  |  | 490,913 |
| $\frac{24,920}{2,448}$ | 36,595 | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 61,515 |
|  | 2,901 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 5,348 |
|  | 828,305 |  |  |  | 828,305 |
| 43,920 | 175,836 |  |  |  | 219,756 |
|  | 14,356 |  |  |  | 14,356 |
| 239,760 | 954,157 |  |  |  | 1,193,917 |
| $17,515$ | - 481,095 |  |  |  | 481,095 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r}98,852 \\ \hline 76,315\end{array}$ |  |  |  | 116,367 <br> 128,270 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 51,95 \\ & 18,700 \end{aligned}$ | 82,786 |  |  |  | 101,486 |
| $\begin{array}{r}18,700 \\ \hline 3,742 \\ \hline 14719\end{array}$ | 62,034 |  |  |  | 101,776 |
| 44,719 | 41,058 |  |  |  | 85,777 |
|  | 155,530 |  |  |  | 155,530 |
| 21,9049,190 | 34,141 |  |  |  | 56,045 |
|  | 93,274 |  |  |  | 102,464 |
| $\begin{array}{r}9,190 \\ \hline 630\end{array}$ | 223 |  |  |  | 853 |
|  | 4,240 |  |  |  | 4,240 |
| $\frac{42,765}{14,895}$ | 161,109 |  |  |  | 203,874 |
|  | 94,389 |  |  |  | 109,284 |
| 2,795 | 163,563 |  |  |  | 163,563 |
| 1,340 | 21,422 14,504 |  |  |  | 24,217 |
|  | 6,694 |  |  |  | 7,094 |
| 12,890 | 84,348 |  |  |  | 97,238 |
| 6,280 | 17,851 |  |  |  | 24,131 |
|  | 431,558 |  |  |  | 431,558 |
|  | 47,083 |  |  |  | 47,083 |
| 9,456 | 43,513 |  |  |  | 52,969 |
|  |  | 30,000,000 | 7,000,000 | 52,712,662 | 89,712,662 |
| 897,100 | 9,223,000 | 30,000,000 | 7,000,000 | 52,712,662 | 99,832,762 |


| $2024-25$ base allocation adjustments |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2024.25 } \\ \text { Total TTTF } \\ \text { Blase } \\ \text { Allocation } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GL 812110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Floor Allocation Adjustment | Floor Reduction Allocation (TBD) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reduction } \\ \text { forsios } \\ \text { Converion } \\ \text { (Annualization) } \end{gathered}$ | Supplemental Funding (\$5m Reserve) Replenishment | One-Time Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 5\% Cap | Total Base Allocation Adjustments |  |
| N | - | P | a | R | 5 (N:R) | $T(A+G+M+S)$ |
| 25,585 | 88 |  |  |  | 88 | 91,295,359 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 25,585 | 908,366 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4,358,728 |
| $\square$ | 14 |  |  |  | 14 | 14,741,021 |
| $\square$ | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 3,390,422 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2,448,539 |
|  | 52 |  |  |  | 52 | 51,841,126 |
| $\square$ | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | 3,845,048 |
|  | 10 |  |  |  | 10 | 9,550,491 |
| $\square$ | 63 |  |  |  | 63 | 62,174,030 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 2,980,671 |
| $\square$ | 9 |  |  |  |  | 8,230,603 |
|  | 10 |  |  |  | 10 | 10,424,682 |
| - | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2,626,353 |
|  | 66 |  |  |  | 66 | 64,063,075 |
| - | 11 |  |  |  | 11 | 11,150,612 |
|  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 | 5,435,694 |
| - | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 2,751,312 |
|  | 710 |  |  |  | 710 | 732,569,439 |
|  | 13 |  |  |  | 13 | 12,421,776 |
|  | 14 |  |  |  | 14 | 13,527,826 |
| $\square$ | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 1,895,010 |
|  | 8 |  |  |  | 8 | 7,764,673 |
| $\square$ | 16 |  |  |  | 16 | 16,241,433 |
|  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1,330,028 |
| - | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2,303,444 |
|  | 26 |  |  |  | 26 | 27,116,042 |
|  | , |  |  |  | 9 | 9,685,544 |
|  | 7 |  |  |  | 7 | 7,422,988 |
|  | 186 |  |  |  | 186 | 187,074,195 |
| - | 25 |  |  |  | 25 | 25,878,531 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 1,880,732 |
| $\square$ | 135 |  |  |  | 135 | 142,399,401 |
|  | 109 |  |  |  | 109 | 108,317,746 |
| - | 5 |  |  |  | 5 | 4,771,133 |
|  | 136 |  |  |  | 136 | 145,337,246 |
|  | 176 |  |  |  | 176 | 181,985,223 |
| - | $\stackrel{63}{50}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{63}{50}$ | $\frac{58,051,177}{50,778,533}$ |
|  | 18 |  |  |  | 18 | 18,887,694 |
| $\square$ | 43 |  |  |  | 43 | 42,955,836 |
|  | 27 |  |  |  | 27 | 27,187,901 |
|  | 93 |  |  |  | 93 | 92,894,108 |
|  | 16 |  |  |  | 16 | 16,586,789 |
|  | 16 |  |  |  | 16 | 19,973,424 |
| (28,053) |  |  |  |  | (28,053) | 915,174 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | 4,503,420 |
| $\square$ | 29 |  |  |  | 29 | 29,826,724 |
|  | 30 |  |  |  | 30 | 31,302,561 |
| $\square$ | 31 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}31 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}30,897,016 \\ \hline 8,47718\end{array}$ |
|  | 8 |  |  |  | 8 | $8,477,418$ |
|  | 2 |  |  |  | ${ }_{2}$ | 5,866,635 $2,492,862$ |
| - | 33 |  |  |  | 33 | 34,018,219 |
|  | , |  |  |  | 5 | 5,072,984 |
| $\square$ | 44 |  |  |  | 44 | 44,364,577 |
|  | 15 |  |  |  | 15 | 16,116,609 |
|  | 6 |  |  |  | 6 | 6,333,240 |
| $(2,468)$ |  |  |  |  |  | 89,712,662 |
|  | 2,468 |  |  | . | 0 | 2,619,354,102 |





| Workload allocationadjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | workload allocationadjustments |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024-25 <br> Beginning Workload Allocation | Fiscal Neutral Cost Change | Fiscal Neutral Offset | Change in Revenue Collected | Fiscal Neutral Cost Change | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Current } \\ \text { Methodology } \end{array}$ | Revenue Collected | Proposed Reallocation (Fiscal Neutral) |  | 2024-25 <br> Workload <br> Allocation (Prior to Implementing Funding Floor) | 2024-25 Workload Funding Floor Adjustment |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2024-25 \\ \text { Final Workload } \\ \text { Allocation } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Reduction for SJO Conversion | sנo Adjustment (Change from Prior Year) | Automated Recordkeeping \& Micrographics (Change from Prior Year) | 2024-25 <br> NonInterpreter Benefit Cost Change Funding | $\begin{gathered} \text { Criminal } \\ \text { Justice } \\ \text { Realignment } \end{gathered}$ | All Other Applicable Revenue Sources | Funding to Reallocate from Donor Courts (second year of no "new money") | Funding to <br> Reallocate to <br> Recipient <br> Courts <br> (second year of <br> no "new <br> money") |  | Applied Funding Floor | Floor Allocation Adjustment | Percentage <br> Share of <br> Reduction | Reduction Allocation |  |
| $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{J})$ | L | M | N | 0 | p | a | R | s | $T$ (K:S) | U | v | w | x | $Y(T+V+X)$ |
| 90,022,590 |  | $(43,496)$ | 6,310 | 1,002,908 | 143,034 | 1,639,838 | (946,452) |  | 91,824,733 |  |  | 3.56\% | 88 | 91,824,821 |
| 917,371 |  |  | (5) | 22,530 |  | 13,019 |  |  | 952,915 | 978,500 | 25,585 |  |  | 978,500 |
| 4,128,147 |  | (10,834) | (54) | 191,071 | 6,471 | 171,168 |  |  | 4,485,969 |  |  | 0.17\% | 4 | 4,485,973 |
| 13,692,493 |  | (71,717) | 1,000 | 415,925 | 164,679 | 88,416 | $(146,900)$ |  | 14,143,896 |  |  | 0.55\% | 14 | 14,143,910 |
| 3,459,084 |  | (135,947) | (21) | 14,809 | 8,926 | 63,646 |  | 37,676 | 3,448,173 |  |  | 0.13\% | , | 3,448,176 |
| 2,497,483 |  |  | (7) | 28,830 | 8,033 | 14,620 |  |  | 2,548,958 |  |  | 0.10\% | 2 | 2,548,961 |
| 53,022,602 |  | $(6,134)$ | 6,438 | (309,097) | 41,505 | 581,127 |  | 599,078 | 53,935,518 |  |  | 2.09\% | 52 | 53,935,570 |
| 3,872,645 |  |  | 72 | 109,148 | 19,190 | 620,758 |  |  | 4,621,814 | , |  | 0.18\% | 4 | 4,621,818 |
| 9,499,563 |  | 6,038 | 137 | 143,535 | 45,521 | 145,984 |  | 108,195 | 9,948,972 |  |  | 0.39\% | 10 | 9,948,982 |
| 63,987,719 |  | 83,877 | 7,648 | 1,417,503 | 244,118 | 421,211 | $(662,872)$ |  | 65,499,203 |  |  | 2.54\% | 63 | 65,499,266 |
| 3,036,669 |  |  | 85 | 51,851 | 6,025 | 11,106 |  |  | 3,105,736 |  |  | 0.12\% | 3 | 3,105,739 |
| 8,093,758 |  | 4,327 | (76) | 91,433 | 34,364 | 1,102,387 | $(93,184)$ |  | 9,233,009 |  | - | 0.36\% | 9 | 9,233,018 |
| 10,248,461 |  | 18,946 | (402) | 80,091 | 27,670 | 157,177 | $(242,200)$ |  | 10,289,744 |  |  | 0.40\% | 10 | 10,289,754 |
| 2,534,342 |  |  | 10 | 37,523 | 7,587 | 28,467 |  |  | 2,607,930 |  |  | 0.10\% | 2 | 2,607,932 |
| 64,790,716 |  | $(108,366)$ | 5,542 | 2,080,729 | 275,135 | 2,371,393 | $(687,763)$ |  | 68,727,385 |  |  | 2.67\% | 66 | 68,727,451 |
| 10,404,947 |  | 23,606 | 117 | 113,124 | 48,422 | 613,644 |  |  | 11,203,859 |  |  | 0.43\% | 11 | 11,203,870 |
| 5,074,023 |  | 5,080 | 132 | 110,949 | 14,951 | 45,020 |  | 60,562 | 5,310,717 |  |  | 0.21\% | 5 | 5,310,722 |
| 2,460,952 |  | (48,956) | 5 | 47,203 | 8,926 | 205,862 |  |  | 2,673,991 |  |  | 0.10\% | 3 | 2,673,993 |
| 714,034,265 |  | (1,599,646) | 111,480 | 8,182,120 | 3,094,094 | 17,694,652 |  |  | 741,516,966 |  |  | 28.78\% | 710 | 741,517,676 |
| 12,260,777 |  | (32,920) | 807 | 283,852 | 41,951 | 600,432 |  | - | 13,154,899 | - |  | 0.51\% | 13 | 13,154,911 |
| 14,198,206 |  | (690) | (574) | 134,371 | 17,851 | 204,452 |  | 23,232 | 14,576,848 |  |  | 0.57\% | 14 | 14,576,862 |
| 1,898,425 |  | $(4,426)$ | (1) | 20,185 | 3,347 | 9,342 |  |  | 1,926,873 |  |  | 0.07\% | 2 | 1,926,875 |
| 7,726,648 |  |  | (85) | 140,572 | 84,571 | 76,159 | (77,750) |  | 7,950,114 |  |  | 0.31\% | 8 | 7,950,122 |
| 16,593,054 |  | 18,678 | 1,289 | 228,172 | 56,232 | 254,583 | - - |  | 17,152,008 |  |  | 0.67\% | 16 | 17,152,024 |
| 1,362,659 |  |  | (73) | 37,542 | 5,802 | 19,031 | $\square$ |  | 1,424,962 |  |  | 0.06\% | 1 | 1,424,963 |
| 2,406,440 |  |  | (30) | 11,274 | 446 | 72,578 |  |  | 2,490,708 |  |  | 0.10\% | 2 | 2,490,710 |
| 26,020,304 |  | 3,302 | 1,307 | 489,828 | 47,306 | 460,196 |  |  | 27,022,244 |  |  | 1.05\% | 26 | 27,022,270 |
| 9,187,842 |  | (956) | 7 | 262,589 | 36,149 | 321,845 |  | 90,353 | 9,897,830 |  |  | 0.38\% | 9 | 9,897,840 |
| 6,537,117 |  | 8,083 | 611 | 182,067 | 12,050 | 52,464 |  | 57,609 | 6,850,002 |  |  | 0.27\% | 7 | 6,850,008 |
| 185,757,471 |  | $(72,355)$ | 8,160 | 2,296,979 | 490,913 | 4,025,581 | - | 1,290,673 | 193,797,421 |  |  | 7.52\% | 186 | 193,797,607 |
| 25,192,419 |  | (17,919) | 1,094 | 412,441 | 36,595 | 214,376 |  |  | 25,839,006 |  |  | 1.00\% | 25 | 25,839,031 |
| 1,910,905 |  |  | 57 | 34,324 | 2,901 | 7,560 |  |  | 1,955,747 |  |  | 0.08\% | 2 | 1,955,749 |
| 134,308,372 |  | (47,897) | 3,010 | 2,745,338 | 828,305 | 1,592,473 |  | 1,556,912 | 140,986,513 |  |  | 5.47\% | 135 | 140,986,648 |
| 107,095,323 | - | 421,253 | (21,847) | 1,280,259 | 175,836 | 4,592,963 |  | 183,556 | 113,727,343 |  |  | 4.41\% | 109 | 113,727,452 |
| 4,806,735 |  |  | (208) | 73,357 | 14,356 | 34,720 | - |  | 4,928,959 |  |  | 0.19\% | 5 | 4,928,964 |
| 138,681,191 |  | (345,541) | 22,335 | (461,927) | 954,157 | 1,631,039 |  | 1,566,401 | 142,047,655 |  |  | 5.51\% | 136 | 142,047,791 |
| 179,479,871 |  | (112,554) | 16,460 | 2,022,388 | 481,095 | 1,578,453 |  |  | 183,465,714 |  |  | 7.12\% | 176 | 183,465,889 |
| 64,304,929 |  | $(2,097)$ | (1,190) | 1,137,025 | 98,852 | 1,447,695 | (1,659,153) |  | 65,326,060 |  |  | 2.54\% | 63 | 65,326,123 |
| 51,085,499 |  | 13,186 | 4,061 | 591,515 | 76,315 | 611,679 | (535,337) |  | 51,846,918 |  |  | 2.01\% | 50 | 51,846,968 |
| 18,513,032 |  | (43,390) | (205) | 340,199 | 82,786 | 521,443 | $(194,925)$ |  | 19,218,941 |  |  | 0.75\% | 18 | 19,218,959 |
| 42,890,186 |  | 93,135 | 632 | 926,488 | 62,034 | 465,124 |  | 490,333 | 44,927,931 |  |  | 1.74\% | 43 | 44,927,974 |
| 27,311,985 |  | (93,570) | 600 | 191,196 | 41,058 | 266,766 |  |  | 27,719,036 |  |  | 1.08\% | 27 | 27,719,063 |
| 94,768,685 |  | (39,935) | 7,098 | 1,942,632 | 155,530 | 997,058 | (973,540) |  | 96,857,528 |  |  | 3.76\% | 93 | 96,857,620 |
| 16,770,295 |  | (86,467) | 424 | 248,082 | 34,141 | 171,136 | (169,408) |  | 16,968,203 |  |  | 0.66\% | 16 | 16,968,219 |
| 16,221,180 |  | 23,603 | 144 | 296,356 | 93,274 | 113,262 |  |  | 16,747,818 |  |  | 0.65\% | 16 | 16,747,834 |
| 960,068 |  |  | (2) | 29,716 | 223 | 16,548 |  |  | 1,006,553 | 978,500 | $(28,053)$ | - |  | 978,500 |
| 4,335,484 |  | 9,505 | 14 | 70,489 | 4,240 | 39,908 |  | 25,537 | 4,485,176 |  |  | 0.17\% | 4 | 4,485,180 |
| 28,167,232 |  | 32,146 | 4,503 | 1,030,502 | 161,109 | 395,971 |  |  | 29,791,462 |  |  | 1.16\% | 29 | 29,791,491 |
| 30,749,040 |  | (370,162) | 1,747 | 1,179,705 | 94,389 | 229,875 | $(307,329)$ |  | 31,577,267 |  |  | 1.23\% | 30 | 31,577,297 |
| 31,041,269 |  | (11,447) | 1,600 | 465,703 | 163,563 | 836,112 |  | 370,548 | 32,867,349 |  |  | 1.28\% | 31 | 32,867,381 |
| 8,154,777 |  |  | 80 | 234,605 | 21,422 | 57,604 |  | 94,853 | 8,563,342 |  |  | 0.33\% | 8 | 8,563,350 |
| 5,922,205 |  | (187) | 118 | 129,459 | 14,504 | 39,650 |  |  | 6,105,750 |  |  | 0.24\% | 6 | 6,105,755 |
| 2,020,889 |  |  | 13 | 4,037 | 6,694 | 23,090 |  | 22,770 | 2,077,494 |  |  | 0.08\% | 2 | 2,077,495 |
| 32,184,628 |  | 55,696 | 206 | 1,258,729 | 84,348 | 200,554 |  | 385,490 | 34,169,650 |  |  | 1.33\% | 33 | 34,169,683 |
| 4,877,890 |  | $(3,598)$ | 39 | 58,882 | 17,851 | 99,785 | $(50,856)$ |  | 4,999,994 |  |  | 0.19\% | 5 | 4,999,999 |
| 42,368,098 |  | (57,765) | 1,466 | 1,261,141 | 431,558 | 2,320,494 | $(469,993)$ |  | 45,854,998 |  |  | 1.78\% | 44 | 45,855,042 |
| 15,316,770 |  | 312,713 | 915 | 82,983 | 47,083 | 97,599 |  | 175,048 | 16,033,110 |  |  | 0.62\% | 15 | 16,033,126 |
| 6,176,375 |  |  | 37 | 76,395 | 43,513 | 55,347 |  | 78,836 | 6,430,503 |  |  | 0.25\% | 6 | 6,430,509 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 2,485,342,103 |  | $(2,235,799)$ | 193,031 | 35,581,637 | 9,223,000 | 50,745,444 | (7,217,661) | 7,217,661 | 2,578,849,415 | 1,957,000 | (2,468) | 100.00\% | 2,468 | 2,578,849,415 |


| KLOAD FORMUL |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOR DISPLAY } \\ & \text { ONLY } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2024-25 <br> Workload Formula | Workload Formula Percentage | 2024-25 Civil <br> Assessment Backfill Debt Obligations |
| 2 | AA (Y/z) | ${ }^{\text {AB }}$ |
| 94,645,177 | 97.02\% |  |
| 549,681 | 178.01\% |  |
| 4,684,703 | 95.76\% |  |
| 14,689,951 | 96.28\% |  |
| 3,767,570 | 91.52\% |  |
| 2,635,032 | 96.73\% |  |
| 59,907,816 | 90.03\% |  |
| 3,875,339 | 119.26\% |  |
| 10,819,495 | 91.95\% |  |
| 66,287,167 | 98.81\% | 500,000 |
| 3,237,289 | 95.94\% |  |
| 9,318,361 | 99.08\% |  |
| 8,073,327 | 127.45\% |  |
| 2,676,571 | 97.44\% |  |
| 68,776,330 | 99.93\% |  |
| 12,025,488 | 93.17\% |  |
| 6,056,222 | 87.69\% |  |
| 2,580,519 | 103.62\% |  |
| 791,102,381 | 93.73\% |  |
| 13,875,025 | 94.81\% |  |
| 15,677,866 | 92.98\% |  |
| 1,846,094 | 104.38\% |  |
| 7,775,002 | 102.25\% |  |
| 18,264,043 | 93.91\% | 310,000 |
| 1,480,959 | 96.22\% |  |
| 2,038,771 | 122.17\% |  |
| 28,560,984 | 94.61\% |  |
| 10,740,134 | 92.16\% |  |
| 7,425,652 | 92.25\% |  |
| 209,526,287 | 92.49\% |  |
| 27,355,659 | 94.46\% |  |
| 1,629,248 | 120.04\% |  |
| 155,691,163 | 90.56\% |  |
| 122,332,264 | 92.97\% |  |
| 4,197,092 | 117.44\% |  |
| 156,640,095 | 90.68\% |  |
| 189,500,353 | 96.82\% |  |
| 55,305,114 | 118.12\% |  |
| 53,533,653 | 96.85\% |  |
| 19,492,482 | 98.60\% |  |
| 49,033,290 | 91.63\% |  |
| 29,058,002 | 95.39\% |  |
| 97,354,039 | 99.49\% | 4,031,257 |
| 16,940,790 | 100.16\% | 75,000 |
| 18,198,452 | 92.03\% |  |
| 623,149 | 157.02\% |  |
| 4,841,098 | 92.65\% |  |
| 31,445,139 | 94.74\% |  |
| 30,732,916 | 102.75\% |  |
| $37,054,820$ $9,485,325$ | 88.70\% <br> $90.28 \%$ |  |
| 6,426,611 | 95.01\% |  |
| 2,276,992 | 91.24\% |  |
| 38,548,955 | 88.64\% |  |
| 5,085,552 | 98.32\% |  |
| 46,999,346 | 97.57\% |  |
| 17,504,806 | 91.59\% |  |
| 7,883,564 | 81.57\% |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2,718,089,203 | 94.88\% | 4,916,257 |


| Trial Court Trust Fund Fund Condition Statement April 2024 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Description | YEAR END FINANCIAL STATEMENTS |  |  | ESTIMATES |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2020-21 \\ \text { (Financial } \\ \text { Statements) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2021-22 (Financial Statements Est) | 2022-23 (Financial Statements Est) | 2023-24 | 2024-25 |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| 1 | Beginning Fund Balance | 84,663,432 | 162,032,593 | 180,993,913 | 234,161,463 | 224,654,037 |
| 2 | Prior-Year Adjustments | 21,449,000 | $(2,639,686)$ | 39,095,081 | - | - |
| 3 | total revenues and transfers | 1,200,868,158 | 1,187,495,894 | 1,134,044,353 | 1,165,895,000 | 1,156,303,000 |
| 4 | Total Revenues ${ }^{1}$ | 1,182,553,158 | 1,212,074,088 | 1,116,831,100 | 1,151,904,000 | 1,142,312,000 |
| 5 | Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | General Fund Loan - Statewide E-Filing | (1,162,000) |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Reduction Offset Transfers | 19,477,000 | $(24,578,194)$ | 17,213,253 | 13,991,000 | 13,991,000 |
| 8 | FI\$Cal Assessment |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Net Other Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements | 13,397,000 | 69,341,806 | 11,133,253 | 13,397,000 | 13,397,000 |
| 10 | Total Resources | 1,306,980,590 | 1,346,888,801 | 1,354,133,348 | 1,400,056,463 | 1,380,957,037 |
| 11 | EXPENDITURES/ENCUMBRANCES/ALLOCATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Program 0140010/0150037-Judicial Council (Staff) | 3,688,354 | 3,678,027 | 3,592,910 | 4,354,000 | 4,354,000 |
| 13 | Program 0150010 - Support for Operation of the Trial Courts | 1,966,753,144 | 2,217,294,000 | 2,466,660,242 | 2,621,705,855 | 2,660,241,855 |
| 14 | Program 0150011 - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel | 156,525,184 | 196,700,000 | 211,967,000 | 186,700,000 | 186,700,000 |
| 15 | Program 0150019 - Compensation of Superior Court Judges | 380,761,790 | 398,004,000 | 423,563,000 | 429,748,000 | 436,983,000 |
| 16 | Program 0150028 - Assigned Judges | 14,218,450 | 47,371,000 | 24,111,000 | 16,600,000 | 31,092,000 |
| 17 | Program 0150037 - Court Interpreters | 110,584,015 | 121,413,000 | 124,546,000 | 134,718,000 | 134,292,000 |
| 18 | Program 0150075 - Grants | 10,328,980 | 9,426,000 | 29,840,000 | 30,229,000 | 30,229,000 |
| 19 | Program 0150095 - Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts | 12,703,251 | 14,944,000 | 13,750,000 | 15,022,000 | 15,022,000 |
| 20 | Total Local Assistance | 2,652,100,000 | 3,005,152,000 | 3,294,437,242 | 3,434,722,855 | 3,494,559,855 |
| 21 | FI\$Cal Assessment | 174,000 | 174,000 | 174,000 | 174,000 | 174,000 |
| 22 | Pro Rata/State Ops | 209,643 | 209,861 | 184,733 | 92,000 | 77,000 |
| 23 | Supplemental Pension Payments | 76,000 | 76,000 | 76,000 | 58,000 |  |
|  | Item 601 - Redevelopment Agency Writ Case Reimbursements | - | - | - |  |  |
|  | Total Expenditures (includes State Ops and LA) Unallocated | 2,655,788,354 | 3,008,830,027 | 3,298,030,152 | 3,439,076,855 | 3,498,913,855 |
| 25 | Less Funding Provided by General Fund: | 1,511,300,000 | 1,843,395,000 | 2,178,493,000 | 2,263,998,429 | 2,266,096,256 |
| 26 | Total Expenditures and Expenditure Adjustments | 1,144,947,997 | 1,165,894,888 | 1,119,971,885 | 1,175,402,426 | 1,233,068,599 |
| 27 | Ending Fund Balance | 162,032,593 | 180,993,913 | 234,161,463 | 224,654,037 | 147,888,438 |
| 28 | Restricted Funds |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Total Restricted/Reserved Funds | 54,743,739 | 105,221,660 | 111,401,303 | 73,539,303 | 67,776,645 |
| 30 | Ending Unrestricted Fund Balance | 107,288,854 | 75,772,253 | 122,760,159 | 151,114,733 | 80,111,793 |
|  | ${ }^{1}$ Revenue estimates are as of 2024-25 Governor's Budget |  |  |  |  |  |

# BUDGET SERVICES 

## Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee

(Action Item)

Title: AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program Funding for 2024-25<br>Date: 4/9/2024<br>Contact: Don Will, Deputy Director<br>Center for Families, Children \& the Courts<br>415-865-7557 | don.will@jud.ca.gov<br>Anna L. Maves, Principal Managing Attorney<br>Center for Families, Children \& the Courts<br>916-263-8624 | anna.maves@jud.ca.gov

## Issue

Consideration of Assembly Bill (AB) 1058 Child Support Commissioner (CSC) and Family Law Facilitator (FLF) Program funding allocations for fiscal year 2024-25 totaling $\$ 48$ million for the CSC program and $\$ 16.3$ million for the FLF program for recommendation to the Judicial Council at its July 12, 2024 business meeting.

## Background

The AB 1058 Funding Allocation Joint Subcommittee was formed in 2015 to review the historical AB 1058 program funding methodology. On January 15, 2019, the Judicial Council approved a new workload-based funding methodology for the AB 1058 CSC program. ${ }^{1}$ On July 9, 2021, the Judicial Council approved a new population-based methodology for the FLF program and maintained the workload-based methodology with updated workload data for the CSC program. ${ }^{2}$ The Judicial Council directed that each methodology be updated with new data every two years.

For fiscal year 2023-24, the CSC funding methodology was updated with new workload data and the FLF funding methodology was adjusted with updated population data consistent with the previously adopted methodologies.

## Recommendation

[^8]On April 8, 2024, the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee met to review the AB 1058 CSC and FLF program funding allocations for fiscal year 2024-25 and recommend that the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee take the following actions for recommendation to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee and then the Judicial Council at its July 12, 2024 business meeting:

1. Approve the fiscal year 2024-25 AB 1058 CSC program funding comprised of $\$ 35$ million in base funding allocations and $\$ 13$ million in federal drawdown funding using the methodology adopted by the Judicial Council in January 2019 as set forth in Attachment A, effective July 12, 2024.
2. Approve the fiscal year 2024-25 AB 1058 FLF program funding comprised of $\$ 11.9$ million in base funding allocations and $\$ 4.4$ million in federal drawdown funding using the methodology adopted by the Judicial Council in July 2021 as set forth in Attachment B, effective July 12, 2024.

## Attachments

Attachment A: Child Support Commissioner (CSC) Program Allocation, 2024-25
Attachment B: Family Law Facilitator (FLF) Program Allocation, 2024-25

Attachment A
Child Support Commissioner (CSC) Program Allocation, 2024-25

|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | CSC Court | Base Allocation | Beginning Federal Drawdown Option | Federal Share $66 \%$ (Column B *.66) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Court Share } \\ 34 \% \\ \text { (Column B*.34) } \end{gathered}$ | Total Allocation $(A+B)$ | Contract Amount $(A+C)$ |
| 1 | Alameda | 1,474,740 | 549,815 | 362,878 | 186,937 | 2,024,555 | 1,837,618 |
| 2 | Alpine (see El Dorado) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Amador | 140,250 | 45,736 | 30,186 | 15,550 | 185,986 | 170,436 |
| 4 | Butte | 259,055 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 259,055 | 259,055 |
| 5 | Calaveras | 132,667 | 10,000 | 6,600 | 3,400 | 142,667 | 139,267 |
| 6 | Colusa | 45,691 | 15,809 | 10,434 | 5,375 | 61,500 | 56,125 |
| 7 | Contra Costa | 753,850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 753,850 | 753,850 |
| 8 | Del Norte | 63,791 | 29,023 | 19,155 | 9,868 | 92,814 | 82,946 |
| 9 | El Dorado | 203,169 | 100,382 | 66,252 | 34,130 | 303,551 | 269,421 |
| 10 | Fresno | 1,704,980 | 1,187,832 | 783,969 | 403,863 | 2,892,812 | 2,488,949 |
| 11 | Glenn | 120,030 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120,030 | 120,030 |
| 12 | Humboldt | 111,198 | 20,332 | 13,419 | 6,913 | 131,530 | 124,617 |
| 13 | Imperial | 224,088 | 147,000 | 97,020 | 49,980 | 371,088 | 321,108 |
| 14 | Inyo | 79,264 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79,264 | 79,264 |
| 15 | Kern | 1,079,358 | 99,442 | 65,632 | 33,810 | 1,178,800 | 1,144,990 |
| 16 | Kings | 261,308 | 75,000 | 49,500 | 25,500 | 336,308 | 310,808 |
| 17 | Lake | 133,954 | 90,500 | 59,730 | 30,770 | 224,454 | 193,684 |
| 18 | Lassen | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| 19 | Los Angeles | 6,922,976 | 3,198,270 | 2,110,858 | 1,087,412 | 10,121,246 | 9,033,834 |
| 20 | Madera | 247,874 | 88,000 | 58,080 | 29,920 | 335,874 | 305,954 |
| 21 | Marin | 108,983 | 40,396 | 26,661 | 13,735 | 149,379 | 135,644 |
| 22 | Mariposa | 75,216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75,216 | 75,216 |
| 23 | Mendocino | 147,030 | 56,550 | 37,323 | 19,227 | 203,580 | 184,353 |
| 24 | Merced | 466,068 | 297,354 | 196,254 | 101,100 | 763,422 | 662,322 |
| 25 | Modoc |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Mono | 45,974 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45,974 | 45,974 |
| 27 | Monterey | 365,228 | 163,240 | 107,738 | 55,502 | 528,468 | 472,966 |
| 28 | Napa | 90,958 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90,958 | 90,958 |
| 29 | Nevada | 327,593 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 327,593 | 327,593 |
| 30 | Orange | 2,149,386 | 575,996 | 380,157 | 195,839 | 2,725,382 | 2,529,543 |
| 31 | Placer | 296,704 | 20,870 | 13,774 | 7,096 | 317,574 | 310,478 |
| 32 | Plumas | 95,777 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95,777 | 95,777 |
| 33 | Riverside | 1,635,589 | 26,418 | 17,436 | 8,982 | 1,662,007 | 1,653,025 |
| 34 | Sacramento | 1,446,037 | 601,713 | 397,131 | 204,582 | 2,047,750 | 1,843,168 |
| 35 | San Benito | 135,384 | 40,000 | 26,400 | 13,600 | 175,384 | 161,784 |
| 36 | San Bernardino | 3,260,118 | 925,058 | 610,538 | 314,520 | 4,185,176 | 3,870,656 |
| 37 | San Diego | 1,968,496 | 1,186,541 | 783,117 | 403,424 | 3,155,037 | 2,751,613 |
| 38 | San Francisco | 779,283 | 363,320 | 239,791 | 123,529 | 1,142,603 | 1,019,074 |
| 39 | San Joaquin | 866,577 | 83,046 | 54,810 | 28,236 | 949,623 | 921,387 |
| 40 | San Luis Obispo | 199,204 | 127,093 | 83,881 | 43,212 | 326,297 | 283,085 |
| 41 | San Mateo | 336,483 | 228,000 | 150,480 | 77,520 | 564,483 | 486,963 |
| 42 | Santa Barbara | 413,356 | 293,279 | 193,564 | 99,715 | 706,635 | 606,920 |
| 43 | Santa Clara | 1,531,621 | 977,183 | 644,941 | 332,242 | 2,508,804 | 2,176,562 |
| 44 | Santa Cruz | 168,434 | 99,440 | 65,630 | 33,810 | 267,874 | 234,064 |
| 45 | Shasta | 417,575 | 235,246 | 155,262 | 79,984 | 652,821 | 572,837 |
| 46 | Sierra (see Nevada) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Siskiyou | 112,559 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112,559 | 112,559 |
| 48 | Solano | 536,562 | 95,481 | 63,017 | 32,464 | 632,043 | 599,579 |
| 49 | Sonoma | 430,721 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 430,721 | 430,721 |
| 50 | Stanislaus | 665,867 | 406,836 | 268,512 | 138,324 | 1,072,703 | 934,379 |
| 51 | Sutter | 173,492 | 63,487 | 41,901 | 21,586 | 236,979 | 215,393 |
| 52 | Tehama | 114,459 | 56,982 | 37,608 | 19,374 | 171,441 | 152,067 |
| 53 | Trinity (see Shasta) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | Tulare | 519,227 | 99,937 | 65,958 | 33,979 | 619,164 | 585,185 |
| 55 | Tuolumne | 150,638 | 78,346 | 51,708 | 26,638 | 228,984 | 202,346 |
| 56 | Ventura | 501,078 | 175,000 | 115,500 | 59,500 | 676,078 | 616,578 |
| 57 | Yolo | 201,367 | 15,000 | 9,900 | 5,100 | 216,367 | 211,267 |
| 58 | Yuba | 203,149 | 50,000 | 33,000 | 17,000 | 253,149 | 236,149 |
|  | TOTAL | 34,954,436 | 13,038,953 | 8,605,709 | 4,433,244 | 47,993,389 | 43,560,145 |

CSC Base Funds
34,954,436
$13,038,953$
$47,993,389$

Attachment B

| Family Law Facilitator (FLF) Program Allocation, 2024-25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| \# | FLF Court | Base Allocation | Beginning Federal Drawdown Option | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Federal Share } \\ 66 \% \\ \text { (Column B *.66) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Court Share $34 \%$ (Column B *.34) | Total Allocation $(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B})$ | Contract Amount $(A+C)$ |
| 1 | Alameda | 427,656 | 247,743 | 163,510 | 84,233 | 675,399 | 591,166 |
| 2 | Alpine (see El Dorado) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Amador | 47,097 | 4,701 | 3,103 | 1,598 | 51,798 | 50,200 |
| 4 | Butte | 93,008 | 61,250 | 40,425 | 20,825 | 154,258 | 133,433 |
| 5 | Calaveras | 70,907 | 8,000 | 5,280 | 2,720 | 78,907 | 76,187 |
| 6 | Colusa | 38,685 | 8,900 | 5,874 | 3,026 | 47,585 | 44,559 |
| 7 | Contra Costa | 325,463 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 325,463 | 325,463 |
| 8 | Del Norte | 50,155 | 5,971 | 3,941 | 2,030 | 56,126 | 54,096 |
| 9 | El Dorado | 107,111 | 50,384 | 33,253 | 17,131 | 157,495 | 140,364 |
| 10 | Fresno | 361,481 | 198,952 | 131,308 | 67,644 | 560,433 | 492,789 |
| 11 | Glenn | 75,971 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75,971 | 75,971 |
| 12 | Humboldt | 81,205 | 12,549 | 8,283 | 4,267 | 93,754 | 89,488 |
| 13 | Imperial | 69,686 | 36,940 | 24,380 | 12,560 | 106,626 | 94,066 |
| 14 | Inyo | 57,289 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57,289 | 57,289 |
| 15 | Kern | 325,360 | 211,122 | 139,340 | 71,781 | 536,482 | 464,700 |
| 16 | Kings | 68,120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68,120 | 68,120 |
| 17 | Lake | 52,299 | 28,623 | 18,891 | 9,732 | 80,922 | 71,190 |
| 18 | Lassen | 65,167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65,167 | 65,167 |
| 19 | Los Angeles | 2,354,734 | 803,431 | 530,264 | 273,167 | 3,158,165 | 2,884,998 |
| 20 | Madera | 73,759 | 26,937 | 17,778 | 9,158 | 100,696 | 91,537 |
| 21 | Marin | 124,657 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124,657 | 124,657 |
| 22 | Mariposa | 45,491 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45,491 | 45,491 |
| 23 | Mendocino | 56,553 | 30,722 | 20,277 | 10,445 | 87,275 | 76,830 |
| 24 | Merced | 103,021 | 70,913 | 46,802 | 24,110 | 173,934 | 149,823 |
| 25 | Modoc | 70,995 | 1,247 | 823 | 424 | 72,242 | 71,818 |
| 26 | Mono | 48,322 | 1,350 | 891 | 459 | 49,672 | 49,213 |
| 27 | Monterey | 139,169 | 61,815 | 40,798 | 21,017 | 200,984 | 179,967 |
| 28 | Napa | 67,700 | 41,426 | 27,341 | 14,085 | 109,126 | 95,041 |
| 29 | Nevada | 116,579 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116,579 | 116,579 |
| 30 | Orange | 719,452 | 129,890 | 85,727 | 44,163 | 849,342 | 805,179 |
| 31 | Placer | 116,133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116,133 | 116,133 |
| 32 | Plumas | 55,935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55,935 | 55,935 |
| 33 | Riverside | 647,113 | 240,227 | 158,550 | 81,677 | 887,340 | 805,663 |
| 34 | Sacramento | 382,653 | 224,079 | 147,892 | 76,187 | 606,732 | 530,545 |
| 35 | San Benito | 60,627 | 29,986 | 19,791 | 10,195 | 90,613 | 80,418 |
| 36 | San Bernardino | 546,115 | 331,046 | 218,490 | 112,556 | 877,161 | 764,605 |
| 37 | San Diego | 774,012 | 279,398 | 184,403 | 94,995 | 1,053,410 | 958,415 |
| 38 | San Francisco | 249,644 | 2,144 | 1,415 | 729 | 251,788 | 251,059 |
| 39 | San Joaquin | 222,201 | 85,640 | 56,522 | 29,118 | 307,841 | 278,723 |
| 40 | San Luis Obispo | 88,799 | 32,246 | 21,282 | 10,964 | 121,045 | 110,081 |
| 41 | San Mateo | 184,398 | 92,696 | 61,180 | 31,517 | 277,094 | 245,578 |
| 42 | Santa Barbara | 156,466 | 77,323 | 51,033 | 26,290 | 233,789 | 207,499 |
| 43 | Santa Clara | 506,978 | 210,712 | 139,070 | 71,642 | 717,690 | 646,048 |
| 44 | Santa Cruz | 92,216 | 46,072 | 30,407 | 15,664 | 138,288 | 122,623 |
| 45 | Shasta | 186,519 | 112,157 | 74,024 | 38,133 | 298,676 | 260,543 |
| 46 | Sierra (see Nevada) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Siskiyou | 67,608 | 37,311 | 24,625 | 12,686 | 104,919 | 92,233 |
| 48 | Solano | 141,837 | 39,710 | 26,209 | 13,501 | 181,547 | 168,046 |
| 49 | Sonoma | 154,217 | 65,519 | 43,243 | 22,276 | 219,736 | 197,460 |
| 50 | Stanislaus | 200,661 | 124,226 | 81,989 | 42,237 | 324,887 | 282,650 |
| 51 | Sutter | 60,351 | 31,488 | 20,782 | 10,706 | 91,839 | 81,133 |
| 52 | Tehama | 39,713 | 3,535 | 2,333 | 1,202 | 43,248 | 42,046 |
| 53 | Trinity (see Shasta) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | Tulare | 280,401 | 141,878 | 93,640 | 48,239 | 422,279 | 374,041 |
| 55 | Tuolumne | 58,532 | 30,084 | 19,855 | 10,229 | 88,616 | 78,387 |
| 56 | Ventura | 245,297 | 86,121 | 56,840 | 29,281 | 331,418 | 302,137 |
| 57 | Yolo | 86,762 | 38,268 | 25,257 | 13,011 | 125,030 | 112,019 |
| 58 | Yuba | 59,845 | 44,953 | 29,669 | 15,284 | 104,798 | 89,514 |
|  | TOTAL | 11,902,125 | 4,449,685 | 2,936,792 | 1,512,893 | 16,351,810 | 14,838,917 |
|  | FLF Base Funds | 11,902,125 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FLF Federal Drawdown | 4,449,685 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Funding Allocated | 16,351,810 |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Issue

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) Funding Methodology Subcommittee asks the TCBAC to consider a methodology for allocating funding included in the fiscal year 2024-25 Governor's Budget for court operations related to the CARE Act based on the allocation methodology approved by the Judicial Council for the 2023-24 funding.

## Background

On July 21, 2023, the Judicial Council approved the allocation methodology recommended by the TCBAC to distribute $\$ 20.0$ million for fiscal year 2023-24 in implementation and planning funds to all courts. ${ }^{1}$ On September 19, 2023, the Judicial Council approved the allocation of an additional $\$ 9.4$ million included in the Budget Act of 2023 for the Superior Court of Los Angeles County to participate as a Cohort One court implementing the CARE Act in 2023-24. ${ }^{2}$

The approved methodology for 2023-24 included these elements:

1. For Cohort One courts ${ }^{3}$ implementing the CARE Act, an allocation for court operations that employs the Workload Formula with a base of 25 CARE Act cases calculated at \$93,225;
2. For Cohort One courts implementing the CARE Act, an allocation for staff and other operational costs that employs the Workload Formula with a base of $\$ 98,000$, prorated to

[^9]the amount that Cohort One courts are estimated to receive in 2024-25 when all courts are implementing the CARE Act;
3. For Cohort Two courts, an allocation that employs the Workload Formula with a base of $\$ 98,000$, prorated to the amount that remains after the allocation described in recommendation 2 and after reduction by 0.5 percent to hold as a reserve for Cohort One courts that require additional program funding, with any unspent funding from the court allocations and this reserve redistributed through the reallocation process via the approved methodology; and
4. A method to reallocate unspent funds during the fiscal year.

In fiscal year 2024-25, all courts are required to implement the CARE Act. Cohort One, including Los Angeles, will be in full implementation for all 12 months of the year. Cohort Two courts are required to implement the CARE Act by December 1, 2024, but may implement it sooner.

In the CARE Act budget change proposal (Link A), the 2024-25 Governor's Budget includes $\$ 52.7$ million for court operations in 2024-25 and $\$ 66.0$ million in 2025-26 and ongoing. The $\$ 66.0$ million is intended to fund court operations when all courts have fully implemented the CARE Act.

The allocation methodology proposed for consideration for 2024-25 (Attachment A, Allocation Tables 1 and 2) retains the base funding and Workload Formula elements of the 2023-24 methodology and is updated to reflect a full year of implementation funding for Cohort One and a partial year for Cohort Two. This is calculated as follows:

- Table 1 uses the Workload Formula and base amounts to calculate the allocations to all courts at the $\$ 66.0$ million in full funding (Table 1, columns F, H \& I).
- Table 2 sets the allocation of Cohort One and Los Angeles to the full year of implementation calculated in Table 1 (Table 2, columns F, H \& I).
- Table 2 prorates the remaining funding to Cohort Two courts.
- Note that in fiscal year 2025-26, the allocations of all courts will be those in Table 1.

Reallocation. Judicial Council staff were directed to survey courts and conduct a reallocation of unspent CARE Act funding in the second half of 2023-24. This process was incorporated into the recent survey conducted by Budget Services to determine the amount of unspent funding in 2023-24.

## Recommendations

The TCBAC is asked to consider the following recommendations for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee, and then the Judicial Council at its July 12, 2024 business meeting:

1. Continue all elements of the allocation methodology approved in fiscal year 2023-24, including employing the Workload Formula and the funding base already defined;
2. Approve, for Cohort One courts and Los Angeles, an allocation based on the amount required for a full year of CARE Act implementation; and
3. Approve, for Cohort Two courts, an allocation prorated to the amount required for a full year of CARE Act implementation.

## Attachments

1. Link A: Budget Request 0250-197-BCP-2023-MR, Community Assistance, Recovery, and Empowerment (CARE) Act, https://esd.dof.ca.gov/Documents/bcp/2324/FY2324_ORG0250_BCP7012.pdf
2. Attachment A: Allocation Tables 1 and 2

Table 1. Allocation of Court Operations Budget When Fully Funded in FY 2025-2026

| Col. A | Col. B | Col. C | Col. D | Col. E |  | Col. F | Col. G | Col. H | Col. 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Final Workload Allocation |  |  | Staff/Other |  |  | Court/Ops |  | Total |
| Court | Final Workload Allocation | Percentage | Distribution | Base |  | Final Staff/Other Costs | Base | Final Hearing Costs | Total Allocation |
| Alameda | 89,736,650 | 3.56\% | 755,454 | 98,000 | \$ | 683,150 | 93,225 | \$ 1,567,339 | \$ 2,250,489 |
| Alpine | 978,500 | 0.04\% | 8,238 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Amador | 4,508,080 | 0.18\% | 37,952 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Butte | 13,971,923 | 0.55\% | 117,624 | 98,000 | \$ | 189,107 | 93,225 | \$ 322,743 | \$ 511,851 |
| Calaveras | 3,478,322 | 0.14\% | 29,282 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Colusa | 2,506,641 | 0.10\% | 21,102 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Contra Costa | 53,284,741 | 2.11\% | 448,581 | 98,000 | \$ | 445,456 | 93,225 | \$ 968,540 | \$ 1,413,996 |
| Del Norte | 3,867,969 | 0.15\% | 32,563 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| El Dorado | 9,526,802 | 0.38\% | 80,202 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Fresno | 63,747,461 | 2.53\% | 536,662 | 98,000 | \$ | 513,681 | 93,225 | \$ 1,140,412 | \$ 1,654,093 |
| Glenn | 2,997,045 | 0.12\% | 25,231 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Humboldt | 8,921,029 | 0.35\% | 75,102 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Imperial | 10,504,343 | 0.42\% | 88,431 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Inyo | 2,549,184 | 0.10\% | 21,460 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Kern | 64,062,338 | 2.54\% | 539,313 | 98,000 | \$ | 515,734 | 93,225 | \$ 1,145,585 | \$ 1,661,319 |
| Kings | 11,101,306 | 0.44\% | 93,457 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 275,587 | \$ 373,587 |
| Lake | 5,096,756 | 0.20\% | 42,907 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Lassen | 2,800,148 | 0.11\% | 23,573 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Los Angeles | 732,713,543 | 29.06\% | 6,168,396 | 98,000 | \$ | 4,875,837 | 93,225 | \$ 12,129,596 | \$ 17,005,434 |
| Madera | 12,403,858 | 0.49\% | 104,423 | 98,000 | \$ | 178,882 | 93,225 | \$ 296,985 | \$ 475,867 |
| Marin | 14,327,907 | 0.57\% | 120,620 | 98,000 | \$ | 191,429 | 93,225 | \$ 328,591 | \$ 520,020 |
| Mariposa | 1,853,846 | 0.07\% | 15,607 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Mendocino | 7,646,197 | 0.30\% | 64,370 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Merced | 17,012,600 | 0.67\% | 143,222 | 98,000 | \$ | 208,935 | 93,225 | \$ 372,693 | \$ 581,628 |
| Modoc | 1,406,022 | 0.06\% | 11,837 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Mono | 2,439,556 | 0.10\% | 20,538 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Monterey | 26,329,671 | 1.04\% | 221,658 | 98,000 | \$ | 269,689 | 93,225 | \$ 525,746 | \$ 795,435 |
| Napa | 9,282,739 | 0.37\% | 78,147 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Nevada | 6,639,488 | 0.26\% | 55,895 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Orange | 188,291,022 | 7.47\% | 1,585,140 | 98,000 | \$ | 1,325,798 | 93,225 | \$ 3,186,304 | \$ 4,512,101 |
| Placer | 25,173,615 | 1.00\% | 211,926 | 98,000 | \$ | 262,151 | 93,225 | \$ 506,755 | \$ 768,906 |
| Plumas | 1,915,282 | 0.08\% | 16,124 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Riverside | 137,769,526 | 5.46\% | 1,159,822 | 98,000 | \$ | 996,360 | 93,225 | \$ 2,356,381 | \$ 3,352,741 |
| Sacramento | 108,993,944 | 4.32\% | 917,573 | 98,000 | \$ | 808,722 | 93,225 | \$ 1,883,681 | \$ 2,692,403 |
| San Benito | 4,808,390 | 0.19\% | 40,480 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| San Bernardino | 144,252,144 | 5.72\% | 1,214,396 | 98,000 | \$ | 1,038,631 | 93,225 | \$ 2,462,872 | \$ 3,501,503 |
| San Diego | 173,468,681 | 6.88\% | 1,460,357 | 98,000 | \$ | 1,229,145 | 93,225 | \$ 2,942,815 | \$ 4,171,960 |
| San Francisco | 63,222,900 | 2.51\% | 532,246 | 98,000 | \$ | 510,260 | 93,225 | \$ 1,131,795 | \$ 1,642,055 |
| San Joaquin | 51,550,851 | 2.04\% | 433,984 | 98,000 | \$ | 434,150 | 93,225 | \$ 940,057 | \$ 1,374,207 |
| San Luis Obispo | 18,799,273 | 0.75\% | 158,263 | 98,000 | \$ | 220,585 | 93,225 | \$ 402,043 | \$ 622,628 |
| San Mateo | 43,346,545 | 1.72\% | 364,916 | 98,000 | \$ | 380,652 | 93,225 | \$ 805,284 | \$ 1,185,936 |
| Santa Barbara | 27,473,608 | 1.09\% | 231,288 | 98,000 | \$ | 277,148 | 93,225 | \$ 544,537 | \$ 821,686 |
| Santa Clara | 96,100,018 | 3.81\% | 809,024 | 98,000 | \$ | 724,644 | 93,225 | \$ 1,671,871 | \$ 2,396,515 |
| Santa Cruz | 17,003,334 | 0.67\% | 143,144 | 98,000 | \$ | 208,874 | 93,225 | \$ 372,541 | \$ 581,415 |
| Shasta | 16,359,995 | 0.65\% | 137,728 | 98,000 | \$ | 204,679 | 93,225 | \$ 361,973 | \$ 566,652 |
| Sierra | 978,500 | 0.04\% | 8,238 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Siskiyou | 4,337,464 | 0.17\% | 36,515 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Solano | 29,080,663 | 1.15\% | 244,817 | 98,000 | \$ | 287,628 | 93,225 | \$ 570,936 | \$ 858,564 |
| Sonoma | 30,554,838 | 1.21\% | 257,228 | 98,000 | \$ | 297,240 | 93,225 | \$ 595,153 | \$ 892,393 |
| Stanislaus | 32,303,460 | 1.28\% | 271,949 | 98,000 | \$ | 308,643 | 93,225 | \$ 623,878 | \$ 932,520 |
| Sutter | 8,164,586 | 0.32\% | 68,734 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Tehama | 6,113,757 | 0.24\% | 51,469 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Trinity | 2,142,278 | 0.08\% | 18,035 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Tulare | 32,165,439 | 1.28\% | 270,787 | 98,000 | \$ | 307,743 | 93,225 | \$ 621,610 | \$ 929,353 |
| Tuolumne | 4,989,596 | 0.20\% | 42,005 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Ventura | 44,799,399 | 1.78\% | 377,147 | 98,000 | \$ | 390,125 | 93,225 | \$ 829,150 | \$ 1,219,275 |
| Yolo | 15,477,215 | 0.61\% | 130,296 | 98,000 | \$ | 198,923 | 93,225 | \$ 347,471 | \$ 546,394 |
| Yuba | 6,239,055 | 0.25\% | 52,524 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Total | 2,521,570,045 | 100.00\% | 21,228,000 | 5,684,000 | \$ | 21,228,000 | 5,407,050 | \$ 44,748,000 | \$65,976,000 |

Table 2. Allocation of Court Operations Budget FY 2024-2025

| Col. A | Col. B | Col. C | Col. D | Col. E |  | Col. F | Col. G | Col. H | Col. 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Court | Final Workload Allocation |  |  | Staff/Other |  |  | Court Ops |  | Total |
|  | Final Workload Allocation | Percentage | Distribution | Base |  | Final Staff/Other Costs | Base | Final Hearing Costs | Total Allocation |
| Alameda | 89,736,650 | 3.56\% | 273,779 | 98,000 | \$ | 414,453 | 93,225 | \$ 1,028,556 | \$ 1,443,010 |
| Alpine | 978,500 | 0.04\% | 2,985 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Amador | 4,508,080 | 0.18\% | 13,754 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Butte | 13,971,923 | 0.55\% | 42,627 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Calaveras | 3,478,322 | 0.14\% | 10,612 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Colusa | 2,506,641 | 0.10\% | 7,648 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Contra Costa | 53,284,741 | 2.11\% | 162,567 | 98,000 | \$ | 285,907 | 93,225 | \$ 648,616 | \$ 934,522 |
| Del Norte | 3,867,969 | 0.15\% | 11,801 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| El Dorado | 9,526,802 | 0.38\% | 29,065 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Fresno | 63,747,461 | 2.53\% | 194,488 | 98,000 | \$ | 322,803 | 93,225 | \$ 757,669 | \$ 1,080,472 |
| Glenn | 2,997,045 | 0.12\% | 25,231 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Humboldt | 8,921,029 | 0.35\% | 27,217 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Imperial | 10,504,343 | 0.42\% | 32,048 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Inyo | 2,549,184 | 0.10\% | 7,777 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Kern | 64,062,338 | 2.54\% | 195,449 | 98,000 | \$ | 323,914 | 93,225 | \$ 760,951 | \$ 1,084,865 |
| Kings | 11,101,306 | 0.44\% | 33,869 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Lake | 5,096,756 | 0.20\% | 15,550 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Lassen | 2,800,148 | 0.11\% | 8,543 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Los Angeles | 732,713,543 | 29.06\% | 6,168,396 | 98,000 | \$ | 4,875,837 | 93,225 | \$12,129,596 | \$ 17,005,434 |
| Madera | 12,403,858 | 0.49\% | 37,843 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Marin | 14,327,907 | 0.57\% | 43,713 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Mariposa | 1,853,846 | 0.07\% | 5,656 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Mendocino | 7,646,197 | 0.30\% | 23,328 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Merced | 17,012,600 | 0.67\% | 51,904 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Modoc | 1,406,022 | 0.06\% | 4,290 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Mono | 2,439,556 | 0.10\% | 7,443 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Monterey | 26,329,671 | 1.04\% | 80,330 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Napa | 9,282,739 | 0.37\% | 28,321 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Nevada | 6,639,488 | 0.26\% | 20,257 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Orange | 188,291,022 | 7.47\% | 1,585,140 | 98,000 | \$ | 1,325,798 | 93,225 | \$ 3,186,304 | \$ 4,512,101 |
| Placer | 25,173,615 | 1.00\% | 76,803 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Plumas | 1,915,282 | 0.08\% | 5,843 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Riverside | 137,769,526 | 5.46\% | 1,159,822 | 98,000 | \$ | 996,360 | 93,225 | \$ 2,356,381 | \$ 3,352,741 |
| Sacramento | 108,993,944 | 4.32\% | 332,531 | 98,000 | \$ | 482,363 | 93,225 | \$ 1,229,277 | \$ 1,711,640 |
| San Benito | 4,808,390 | 0.19\% | 14,670 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| San Bernardino | 144,252,144 | 5.72\% | 440,101 | 98,000 | \$ | 606,700 | 93,225 | \$ 1,596,775 | \$ 2,203,475 |
| San Diego | 173,468,681 | 6.88\% | 1,460,357 | 98,000 | \$ | 1,229,145 | 93,225 | \$ 2,942,815 | \$ 4,171,960 |
| San Francisco | 63,222,900 | 2.51\% | 532,246 | 98,000 | \$ | 510,260 | 93,225 | \$ 1,131,795 | \$ 1,642,055 |
| San Joaquin | 51,550,851 | 2.04\% | 157,277 | 98,000 | \$ | 279,792 | 93,225 | \$ 630,543 | \$ 910,335 |
| San Luis Obispo | 18,799,273 | 0.75\% | 57,355 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| San Mateo | 43,346,545 | 1.72\% | 132,247 | 98,000 | \$ | 250,860 | 93,225 | \$ 545,029 | \$ 795,889 |
| Santa Barbara | 27,473,608 | 1.09\% | 83,820 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Santa Clara | 96,100,018 | 3.81\% | 293,193 | 98,000 | \$ | 436,893 | 93,225 | \$ 1,094,882 | \$ 1,531,776 |
| Santa Cruz | 17,003,334 | 0.67\% | 51,876 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Shasta | 16,359,995 | 0.65\% | 49,913 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Sierra | 978,500 | 0.04\% | 2,985 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Siskiyou | 4,337,464 | 0.17\% | 13,233 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Solano | 29,080,663 | 1.15\% | 88,723 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Sonoma | 30,554,838 | 1.21\% | 93,220 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Stanislaus | 32,303,460 | 1.28\% | 271,949 | 98,000 | \$ | 308,643 | 93,225 | \$ 623,878 | \$ 932,520 |
| Sutter | 8,164,586 | 0.32\% | 24,909 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Tehama | 6,113,757 | 0.24\% | 18,653 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Trinity | 2,142,278 | 0.08\% | 6,536 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Tulare | 32,165,439 | 1.28\% | 98,134 | 98,000 | \$ | 211,430 | 93,225 | \$ 428,488 | \$ 639,918 |
| Tuolumne | 4,989,596 | 0.20\% | 42,005 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Ventura | 44,799,399 | 1.78\% | 136,679 | 98,000 | \$ | 255,983 | 93,225 | \$ 560,172 | \$ 816,156 |
| Yolo | 15,477,215 | 0.61\% | 47,220 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Yuba | 6,239,055 | 0.25\% | 19,035 | 98,000 | \$ | 98,000 | 93,225 | \$ 93,225 | \$ 191,225 |
| Reserve |  |  |  |  | \$ | 38,659 |  | \$ 64,908 | \$ 103,567 |
| Total | 2,521,570,045 | 100.00\% | 14,862,966 | 4,900,000 | \$ | 17,173,800 | 4,661,250 | \$ 35,538,862 | \$ 52,712,662 |

# Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee 

## (Action Item)

Title: $\quad$ Model Self-Help Pilot Program—Technology Model Project Allocation Methodology<br>Date: 4/16/2024<br>Contact: Don Will, Deputy Director, Judicial Council Center for Families, Children \& the Courts<br>415-865-7557 | don.will@jud.ca.gov

## Issue

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) Funding Methodology Subcommittee recommends that the committee consider revisions to the methodology for the allocation of funds for the Model Self-Help Pilot Program-Technology Model Project to address the urgent need to expand self-help services in all courts.

## Background

The current allocation methodology calls for soliciting proposals from the courts each year for the annual Budget Act allocation of \$191,400 for technology projects related to self-help. ${ }^{1}$ In fiscal year 2023-24 nine courts were awarded small grants based on this process. Revising the allocation to one multiyear award for self-help technology, following a solicitation process to the courts, would enable a proposing court to provide the technology and coordination for a collaboration that will make additional self-help resources available to all participating courts.

The Model Self-Help Pilot Program is supported by an annual funding allocation through the Budget Act. The Judicial Council originally allocated the funding in 2002 to five trial courts. One of these courts, the Superior Court of Contra Costa County, received an ongoing annual allocation for a program focused on self-help technology. In the 2019-20 program year, the Superior Court of Contra Costa County decided not to continue its participation. The TCBAC then recommended, and the Judicial Council approved, ${ }^{2}$ that all courts be given the opportunity to apply for self-help technology programs to be funded by the \$191,400. In fiscal years 2021-22, 2022-23, and 202324 , an average of nine courts received grants annually.

The collaboration recommended in this proposal is based on SHARP (Self-Help Assistance and Referral Program) Tech Connect, a Judicial Council Court Innovations Grant project in the Superior

[^10]Court of Butte County, which provided a voice and videoconferencing platform for 22 small courts. This model gave self-represented litigants in the small courts access to remote self-help services and allowed courts to pool their resources and make qualified attorneys available remotely. The SHARP Tech Connect project is discussed in the Judicial Council's Final Report on the Court Innovations Grant Program. ${ }^{3}$

A phone, videoconferencing, and live-chat platform managed by a lead court but staffed by attorneys from all participating courts would provide residents and self-represented litigants in all participating counties access to:

- Self-help legal assistance during all business hours;
- Legal experts in complex areas such as conservatorship, consumer debt, and eviction; and
- Experienced self-help attorney staff available to provide high-quality services.

The projects that courts have conducted using the self-help technology grant over the past three years have been very valuable. However, this proposed model will allow a much larger number of courts to benefit from the program and addresses one of the key barriers to accessing self-help services in California.

## Recommendation

Recommend that the TCBAC approve the following for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee and then the Judicial Council at its July 12, 2024 business meeting:

Revise the allocation methodology for the Model Self-Help Pilot Program—Technology Model Project to:

1. Require that proposed projects be limited to enabling courts to collaborate in providing self-help services remotely;
2. Award three-year grants through a competitive solicitation process open to all courts and conducted every three years;
3. Make one grant award for the project; and
4. If a responsive proposal is not received in the fiscal year 2024-25 solicitation process, allocate funding through the methodology prescribed in Allocations and Reimbursements to Trial Courts: Model Self-Help Pilot Program Reallocation (Feb. 18, 2021). ${ }^{4}$
[^11]
# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA <br> BUDGET SERVICES <br> Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee 

(Action Item)<br>Title: Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Allocations for 2024-25<br>Date: April 16, 2024<br>Contact: Kelly Meehleib, Supervising Analyst<br>Center for Families, Children \& the Courts<br>kelly.meehleib@jud.ca.gov | 916-263-1693<br>Vida Terry, Senior Analyst<br>Center for Families, Children \& the Courts<br>vida.terry@jud.ca.gov | 415-865-7721

## Issue

The current annual budget for court-appointed dependency counsel is $\$ 186.7$ million. Judicial Council Center for Families, Children \& the Courts (CFCC) staff present the 2024-25 allocations of court-appointed dependency counsel funding for approval and submission to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee and then the Judicial Council at its July 12, 2024, business meeting.

The allocations may change based on final appropriations included in the Budget Act of 2024.

## Background

Court-appointed dependency counsel became a state fiscal responsibility in 1989 through the Brown-Presley Trial Court Funding Act (Sen. Bill 612; Stats. 1988, ch. 945). The act added section 77003 to the Government Code, defined "court operations" in that section as including court-appointed dependency counsel, and made an appropriation to fund trial court operations. In 1997, the Lockyer-Isenberg Trial Court Funding Act (Assem. Bill 233; Stats. 1997, ch. 850) provided the funding for, and delineated the parameters of, the transition to state trial court funding that had been outlined in the earlier legislation.

Court-appointed dependency counsel funding is distributed to the courts based on a workload model adopted by the council in $2016{ }^{1}$ and amended in $2022 .{ }^{2}$ The funding methodology includes several adjustments for small courts to ensure that these courts have adequate funding to meet their needs. Small-court adjustments include (1) suspending reallocation-related budget

[^12]reductions for the smallest courts with caseloads under 200, (2) adjusting the local economic index for the small courts with dependency caseloads under 400, and (3) slightly reducing the funding allocations of all large-court budgets to offset the costs for small courts.

Based on current workload and filing information, 33 courts are in the small-court category with 27 of those courts meeting the "smallest court" criteria. ${ }^{3}$

## Recommendation

CFCC staff recommend that the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee approve the 2024-25 court-appointed dependency counsel allocations for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee and then the Judicial Council at its July 12, 2024 business meeting, as outlined in Attachment A. Attachment B details the total funding need for court-appointed dependency counsel using the methodology designated in the Judicial Council reports listed above.

## Attachments

Attachment A: 2024-25 Allocation of Dependency Counsel Funding
Attachment B: 2024-25 Total Funding Need for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Based on 2016 Workload Methodology

[^13]
## 2024-25 Allocation of Dependency Counsel Funding

| Court | Caseload Funding Model Estimated Funding Need Prior Year 2023-24 | Caseload Funding Model Estimated Funding Need Current Year 2024-25 | 2016-17 <br> Allocation | 2017-18 <br> Allocation | 2018-19 <br> Allocation | 2019-20 <br> Allocation | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2020-21 } \\ \text { Allocation } \end{gathered}$ | 2021-22 <br> Allocation | 2022-23 <br> Allocation | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2023-24 } \\ \text { Allocation } \end{gathered}$ | 2024-25 <br> Proposed <br> Allocation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K |
| Alameda | \$5,340,545 | \$5,507,175 | \$3,618,313 | \$3,565,629 | \$3,399,620 | \$3,629,342 | \$3,422,591 | \$3,348,652 | \$3,840,167 | \$3,903,699 | \$4,150,739 |
| Alpine | \$25,622 | \$19,301 | \$399 | \$1,799 | \$2,628 | \$7,226 | \$11,439 | \$19,616 | \$19,850 | \$25,764 | \$18,999 |
| Amador | \$212,023 | \$200,569 | \$115,233 | \$143,696 | \$144,678 | \$145,653 | \$126,205 | \$128,301 | \$144,314 | \$158,374 | \$155,513 |
| Butte | \$1,293,234 | \$1,276,798 | \$627,554 | \$794,546 | \$799,814 | \$926,951 | \$891,346 | \$872,569 | \$926,321 | \$945,296 | \$962,319 |
| Calaveras | \$216,619 | \$258,697 | \$142,758 | \$220,822 | \$191,355 | \$203,567 | \$202,088 | \$189,010 | \$161,288 | \$190,388 | \$231,546 |
| Colusa | \$111,138 | \$99,107 | \$40,667 | \$43,948 | \$72,637 | \$103,517 | \$117,871 | \$112,668 | \$99,064 | \$111,854 | \$101,811 |
| Contra Costa | \$3,629,916 | \$3,343,233 | \$2,600,337 | \$2,363,610 | \$2,294,410 | \$2,617,772 | \$2,571,073 | \$2,651,024 | \$2,748,197 | \$2,653,306 | \$2,519,783 |
| Del Norte | \$268,195 | \$269,344 | \$214,730 | \$214,730 | \$214,730 | \$214,730 | \$203,096 | \$214,730 | \$214,730 | \$256,964 | \$269,768 |
| El Dorado | \$644,987 | \$601,436 | \$655,569 | \$548,764 | \$505,148 | \$582,746 | \$560,863 | \$579,296 | \$553,278 | \$474,903 | \$601,356 |
| Fresno | \$6,549,587 | \$6,778,404 | \$2,670,600 | \$3,015,746 | \$2,800,979 | \$3,209,875 | \$3,302,907 | \$3,735,438 | \$4,462,884 | \$4,787,455 | \$5,108,860 |
| Glenn | \$143,780 | \$142,637 | \$90,417 | \$111,158 | \$122,690 | \$140,011 | \$154,825 | \$164,905 | \$146,444 | \$143,016 | \$141,039 |
| Humboldt | \$998,462 | \$988,193 | \$462,558 | \$522,682 | \$657,658 | \$615,068 | \$665,891 | \$715,427 | \$778,671 | \$729,831 | \$744,798 |
| Imperial | \$795,309 | \$747,666 | \$518,512 | \$576,150 | \$562,114 | \$645,919 | \$693,729 | \$669,610 | \$681,656 | \$581,336 | \$809,029 |
| Inyo | \$72,350 | \$88,156 | \$72,277 | \$45,459 | \$51,626 | \$48,006 | \$39,570 | \$41,562 | \$58,143 | \$76,990 | \$85,907 |
| Kern | \$4,985,989 | \$5,481,045 | \$2,277,753 | \$2,664,810 | \$2,627,276 | \$2,864,207 | \$2,720,713 | \$2,748,308 | \$3,247,790 | \$3,644,535 | \$4,131,045 |
| Kings | \$1,060,814 | \$1,093,705 | \$443,478 | \$700,757 | \$713,352 | \$696,307 | \$659,612 | \$690,969 | \$791,315 | \$775,408 | \$824,322 |
| Lake | \$203,493 | \$184,195 | \$296,119 | \$272,201 | \$276,158 | \$285,153 | \$288,934 | \$280,183 | \$296,119 | \$277,755 | \$247,103 |
| Lassen | \$191,506 | \$184,025 | \$106,891 | \$106,891 | \$108,967 | \$128,825 | \$130,683 | \$135,339 | \$129,091 | \$174,612 | \$173,075 |
| Los Angeles | \$124,470,473 | \$115,214,556 | \$45,149,389 | \$60,560,884 | \$62,434,046 | \$73,864,405 | \$75,809,513 | \$82,722,770 | \$92,946,429 | \$90,982,340 | \$86,836,815 |
| Madera | \$1,060,009 | \$998,990 | \$293,833 | \$535,074 | \$589,946 | \$674,047 | \$631,797 | \$643,573 | \$732,094 | \$844,825 | \$824,032 |
| Marin | \$357,998 | \$385,919 | \$388,488 | \$311,538 | \$304,984 | \$270,557 | \$287,842 | \$288,497 | \$357,163 | \$358,761 | \$386,687 |
| Mariposa | \$87,640 | \$86,998 | \$38,070 | \$38,070 | \$41,897 | \$54,019 | \$48,793 | \$60,059 | \$67,857 | \$73,918 | \$75,764 |
| Mendocino | \$658,478 | \$704,430 | \$566,908 | \$440,581 | \$458,911 | \$527,624 | \$510,212 | \$529,357 | \$511,024 | \$608,018 | \$662,845 |
| Merced | \$1,440,319 | \$1,548,128 | \$751,397 | \$844,260 | \$775,718 | \$825,284 | \$840,466 | \$894,211 | \$1,031,445 | \$1,052,809 | \$1,166,819 |
| Modoc | \$38,874 | \$48,248 | \$17,128 | \$24,065 | \$37,161 | \$49,493 | \$59,313 | \$52,855 | \$51,256 | \$50,853 | \$65,582 |
| Mono | \$26,616 | \$32,047 | \$13,956 | \$13,956 | \$14,615 | \$14,550 | \$18,114 | \$18,392 | \$19,817 | \$21,591 | \$26,958 |
| Monterey | \$798,660 | \$694,915 | \$494,823 | \$682,574 | \$715,702 | \$829,349 | \$797,204 | \$738,059 | \$670,542 | \$595,734 | \$528,532 |
| Napa | \$510,600 | \$469,074 | \$232,362 | \$315,051 | \$311,403 | \$384,039 | \$417,108 | \$435,215 | \$449,822 | \$375,955 | \$356,764 |
| Nevada | \$204,648 | \$193,343 | \$226,123 | \$202,832 | \$174,058 | \$173,215 | \$178,805 | \$185,041 | \$226,123 | \$203,761 | \$193,301 |
| Orange | \$12,540,527 | \$12,943,647 | \$5,648,065 | \$5,366,139 | \$5,355,390 | \$6,553,748 | \$6,915,607 | \$7,611,043 | \$8,758,132 | \$9,166,564 | \$9,755,582 |
| Placer | \$930,735 | \$849,058 | \$687,985 | \$895,552 | \$747,111 | \$710,846 | \$600,593 | \$622,053 | \$651,832 | \$704,472 | \$645,769 |
| Plumas | \$112,340 | \$91,447 | \$154,059 | \$151,555 | \$154,059 | \$154,059 | \$154,059 | \$154,059 | \$154,059 | \$159,634 | \$128,921 |
| Riverside | \$14,649,029 | \$15,792,508 | \$6,411,055 | \$8,806,009 | \$8,173,324 | \$7,999,219 | \$6,877,392 | \$7,422,498 | \$9,263,855 | \$10,707,784 | \$11,902,759 |
| Sacramento | \$6,710,957 | \$6,269,231 | \$4,832,997 | \$5,609,080 | \$5,161,591 | \$5,586,032 | \$5,017,201 | \$4,920,141 | \$5,091,685 | \$4,905,409 | \$4,725,098 |
| San Benito | \$129,390 | \$124,742 | \$89,163 | \$112,410 | \$104,920 | \$107,040 | \$109,317 | \$99,288 | \$103,347 | \$95,270 | \$94,875 |
| San Bernardino | \$20,604,882 | \$21,326,805 | \$5,731,210 | \$8,514,703 | \$9,751,976 | \$11,957,781 | \$12,446,717 | \$13,045,926 | \$14,821,566 | \$15,061,246 | \$16,073,940 |
| San Diego | \$8,578,420 | \$8,073,185 | \$7,711,177 | \$6,132,621 | \$5,339,513 | \$5,525,422 | \$5,141,307 | \$5,323,538 | \$6,128,460 | \$6,270,441 | \$6,084,732 |
| San Francisco | \$3,887,680 | \$4,131,224 | \$3,296,146 | \$3,060,973 | \$2,754,101 | \$2,926,579 | \$2,698,254 | \$2,671,880 | \$2,907,007 | \$2,841,720 | \$3,113,689 |
| San Joaquin | \$3,889,728 | \$4,223,902 | \$2,601,178 | \$2,480,278 | \$2,399,805 | \$2,739,513 | \$2,729,427 | \$2,706,301 | \$2,886,866 | \$2,843,217 | \$3,183,540 |
| San Luis Obispo | \$957,999 | \$940,973 | \$647,980 | \$703,001 | \$672,046 | \$795,812 | \$803,509 | \$797,919 | \$805,354 | \$700,254 | \$732,191 |
| San Mateo | \$1,039,566 | \$952,983 | \$668,643 | \$960,903 | \$934,702 | \$984,479 | \$837,813 | \$829,202 | \$829,503 | \$765,432 | \$724,811 |
| Santa Barbara | \$1,908,246 | \$1,911,090 | \$1,267,448 | \$979,287 | \$826,760 | \$865,438 | \$889,172 | \$1,012,943 | \$1,316,470 | \$1,394,843 | \$1,440,382 |
| Santa Clara | \$4,145,634 | \$3,270,112 | \$3,780,956 | \$3,223,912 | \$2,947,634 | \$3,290,686 | \$3,262,294 | \$3,404,630 | \$3,666,823 | \$3,030,273 | \$2,464,672 |
| Santa Cruz | \$607,692 | \$586,717 | \$713,676 | \$598,314 | \$544,197 | \$619,253 | \$557,112 | \$526,052 | \$504,267 | \$623,754 | \$584,471 |
| Shasta | \$1,124,351 | \$1,236,665 | \$621,700 | \$680,076 | \$614,678 | \$690,857 | \$662,855 | \$670,839 | \$753,266 | \$821,850 | \$932,070 |
| Sierra | \$38,625 | \$34,732 | \$13,759 | \$9,848 | \$8,323 | \$5,045 | \$10,829 | \$13,759 | \$22,459 | \$28,440 | \$36,894 |
| Siskiyou | \$196,638 | \$175,297 | \$245,373 | \$245,373 | \$245,373 | \$245,373 | \$245,373 | \$245,373 | \$245,373 | \$256,552 | \$255,222 |
| Solano | \$1,590,035 | \$1,520,292 | \$801,057 | \$883,349 | \$805,489 | \$880,251 | \$868,262 | \$957,238 | \$1,144,763 | \$1,162,244 | \$1,145,839 |
| Sonoma | \$2,223,386 | \$2,170,223 | \$990,021 | \$918,101 | \$945,770 | \$1,262,354 | \$1,405,793 | \$1,477,889 | \$1,581,093 | \$1,625,196 | \$1,635,689 |
| Stanislaus | \$1,942,404 | \$1,800,657 | \$1,004,470 | \$1,092,505 | \$1,091,719 | \$1,424,350 | \$1,448,878 | \$1,452,004 | \$1,492,887 | \$1,419,811 | \$1,357,149 |
| Sutter | \$434,175 | \$418,535 | \$146,804 | \$220,511 | \$260,937 | \$353,444 | \$374,781 | \$363,107 | \$345,198 | \$336,571 | \$337,171 |
| Tehama | \$299,901 | \$308,871 | \$177,634 | \$319,793 | \$362,975 | \$392,840 | \$340,323 | \$293,399 | \$241,836 | \$294,234 | \$313,954 |
| Trinity | \$78,441 | \$75,925 | \$93,829 | \$96,021 | \$93,829 | \$93,829 | \$93,829 | \$93,829 | \$93,829 | \$83,204 | \$83,204 |
| Tulare | \$3,306,098 | \$3,474,774 | \$1,032,410 | \$1,591,232 | \$1,714,221 | \$2,067,711 | \$2,155,983 | \$2,290,172 | \$2,489,610 | \$2,416,609 | \$2,618,925 |
| Tuolumne | \$341,239 | \$325,449 | \$110,593 | \$159,147 | \$168,548 | \$187,463 | \$257,399 | \$338,350 | \$313,321 | \$307,665 | \$300,491 |
| Ventura | \$2,521,856 | \$2,249,805 | \$1,284,628 | \$1,835,753 | \$1,833,055 | \$2,017,019 | \$1,802,468 | \$1,741,369 | \$1,895,272 | \$1,843,364 | \$1,695,670 |
| Yolo | \$1,689,887 | \$1,681,966 | \$430,429 | \$596,503 | \$712,428 | \$1,021,991 | \$1,167,029 | \$1,272,273 | \$1,353,723 | \$1,235,231 | \$1,267,692 |
| Yuba | \$551,781 | \$740,872 | \$278,909 | \$474,768 | \$471,244 | \$410,105 | \$363,820 | \$377,291 | \$375,249 | \$418,668 | \$563,486 |
| Reserve | \$0 | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |
| Total | \$253,429,531 | \$245,342,019 | \$114,700,000 | \$136,700,000 | \$136,700,000 | \$156,700,000 | \$156,700,000 | \$166,700,000 | \$186,700,000 | \$186,700,000 | \$186,700,000 |

[^14]Indicators Project (CCWIP) as of July 1, 2023.

2024-25 Total Funding Need for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Based on 2016 Workload Methodology

|  | Average Original Filings FY20FY22 | Average CW Cases July 2021, 2022, 2023 | Filings \% | Cases \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum of } \\ \text { Weighted } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Partially Redistributed Caseload | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { BLS Index } \\ \text { 2020-2022 } \end{array}$ | Annual Salary | Caseload <br> Multiplied by <br> Estimated <br> Child-to- <br> Parent Case <br> Ratio | Attorneys <br> Needed Per Caseload |  | Total Salaries | Total Funding Need | Allocation <br> Pre-BLS <br> Adjustment | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Small Court } \\ \text { Increase } \\ \text { with } \\ \text { BLS Adjustment } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | Large Court <br> Funding <br> Adjustment <br> (Pro-Rata <br> Decrease) |  | Proposed <br> FY 2024-25 <br> Allocation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Court | A | B | C | D | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ (.3 \mathrm{C}+.7 \mathrm{D}) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{F}{\left(B^{*} E\right)}$ | G | H (G*Median Salary) |  | $\stackrel{\mathrm{J}}{(\mathrm{I} / 141)}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K \\ \left(H^{*} \mathrm{~J}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{(\mathrm{~K} / .45)}$ | M | N |  | 0 |  | P |
| Alameda | 570 | 1,211 | 1.77\% | 1.86\% | 1.83\% | 1,194 | 1.50 | \$ 162,633 | 2,149 | 15.24 | \$ | 2,478,229 | \$ 5,507,175 | \$ 4,188,597 | \$ - | \$ | $(37,858)$ | \$ | 4,150,739 |
| *Alpine | 2 | 10 | 0.01\% | 0.02\% | 0.01\% | 8 | 0.76 | \$ 82,821 | 15 | 0.10 | \$ | 8,685 | \$ 19,301 | \$ 14,679 | \$ 4,320 | \$ | - | \$ | 18,999 |
| *Amador | 37 | 64 | 0.12\% | 0.10\% | 0.10\% | 67 | 0.97 | \$ 105,148 | 121 | 0.86 | \$ | 90,256 | \$ 200,569 | \$ 152,547 | \$ 2,966 | \$ | - | \$ | 155,513 |
| Butte | 219 | 483 | 0.68\% | 0.74\% | 0.72\% | 471 | 0.88 | \$ 95,578 | 848 | 6.01 | \$ | 574,559 | \$ 1,276,798 | \$ 971,096 | \$ | \$ | $(8,777)$ | \$ | 962,319 |
| *Calaveras | 70 | 82 | 0.22\% | 0.13\% | 0.15\% | 100 | 0.84 | \$ 91,087 | 180 | 1.28 | \$ | 116,414 | \$ 258,697 | \$ 196,757 | \$ 34,788 | \$ | - | \$ | 231,546 |
| *Colusa | 21 | 45 | 0.07\% | 0.07\% | 0.07\% | 44 | 0.73 | \$ 79,362 | 79 | 0.56 | \$ | 44,598 | \$ 99,107 | \$ 75,378 | 26,433 | \$ | - | \$ | 101,811 |
| Contra Costa | 483 | 739 | 1.50\% | 1.13\% | 1.24\% | 811 | 1.34 | \$ 145,344 | 1,459 | 10.35 | \$ | 1,504,455 | \$ 3,343,233 | \$ 2,542,766 | \$ | \$ | $(22,982)$ | \$ | 2,519,783 |
| *Del Norte | 56 | 118 | 0.17\% | 0.18\% | 0.18\% | 117 | 0.75 | \$ 81,399 | 210 | 1.49 | \$ | 121,205 | \$ 269,344 | 204,856 | 64,913 | \$ | - | \$ | 269,768 |
| *El Dorado | 100 | 167 | 0.31\% | 0.26\% | 0.27\% | 178 | 1.10 | \$ 119,353 | 320 | 2.27 | \$ | 270,646 | \$ 601,436 | \$ 457,435 | \$ 143,921 | \$ | - | \$ | 601,356 |
| Fresno | 1,040 | 2,494 | 3.23\% | 3.83\% | 3.65\% | 2,378 | 0.93 | \$ 100,496 | 4,280 | 30.35 | \$ | 3,050,282 | \$ 6,778,404 | \$ 5,155,457 | \$ - | \$ | $(46,597)$ | \$ | 5,108,860 |
| *Glenn | 29 | 62 | 0.09\% | 0.09\% | 0.09\% | 61 | 0.76 | \$ 82,451 | 110 | 0.78 | \$ | 64,187 | \$ 142,637 | \$ 108,485 | \$ 32,553 | \$ | - | \$ | 141,039 |
| Humboldt | 212 | 426 | 0.66\% | 0.65\% | 0.66\% | 427 | 0.75 | \$ 81,582 | 769 | 5.45 | \$ | 444,687 | \$ 988,193 | 751,591 | \$ - | \$ | $(6,793)$ | \$ | 744,798 |
| *Imperial | 151 | 369 | 0.47\% | 0.57\% | 0.54\% | 350 | 0.69 | \$ 75,344 | 630 | 4.47 | \$ | 336,450 | \$ 747,666 | \$ 568,653 | 240,377 | \$ | - | \$ | 809,029 |
| *Inyo | 20 | 36 | 0.06\% | 0.06\% | 0.06\% | 37 | 0.77 | \$ 83,662 | 67 | 0.47 | \$ | 39,670 | \$ 88,156 | \$ 67,049 | 18,858 | \$ | - | \$ | 85,907 |
| Kern | 887 | 2,023 | 2.76\% | 3.10\% | 3.00\% | 1,955 | 0.91 | 98,850 | 3,518 | 24.95 | \$ | 2,466,470 | \$ 5,481,045 | 4,168,723 | \$ - | \$ | $(37,679)$ | \$ | 4,131,045 |
| Kings | 224 | 402 | 0.70\% | 0.62\% | 0.64\% | 418 | 0.85 | \$ 92,306 | 752 | 5.33 | \$ | 492,167 | \$ 1,093,705 | \$ 831,840 | \$ | \$ | $(7,518)$ | \$ | 824,322 |
| *Lake | 35 | 84 | 0.11\% | 0.13\% | 0.12\% | 80 | 0.75 | \$ 80,869 | 145 | 1.02 | \$ | 82,888 | \$ 184,195 | 140,093 | 107,010 | \$ | - | \$ | 247,103 |
| *Lassen | 41 | 71 | 0.13\% | 0.11\% | 0.11\% | 75 | 0.80 | \$ 86,685 | 135 | 0.96 | \$ | 82,811 | \$ 184,025 | \$ 139,964 | 33,112 | \$ | - | \$ | 173,075 |
| Los Angeles | 13,446 | 27,147 | 41.77\% | 41.66\% | 41.69\% | 27,169 | 1.38 | \$ 149,485 | 48,904 | 346.83 | \$ | 51,846,550 | \$ 115,214,556 | \$ 87,628,838 | \$ | \$ | $(792,023)$ | \$ | 86,836,815 |
| *Madera | 249 | 293 | 0.77\% | 0.45\% | 0.55\% | 356 | 0.91 | \$ 98,837 | 641 | 4.55 | \$ | 449,545 | \$ 998,990 | \$ 759,802 | 64,230 | \$ | - | \$ | 824,032 |
| *Marin | 54 | 94 | 0.17\% | 0.14\% | 0.15\% | 98 | 1.28 | \$ 138,589 | 177 | 1.25 | \$ | 173,664 | \$ 385,919 | \$ 293,519 | 93,169 | \$ | - | \$ | 386,687 |
| *Mariposa | 22 | 28 | 0.07\% | 0.04\% | 0.05\% | 33 | 0.86 | \$ 93,616 | 59 | 0.42 | \$ | 39,149 | \$ 86,998 | \$ 66,168 | 9,596 | \$ | - | \$ | 75,764 |
| *Mendocino | 145 | 283 | 0.45\% | 0.43\% | 0.44\% | 287 | 0.80 | \$ 86,642 | 516 | 3.66 | \$ | 316,994 | \$ 704,430 | \$ 535,769 | 127,076 | \$ | - | \$ | 662,845 |
| Merced | 329 | 619 | 1.02\% | 0.95\% | 0.97\% | 633 | 0.79 | \$ 86,197 | 1,140 | 8.08 | \$ | 696,658 | \$ 1,548,128 | \$ 1,177,461 | \$ | \$ | $(10,642)$ | \$ | 1,166,819 |
| ${ }^{*}$ Modoc | 21 | 22 | 0.07\% | 0.03\% | 0.04\% | 28 | 0.55 | \$ 59,979 | 51 | 0.36 | \$ | 21,712 | \$ 48,248 | 36,696 | 28,886 | \$ | - | \$ | 65,582 |
| *Mono | 8 | 10 | 0.02\% | 0.02\% | 0.02\% | 12 | 0.89 | \$ 96,918 | 21 | 0.15 | \$ | 14,421 | \$ 32,047 | \$ 24,374 | 2,584 | \$ | - | \$ | 26,958 |
| Monterey | 77 | 216 | 0.24\% | 0.33\% | 0.30\% | 198 | 1.14 | \$ 123,740 | 356 | 2.53 | \$ | 312,712 | \$ 694,915 | \$ 528,532 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | 528,532 |
| Napa | 64 | 118 | 0.20\% | 0.18\% | 0.19\% | 121 | 1.26 | \$ 136,616 | 218 | 1.55 | \$ | 211,083 | \$ 469,074 | \$ 356,764 | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | 356,764 |
| *Nevada | 36 | 52 | 0.11\% | 0.08\% | 0.09\% | 59 | 1.07 | \$ 116,107 | 106 | 0.75 | \$ | 87,004 | \$ 193,343 | \$ 147,051 | 46,250 | \$ | - | \$ | 193,301 |
| Orange | 1,847 | 3,265 | 5.74\% | 5.01\% | 5.23\% | 3,408 | 1.23 | \$ 133,895 | 6,134 | 43.50 | \$ | 5,824,641 | \$ 12,943,647 | \$ 9,844,561 | \$ | \$ | $(88,979)$ | \$ | 9,755,582 |
| Placer | 144 | 216 | 0.45\% | 0.33\% | 0.37\% | 238 | 1.16 | \$ 125,533 | 429 | 3.04 | \$ | 382,076 | \$ 849,058 | \$ 645,769 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | 645,769 |
| *Plumas | 21 | 41 | 0.07\% | 0.06\% | 0.06\% | 42 | 0.71 | \$ 76,953 | 75 | 0.53 | \$ | 41,151 | \$ 91,447 | \$ 69,552 | \$ 59,369 | \$ | - | \$ | 128,921 |
| Riverside | 2,727 | 4,494 | 8.47\% | 6.90\% | 7.37\% | 4,802 | 1.07 | \$ 115,935 | 8,643 | 61.30 | \$ | 7,106,629 | \$ 15,792,508 | \$ 12,011,322 | \$ | \$ | $(108,563)$ | \$ | 11,902,759 |
| Sacramento | 642 | 1,670 | 1.99\% | 2.56\% | 2.39\% | 1,559 | 1.31 | \$ 141,780 | 2,806 | 19.90 | \$ | 2,821,154 | \$ 6,269,231 | \$ 4,768,195 | \$ | \$ | $(43,097)$ | \$ | 4,725,098 |
| San Benito | 20 | 40 | 0.06\% | 0.06\% | 0.06\% | 40 | 1.01 | \$ 110,084 | 72 | 0.51 | \$ | 56,134 | \$ 124,742 | \$ 94,875 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | 94,875 |
| San Bernardino | 2,905 | 6,226 | 9.02\% | 9.55\% | 9.40\% | 6,123 | 1.13 | \$ 122,781 | 11,021 | 78.16 | \$ | 9,597,062 | \$ 21,326,805 | \$ 16,220,548 | \$ | \$ | $(146,608)$ | \$ | 16,073,940 |
| San Diego | 911 | 2,417 | 2.83\% | 3.71\% | 3.45\% | 2,245 | 1.17 | \$ 126,740 | 4,042 | 28.66 | \$ | 3,632,933 | \$ 8,073,185 | \$ 6,140,230 | \$ | \$ | $(55,498)$ | \$ | 6,084,732 |
| San Francisco | 362 | 852 | 1.13\% | 1.31\% | 1.25\% | 816 | 1.64 | \$ 178,417 | 1,469 | 10.42 | \$ | 1,859,051 | \$ 4,131,224 | \$ 3,142,088 | \$ - | \$ | $(28,399)$ | \$ | 3,113,689 |
| San Joaquin | 634 | 1,341 | 1.97\% | 2.06\% | 2.03\% | 1,324 | 1.04 | \$ 112,480 | 2,383 | 16.90 | \$ | 1,900,756 | \$ 4,223,902 | \$ 3,212,577 | \$ - | \$ | $(29,037)$ | \$ | 3,183,540 |
| *San Luis Obispo | 142 | 303 | 0.44\% | 0.46\% | 0.46\% | 298 | 1.02 | \$ 111,192 | 537 | 3.81 | \$ | 423,438 | \$ 940,973 | \$ 715,677 | \$ 16,515 | \$ | - | \$ | 732,191 |
| San Mateo | 99 | 190 | 0.31\% | 0.29\% | 0.30\% | 193 | 1.61 | \$ 174,338 | 347 | 2.46 | \$ | 428,842 | \$ 952,983 | \$ 724,811 | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | 724,811 |
| Santa Barbara | 272 | 496 | 0.84\% | 0.76\% | 0.79\% | 512 | 1.21 | \$ 131,587 | 922 | 6.54 | \$ | 859,991 | \$ 1,911,090 | \$ 1,453,520 | \$ - | \$ | $(13,137)$ | \$ | 1,440,382 |
| Santa Clara | 218 | 827 | 0.68\% | 1.27\% | 1.09\% | 711 | 1.49 | \$ 162,013 | 1,281 | 9.08 | \$ | 1,471,550 | \$ 3,270,112 | \$ 2,487,152 | \$ - | \$ | $(22,480)$ | \$ | 2,464,672 |
| *Santa Cruz | 85 | 171 | 0.26\% | 0.26\% | 0.26\% | 171 | 1.11 | \$ 120,884 | 308 | 2.18 | \$ | 264,022 | \$ 586,717 | \$ 446,240 | \$ 138,231 | \$ | - | \$ | 584,471 |
| Shasta | 231 | 432 | 0.72\% | 0.66\% | 0.68\% | 443 | 0.91 | \$ 98,428 | 797 | 5.65 | \$ | 556,499 | \$ 1,236,665 | \$ 940,571 | \$ | \$ | $(8,501)$ | \$ | 932,070 |
| *Sierra | 12 | 13 | 0.04\% | 0.02\% | 0.02\% | 16 | 0.71 | \$ 76,749 | 29 | 0.20 | \$ | 15,629 | \$ 34,732 | \$ 26,416 | 10,478 | \$ | - | \$ | 36,894 |
| *Siskiyou | 49 | 76 | 0.15\% | 0.12\% | 0.13\% | 83 | 0.69 | \$ 74,514 | 149 | 1.06 | \$ | 78,884 | \$ 175,297 | \$ 133,326 | \$ 121,896 | \$ | - | \$ | 255,222 |
| Solano | 190 | 423 | 0.59\% | 0.65\% | 0.63\% | 411 | 1.20 | \$ 130,245 | 741 | 5.25 | \$ | 684,131 | \$ 1,520,292 | \$ 1,156,290 | \$ | \$ | $(10,451)$ | \$ | 1,145,839 |
| Sonoma | 252 | 614 | 0.78\% | 0.94\% | 0.89\% | 583 | 1.21 | \$ 131,202 | 1,050 | 7.44 | \$ | 976,600 | \$ 2,170,223 | \$ 1,650,608 | \$ | \$ | $(14,919)$ | \$ | 1,635,689 |
| Stanislaus | 233 | 613 | 0.72\% | 0.94\% | 0.88\% | 571 | 1.03 | \$ 111,233 | 1,027 | 7.28 | \$ | 810,296 | \$ 1,800,657 | \$ 1,369,527 | \$ | \$ | $(12,378)$ | \$ | 1,357,149 |
| *Sutter | 116 | 107 | 0.36\% | 0.16\% | 0.22\% | 146 | 0.93 | \$ 101,201 | 262 | 1.86 | \$ | 188,341 | \$ 418,535 | \$ 318,326 | \$ 18,845 | \$ | - | \$ | 337,171 |
| *Tehama | 84 | 121 | 0.26\% | 0.19\% | 0.21\% | 136 | 0.74 | \$ 80,208 | 244 | 1.73 | \$ | 138,992 | \$ 308,871 | \$ 234,918 | \$ 79,035 | \$ | - | \$ | 313,954 |
| *Trinity | 23 | 29 | 0.07\% | 0.04\% | 0.05\% | 34 | 0.73 | \$ 79,106 | 61 | 0.43 | \$ | 34,166 | \$ 75,925 | \$ 57,746 | \$ 25,458 | \$ | - | \$ | 83,204 |
| Tulare | 632 | 1,129 | 1.96\% | 1.73\% | 1.80\% | 1,174 | 0.96 | \$ 104,304 | 2,114 | 14.99 | \$ | 1,563,648 | \$ 3,474,774 | \$ 2,642,812 | \$ - | \$ | $(23,887)$ | \$ | 2,618,925 |
| *Tuolumne | 104 | 96 | 0.32\% | 0.15\% | 0.20\% | 130 | 0.81 | \$ 88,299 | 234 | 1.66 | \$ | 146,452 | \$ 325,449 | \$ 247,527 | 52,964 | \$ | - | \$ | 300,491 |
| Ventura | 263 | 604 | 0.82\% | 0.93\% | 0.89\% | 582 | 1.26 | \$ 136,244 | 1,048 | 7.43 | \$ | 1,012,412 | \$ 2,249,805 | \$ 1,711,136 | \$ | \$ | $(15,466)$ | \$ | 1,695,670 |
| Yolo | 221 | 407 | 0.69\% | 0.62\% | 0.64\% | 419 | 1.30 | \$ 141,542 | 754 | 5.35 | \$ | 756,884 | \$ 1,681,966 | \$ 1,279,254 | \$ | \$ | $(11,562)$ | \$ | 1,267,692 |
| Yuba | 107 | 190 | 0.33\% | 0.29\% | 0.30\% | 198 | 1.21 | \$ 131,755 | 357 | 2.53 | \$ | 333,392 | \$ 740,872 | \$ 563,486 | \$ | \$ | - | \$ | 563,486 |
| Total | 32,193 | 65,170 | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 65,170 | 1.00 |  | 117,306 | 832 |  | 110,403,909 | \$ 245,342,019 | \$ 186,600,000 | \$ 1,603,832 | \$ | $(1,603,832)$ |  | 186,600,000 |

Median annual salary of county attorneys
\$ 108,488
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## Title: Pretrial Release Program Allocations for 2024-25

Date: 5/1/2024
Contact: Deirdre Benedict, Supervising Analyst Criminal Justice Services
415-865-7543 | deirdre.benedict@jud.ca.gov

## Issue

The 2024-25 Governor's Budget provides $\$ 68.95^{1}$ million in fiscal year (FY) 2024-25 in ongoing funding to the Judicial Council for distribution to the courts. The Judicial Council's Criminal Justice Services staff recommend approval of the FY 2024-25 allocations of the Pretrial Release Program for consideration by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Budget Committee) and then the Judicial Council at its July 12, 2024 business meeting.

## Background

The originating legislation, Senate Bill 129 (Stats. 2021, ch. 69), amending the Budget Act of 2021, provided funding for "the implementation and operation of ongoing court programs and practices that promote the safe, efficient, fair, and timely pretrial release of individuals booked into jail." (Sen. Bill 129, § 4, item 0250-101-0001, provision 9.) SB 129 appropriated $\$ 140$ million in FY 2021-22 and $\$ 70$ million in ongoing funding to the Judicial Council for distribution to the courts for these purposes. Each court may retain up to 30 percent of the funding for costs associated with pretrial release programs and practices. Except as otherwise authorized, ${ }^{2}$ courts must contract for pretrial services with their county's probation department or other county department or agency and provide that department with the remainder of the funds.

In June 2022, Senate Bill 154 (Stats. 2022, ch. 43) was signed, appropriating $\$ 70$ million to the trial courts to continue implementing and/or operating their pretrial programs in FY 2022-23. Additionally, it allowed the trial courts to carry any unexpended balances of the $\$ 70$ million ongoing funding appropriated by SB 129 to June 30, 2023.

[^15]Since program implementation, the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) has approved Criminal Justice Services staff recommendations to approve and submit the Pretrial Release Program allocations for each fiscal year of the program to the Budget Committee. The Budget Committee has approved the advisory committee's recommendations to present each fiscal year's allocations to the Judicial Council for approval, with the council approving the allocations annually during its July meeting.

## Analysis/Rationale

## Fiscal year 2024-25 ongoing pretrial release funding for all courts

The Judicial Council is required to distribute $\$ 68.95$ million in ongoing funding to all courts based on each county's relative proportion of the state population 18 to 25 years of age. ${ }^{3}$ These funds must be encumbered or expended by June 30, 2025. The breakdown for these ongoing allocation recommendations is reflected in Attachment A.

## Funding floor

In previous years, the pretrial release allocations approval requests to the TCBAC included a recommendation to provide small and small-medium courts with a minimum funding floor of $\$ 200,000$ with a commitment from staff to monitor and evaluate the impact and necessity for the floor, and that staff would return to the committee with a recommendation to either rescind or adjust the floor. The original $\$ 200,000$ floor is equivalent to the floor used in the funding methodology for the California Community Corrections Performance Incentives Act of 2009. ${ }^{4}$

The floor was in effect for fiscal years 2021-22 and 2022-23, with 23 courts receiving the floor. In FY 2023-24, Criminal Justice Services staff evaluated the continued necessity for the floor, resulting in 22 courts receiving the original funding floor amount of $\$ 200,000$ and one court receiving a reduced floor amount of $\$ 100,000 .{ }^{5}$

The minimum funding floor allocation has allowed small and small-medium courts to implement robust pretrial programs and provide services to their pretrial populations. Of the 23 courts that previously received the funding floor, 20 have spent more than twice their non-floor allocations. One court spent 42 times its non-floor allocation; the court is in a rural area with few of the services that are generally accessible in larger counties, for example, internet services. The court implemented its program from the ground up and the minimum funding floor allowed the court

[^16]to hire necessary staff, provide basic equipment and supplies, and contract with providers for services that are essential for program operation such as court management system hosting and internet services.

If the minimum funding floor allocation is rescinded, small and small-medium courts will not have the financial resources to operate their pretrial programs. These courts will be forced to downsize their programs by eliminating critical positions and reducing services. Even with the floor in effect, several courts report they are operating their programs at a deficit and must secure funding from other sources. Ensuring that small and small-medium courts have stable funding allows them to continue to comply with the legislation set forth in SB 129.

In March 2024, Criminal Justice Services staff again reevaluated the funding floor. Based on annual expenditures, staff identified whether each court should continue to receive a floor of $\$ 200,000$ or an allocation more reflective of their annual spending. Staff reached out to the courts individually to give the courts an opportunity to provide feedback regarding their FY 2024-25 allocations.

After a detailed analysis of planned budgets and actual spending, and individual outreach and conversations with the affected courts, Criminal Justice Services staff recommend the following for FY 2024-25:

- 15 courts continue to receive the floor of $\$ 200,000$;
- 1 court to receive a floor of $\$ 175,000$;
- 3 courts to receive a floor of $\$ 150,000$;
- 2 courts to receive a floor of $\$ 125,000$;
- 1 court to receive a floor of $\$ 100,000$; and
- 1 court to receive a floor of $\$ 25,000$.

Criminal Justice Services staff will continue to monitor and evaluate whether the floor provides small and small-medium courts with the resources necessary to meet the requirements of the legislation. Staff will regularly return to the TCBAC and the Budget Committee with recommendations to rescind, retain, or adjust the floor.

## Alternatives Considered

Criminal Justice Services staff considered the alternative of providing the 23 courts with their non-funding floor allocation. More than half of the funding floor courts expended or will expend over 100 percent of their allocations, and 90 percent of the funding floor courts budgeted over 100 percent of their non-funding floor allocations. This option does not provide enough funding for the courts to continue operating their pretrial programs.

## Recommendation

Criminal Justice Services staff recommend that the TCBAC at its meeting on May 1, 2024, and the Budget Committee at its meeting on May 15, 2024, approve the FY 2024-25 allocations for Pretrial Release funding as outlined in Attachment A, and present these allocations to the Judicial Council at its business meeting on July 12, 2024.

## Attachment

Attachment A: Recommended FY 2024-25 Pretrial Release Ongoing Allocations

## Attachment A: Recommended FY 2024-25 Pretrial Release Ongoing Allocations

| Court | No. of 18-24 Yr. Olds | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of Total } \\ \text { Population of } \\ \text { CA 18-24 Yr. Olds } \end{gathered}$ | \$ Allocation of \$68.95M Based on \% of 18-24 Yr. Olds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda | 137,108 | 3.74\% | \$2,428,229 |
| Alpine | N/A | N/A | \$100,000 |
| Amador | N/A | N/A | \$150,000 |
| Butte | 31,608 | 0.86\% | \$559,788 |
| Calaveras | N/A | N/A | \$150,000 |
| Colusa | N/A | N/A | \$200,000 |
| Contra Costa | 96,112 | 2.62\% | \$1,702,176 |
| Del Norte | N/A | N/A | \$125,000 |
| El Dorado | 13,226 | 0.36\% | \$234,237 |
| Fresno | 100,912 | 2.75\% | \$1,787,185 |
| Glenn | N/A | N/A | \$175,000 |
| Humboldt | 16,912 | 0.46\% | \$299,517 |
| Imperial | 18,328 | 0.50\% | \$324,595 |
| Inyo | N/A | N/A | \$200,000 |
| Kern | 92,536 | 2.52\% | \$1,638,844 |
| Kings | 16,383 | 0.45\% | \$290,148 |
| Lake | N/A | N/A | \$200,000 |
| Lassen | N/A | N/A | \$200,000 |
| Los Angeles | 933,968 | 25.47\% | \$16,540,887 |
| Madera | 15,326 | 0.42\% | \$271,429 |
| Marin | 17,974 | 0.49\% | \$318,326 |
| Mariposa | N/A | N/A | \$150,000 |
| Mendocino | N/A | N/A | \$200,000 |
| Merced | 31,470 | 0.86\% | \$557,344 |
| Modoc | N/A | N/A | \$200,000 |
| Mono | N/A | N/A | \$200,000 |
| Monterey | 44,833 | 1.22\% | \$794,007 |
| Napa | 11,705 | 0.32\% | \$207,299 |
| Nevada | N/A | N/A | \$200,000 |
| Orange | 297,509 | 8.11\% | \$5,268,984 |
| Placer | 30,503 | 0.83\% | \$540,218 |
| Plumas | N/A | N/A | \$125,000 |
| Riverside | 237,956 | 6.49\% | \$4,214,281 |
| Sacramento | 136,768 | 3.73\% | \$2,422,207 |
| San Benito | N/A | N/A | \$200,000 |


| Court | No. of <br> 18-24 Yr. Olds | \% of Total <br> Population of <br> CA 18-24 Yr. Olds | \$ Allocation of <br> \$68.95M Based on <br> \% of 18-24 Yr. Olds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Bernardino | 227,084 | $6.19 \%$ | $\$ 4,021,734$ |
| San Diego | 334,709 | $9.13 \%$ | $\$ 5,927,809$ |
| San Francisco | 55,291 | $1.51 \%$ | $\$ 979,222$ |
| San Joaquin | 76,102 | $2.08 \%$ | $\$ 1,347,792$ |
| San Luis Obispo | 43,148 | $1.18 \%$ | $\$ 764,166$ |
| San Mateo | 56,246 | $1.53 \%$ | $\$ 996,136$ |
| Santa Barbara | 68,741 | $1.87 \%$ | $\$ 1,217,426$ |
| Santa Clara | 169,610 | $4.62 \%$ | $\$ 3,003,850$ |
| Santa Cruz | 38,080 | $1.04 \%$ | $\$ 674,410$ |
| Shasta | 13,779 | $0.38 \%$ | $\$ 244,031$ |
| Sierra | N/A | N/A | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Siskiyou | N/A | N/A | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Solano | 39,292 | $1.07 \%$ | $\$ 695,875$ |
| Sonoma | 39,503 | $1.08 \%$ | $\$ 699,611$ |
| Stanislaus | 53,267 | $1.45 \%$ | $\$ 943,376$ |
| Sutter | N/A | N/A | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Tehama | N/A | N/A | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Trinity | N/A | N/A | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Tulare | 49,543 | $1.35 \%$ | $\$ 877,423$ |
| Tuolumne | N/A | N/A | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Ventura | 78,340 | $2.14 \%$ | $\$ 1,387,428$ |
| Yolo | 43,478 | $1.19 \%$ | $\$ 770,010$ |
| Yuba | N/A | N/A | $\$ 200,000$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 6 6 7 , 3 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\$ 68,950,000$ |
| Sin |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2022: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables, Table S0101, https://data.census.gov/table/ACSST5Y2022.S0101?g=040XX00US06\$0500000\&tp=true.

Notes: Fiscal year 2024-25 funding must be spent or encumbered by June 30, 2025.
"N/A" designates courts that have been provided with a minimum funding floor allocation to ensure adequate funding is provided to meet the legislative mandate.

# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET SERVICES <br> Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (Action Item) 

## Title: $\quad$ Court Reporter Allocations for 2024-25

Date: 5/1/2024
Contact: Chris Belloli, Manager, Business Management Services 415-865-7658 | chris.belloli@jud.ca.gov

## Issue

Consideration of fiscal year 2024-25 allocations for the $\$ 30$ million included in the 2024-25 Governor's Budget to increase the number of court reporters in family law and civil law case types. The approved recommendation will be considered by the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Budget Committee) and then the Judicial Council at its July 12, 2024, business meeting.

## Background

## Budget Language

Senate Bill 170 (Stats. 2021, ch. 240), which amended the 2021 Budget Act, included $\$ 30$ million ongoing General Fund to the Judicial Council for establishing a methodology to allocate funding to all trial courts to increase the number of court reporters in family law and civil cases. The budget language in the 2022 Budget Act and ongoing expanded the use of this funding. However, these changes do not affect how these funds are allocated to the courts.

## Allocation Methodology

In the first year of funding in 2021-22, the Funding Methodology Subcommittee of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) established the Ad Hoc Court Reporter Funding Subcommittee, consisting of members from the TCBAC, to develop an allocation methodology recommendation for 2021-22. Through deliberations, the ad hoc subcommittee developed a recommendation for an allocation methodology for the $\$ 30$ million and presented it to the TCBAC at its November 30, 2021, meeting and to the Budget Committee on December 7, 2021. The Judicial Council approved the allocation methodology at its January 21, 2022, business meeting and directed Judicial Council staff to update the allocation methodology used for this ongoing funding based on the most recent data available.

The council-approved allocation methodology was developed based on the 2020 Judicial Needs Assessment (JNA), which was the most current study at the time. Judicial workload, as described by the JNA, is measured by a court's assessed judicial need (AJN) and was identified as the best
metric for the allocation methodology because of the parallel workload drivers between judgeships and court reporters. In addition, the AJN data includes separate noncriminal and criminal judicial workload metrics by court. Focusing on noncriminal judicial need, consistent with the requirements in the budget language, the proposed methodology for allocating funds to the trial courts is as follows:

1. Identify the proportion of judicial workload, as measured by the AJN, for noncriminal need by court;
2. Apply a $\$ 25,000$ funding floor to all courts. Doing so would result in an increased amount - compared to using a purely proportional calculation to 11 courts totaling $\$ 275,000$-which represents an approximate 0.25 full-time equivalent using the average salary for court reporters from the Schedule 7A;
3. After applying the funding floor amount to 11 courts, allocate the remaining $\$ 29.7$ million proportionally to all other courts based on their noncriminal judicial need; and
4. Allocate the funding in one lump sum, on council approval.

The AJN data used in the allocation methodology for 2024-25 was updated based on the 2022 JNA, and the detail of the allocations by court is included as Attachment 9A.

## Potential Impacts to Allocations

Allocation changes may be necessary if there are changes to the court reporter appropriation and associated language in the 2024 Budget Act.

## Recommendation

Approve the Court Reporter allocation of the $\$ 30$ million to the trial courts on a proportional basis using the council-approved methodology with updated AJN data based on the 2022 JNA as outlined in Attachment 9A.

## Attachments

Attachment 9A: Court Reporter Funding: Recommended 2024-25 Allocations

## Court Reporter Funding: FY 2024-25 Allocations

| Cluster | Initial Allocation of \$30M based on Noncriminal AJN |  |  |  | Allocation of \$30M with Funding Floor of \$25,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Court | Noncriminal AJN * | Proportion of Statewide AJN | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Proportion } \\ \text { of } \$ 30 \mathrm{M} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Funding Floor Court? | Floor Funding | Revised AJN Proportion for Non-floor Courts | Allocation of Non floor Funding | Final Allocation | Change with Floor |
|  | Statewide | 1,067 | 100\% | \$30,000,000 |  | \$275,000 |  | \$29,725,000 | \$30,000,000 | \$0 |
| 4 | Alameda | 36.8 | 3.45\% | \$1,035,628 |  |  | 3.47\% | \$1,031,041 | \$1,031,041 | $(\$ 4,588)$ |
| 1 | Alpine | 0.1 | 0.01\% | \$1,772 | X | \$25,000 |  |  | \$25,000 | \$23,228 |
| 1 | Amador | 1.1 | 0.11\% | \$31,681 |  |  | 0.11\% | \$31,541 | \$31,541 | (\$140) |
| 2 | Butte | 6.1 | 0.57\% | \$170,509 |  |  | 0.57\% | \$169,753 | \$169,753 | (\$755) |
| 1 | Calaveras | 1.3 | 0.12\% | \$36,871 |  |  | 0.12\% | \$36,707 | \$36,707 | (\$163) |
| 1 | Colusa | 0.5 | 0.04\% | \$13,233 | X | \$25,000 |  |  | \$25,000 | \$11,767 |
| 3 | Contra Costa | 23.2 | 2.18\% | \$653,080 |  |  | 2.19\% | \$650,187 | \$650,187 | (\$2,893) |
| 1 | Del Norte | 1.2 | 0.11\% | \$34,107 |  |  | 0.11\% | \$33,956 | \$33,956 | (\$151) |
| 2 | El Dorado | 4.2 | 0.40\% | \$118,797 |  |  | 0.40\% | \$118,271 | \$118,271 | (\$526) |
| 3 | Fresno | 28.4 | 2.67\% | \$799,663 |  |  | 2.68\% | \$796,121 | \$796,121 | $(\$ 3,543)$ |
| 1 | Glenn | 0.8 | 0.08\% | \$22,664 | x | \$25,000 |  |  | \$25,000 | \$2,336 |
| 2 | Humboldt | 4.5 | 0.42\% | \$126,583 |  |  | 0.42\% | \$126,022 | \$126,022 | (\$561) |
| 2 | Imperial | 4.4 | 0.41\% | \$124,280 |  |  | 0.42\% | \$123,729 | \$123,729 | (\$551) |
| 1 | Inyo | 0.5 | 0.05\% | \$14,140 | x | \$25,000 |  |  | \$25,000 | \$10,860 |
| 3 | Kern | 24.9 | 2.33\% | \$699,077 |  |  | 2.34\% | \$695,980 | \$695,980 | $(\$ 3,097)$ |
| 2 | Kings | 4.5 | 0.42\% | \$125,132 |  |  | 0.42\% | \$124,578 | \$124,578 | (\$554) |
| 2 | Lake | 2.4 | 0.22\% | \$66,690 |  |  | 0.22\% | \$66,394 | \$66,394 | (\$295) |
| 1 | Lassen | 0.8 | 0.07\% | \$22,384 | x | \$25,000 |  |  | \$25,000 | \$2,616 |
| 4 | Los Angeles | 341.3 | 31.99\% | \$9,595,553 |  |  | 32.14\% | \$9,553,044 | \$9,553,044 | $(\$ 42,508)$ |
| 2 | Madera | 6.0 | 0.56\% | \$167,484 |  |  | 0.56\% | \$166,742 | \$166,742 | (\$742) |
| 2 | Marin | 5.1 | 0.48\% | \$143,271 |  |  | 0.48\% | \$142,636 | \$142,636 | (\$635) |
| 1 | Mariposa | 0.4 | 0.03\% | \$10,220 | x | \$25,000 |  |  | \$25,000 | \$14,780 |
| 2 | Mendocino | 2.7 | 0.25\% | \$74,961 |  |  | 0.25\% | \$74,629 | \$74,629 | (\$332) |
| 2 | Merced | 7.3 | 0.68\% | \$204,434 |  |  | 0.68\% | \$203,529 | \$203,529 | (\$906) |
| 1 | Modoc | 0.4 | 0.04\% | \$10,649 | x | \$25,000 |  |  | \$25,000 | \$14,351 |
| 1 | Mono | 0.3 | 0.03\% | \$8,108 | x | \$25,000 |  |  | \$25,000 | \$16,892 |
| 3 | Monterey | 9.4 | 0.88\% | \$264,158 |  |  | 0.88\% | \$262,987 | \$262,987 | $(\$ 1,170)$ |
| 2 | Napa | 3.6 | 0.34\% | \$101,381 |  |  | 0.34\% | \$100,932 | \$100,932 | (\$449) |
| 2 | Nevada | 2.6 | 0.24\% | \$72,625 |  |  | 0.24\% | \$72,304 | \$72,304 | (\$322) |
| 4 | Orange | 77.0 | 7.22\% | \$2,165,597 |  |  | 7.25\% | \$2,156,003 | \$2,156,003 | $(\$ 9,594)$ |
| 2 | Placer | 9.3 | 0.88\% | \$262,673 |  |  | 0.88\% | \$261,509 | \$261,509 | $(\$ 1,164)$ |
| 1 | Plumas | 0.6 | 0.06\% | \$18,029 | x | \$25,000 |  |  | \$25,000 | \$6,971 |
| 4 | Riverside | 62.8 | 5.88\% | \$1,764,521 |  |  | 5.91\% | \$1,756,704 | \$1,756,704 | $(\$ 7,817)$ |
| 4 | Sacramento | 43.7 | 4.10\% | \$1,228,562 |  |  | 4.11\% | \$1,223,119 | \$1,223,119 | $(\$ 5,443)$ |
| 1 | San Benito | 1.4 | 0.14\% | \$40,658 |  |  | 0.14\% | \$40,478 | \$40,478 | (\$180) |
| 4 | San Bernardino | 69.2 | 6.49\% | \$1,946,259 |  |  | 6.52\% | \$1,937,637 | \$1,937,637 | $(\$ 8,622)$ |
| 4 | San Diego | 77.9 | 7.30\% | \$2,188,860 |  |  | 7.33\% | \$2,179,163 | \$2,179,163 | $(\$ 9,697)$ |
| 3 | San Francisco | 25.1 | 2.35\% | \$706,220 |  |  | 2.37\% | \$703,092 | \$703,092 | $(\$ 3,129)$ |
| 3 | San Joaquin | 19.9 | 1.87\% | \$560,134 |  |  | 1.88\% | \$557,652 | \$557,652 | $(\$ 2,481)$ |
| 2 | San Luis Obispo | 6.0 | 0.56\% | \$167,914 |  |  | 0.56\% | \$167,170 | \$167,170 | (\$744) |
| 3 | San Mateo | 13.5 | 1.26\% | \$378,323 |  |  | 1.27\% | \$376,647 | \$376,647 | $(\$ 1,676)$ |
| 3 | Santa Barbara | 9.2 | 0.86\% | \$259,174 |  |  | 0.87\% | \$258,026 | \$258,026 | $(\$ 1,148)$ |
| 4 | Santa Clara | 30.9 | 2.90\% | \$869,883 |  |  | 2.91\% | \$866,029 | \$866,029 | (\$3,854) |
| 2 | Santa Cruz | 5.2 | 0.49\% | \$146,710 |  |  | 0.49\% | \$146,060 | \$146,060 | (\$650) |
| 2 | Shasta | 6.2 | 0.58\% | \$174,268 |  |  | 0.58\% | \$173,496 | \$173,496 | (\$772) |
| 1 | Sierra | 0.1 | 0.01\% | \$2,864 | x | \$25,000 |  |  | \$25,000 | \$22,136 |

## Court Reporter Funding: FY 2024-25 Allocations

| Cluster | Initial Allocation of \$30M based on Noncriminal AJN |  |  |  | Allocation of \$30M with Funding Floor of \$25,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Court | Noncriminal AJN * | Proportion of Statewide AJN | Proportion of $\$ 30 \mathrm{M}$ | Funding Floor Court? | Floor Funding | Revised AJN Proportion for Non-floor Courts | Allocation of Non floor Funding | Final Allocation | Change with Floor |
|  | Statewide | 1,067 | 100\% | \$30,000,000 |  | \$275,000 |  | \$29,725,000 | \$30,000,000 | \$0 |
| 2 | Siskiyou | 1.5 | 0.14\% | \$42,968 |  |  | 0.14\% | \$42,778 | \$42,778 | (\$190) |
| 3 | Solano | 11.0 | 1.03\% | \$308,123 |  |  | 1.03\% | \$306,758 | \$306,758 | $(\$ 1,365)$ |
| 3 | Sonoma | 10.8 | 1.01\% | \$304,216 |  |  | 1.02\% | \$302,868 | \$302,868 | $(\$ 1,348)$ |
| 3 | Stanislaus | 14.1 | 1.32\% | \$395,570 |  |  | 1.32\% | \$393,817 | \$393,817 | $(\$ 1,752)$ |
| 2 | Sutter | 3.0 | 0.28\% | \$83,779 |  |  | 0.28\% | \$83,408 | \$83,408 | (\$371) |
| 2 | Tehama | 2.3 | 0.22\% | \$65,022 |  |  | 0.22\% | \$64,733 | \$64,733 | (\$288) |
| 1 | Trinity | 0.7 | 0.06\% | \$18,668 | x | \$25,000 |  |  | \$25,000 | \$6,332 |
| 3 | Tulare | 13.3 | 1.24\% | \$373,261 |  |  | 1.25\% | \$371,607 | \$371,607 | $(\$ 1,654)$ |
| 2 | Tuolumne | 1.9 | 0.18\% | \$54,387 |  |  | 0.18\% | \$54,146 | \$54,146 | (\$241) |
| 3 | Ventura | 18.0 | 1.68\% | \$505,389 |  |  | 1.69\% | \$503,150 | \$503,150 | $(\$ 2,239)$ |
| 2 | Yolo | 5.3 | 0.50\% | \$149,071 |  |  | 0.50\% | \$148,410 | \$148,410 | (\$660) |
| 2 | Yuba | 2.5 | 0.23\% | \$69,763 |  |  | 0.23\% | \$69,454 | \$69,454 | (\$309) |

* Assessed Judicial Need (AJN) based on the updated 2022 data.

Noncriminal case types: Civil, Family, Juvenile, Probate, Mental Health Criminal case types: Felony, Misdemeanors, Infractions

# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA <br> BUDGET SERVICES <br> Report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (Action Item) 

# Trial Court Budget: Self-Help Program Allocation for 2024-25 through 202627 

Date: 4/9/2024
Contact: Melanie Snider, Supervising Attorney
Center for Families, Children \& the Courts
melanie.snider@jud.ca.gov | 916-263-5442

## Issue

Consideration of the fiscal year 2024-25 allocation for the $\$ 30.3$ million ongoing appropriation for the Self-Help Program. The approved recommendation will be considered by the Judicial Council at its July 11-12, 2024, business meeting.

## Background

The Self-Help Program was expanded in fiscal year 2018-19 from $\$ 11.2$ million annually to $\$ 30.3$ million. The expansion was used by courts to serve the public by increasing both attorney and nonattorney staff including bilingual staff; opening new self-help centers; and expanding services to landlord-tenant, consumer debt, and guardianship cases. Fiscal year 2018-19 funds were allocated to courts using the population-based formula that was used in previous years. In September 2018, the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee made the following new policy recommendations that were approved by the Judicial Council ${ }^{1}$ for the fiscal year 2019-20 allocation and ongoing:

1. Adopt a three-year population update schedule using rolling three-year-average census data;
2. Provide annual population updates using rolling three-year-average data for informational purposes only; and
3. Maintain the current self-help allocation baseline of $\$ 34,000$ per court.

This new funding methodology was applied for three years and is now scheduled for an update for fiscal year 2024-25.

## Recommendation

The Center for Families, Children \& the Courts recommends the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee approve the Self-Help Program funding for the fiscal year 2024-25 allocation and ongoing as set forth below:

[^17]Recommended Self-Help Program Funding Allocation for Fiscal Year 2024-25 and Ongoing

| County | Total Population* | \% of State <br> Population | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & \mathbf{\$ 3 4 , 0 0 0} \end{aligned}$ | TCTF SelfHelp Funding | IMF SelfHelp Funds | Total Self-Help Allocation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | C | D | $\begin{gathered} E= \\ (C * 23,328,000) \\ +D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F= \\ C * 5,000,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{G}= \\ \mathbf{E}+\mathbf{F} \end{gathered}$ |
| Alameda | 1,650,181 | 4.216\% | \$34,000 | \$1,017,456 | \$210,789 | \$1,228,245 |
| Alpine | 1,193 | 0.00\% | 34,000 | 34,711 | 152 | 34,863 |
| Amador | 40,140 | 0.10\% | 34,000 | 57,922 | 5,127 | 63,050 |
| Butte | 204,613 | 0.52\% | 34,000 | 155,943 | 26,137 | 182,080 |
| Calaveras | 45,063 | 0.12\% | 34,000 | 60,856 | 5,756 | 66,612 |
| Colusa | 21,784 | 0.06\% | 34,000 | 46,982 | 2,783 | 49,765 |
| Contra Costa | 1,155,177 | 2.95\% | 34,000 | 722,449 | 147,559 | 870,008 |
| Del Norte | 27,137 | 0.07\% | 34,000 | 50,173 | 3,466 | 53,639 |
| El Dorado | 190,175 | 0.49\% | 34,000 | 147,338 | 24,292 | 171,631 |
| Fresno | 1,010,668 | 2.58\% | 34,000 | 636,326 | 129,099 | 765,426 |
| Glenn | 28,725 | 0.07\% | 34,000 | 51,119 | 3,669 | 54,788 |
| Humboldt | 134,923 | 0.34\% | 34,000 | 114,410 | 17,235 | 131,644 |
| Imperial | 179,431 | 0.46\% | 34,000 | 140,935 | 22,920 | 163,855 |
| Inyo | 18,952 | 0.05\% | 34,000 | 45,295 | 2,421 | 47,716 |
| Kern | 908,204 | 2.32\% | 34,000 | 575,261 | 116,011 | 691,272 |
| Kings | 151,367 | 0.39\% | 34,000 | 124,210 | 19,335 | 143,545 |
| Lake | 67,286 | 0.17\% | 34,000 | 74,100 | 8,595 | 82,695 |
| Lassen | 29,894 | 0.08\% | 34,000 | 51,816 | 3,819 | 55,634 |
| Los Angeles | 9,851,257 | 25.17\% | 34,000 | 5,905,041 | 1,258,368 | 7,163,408 |
| Madera | 157,310 | 0.40\% | 34,000 | 127,752 | 20,094 | 147,846 |
| Marin | 256,535 | 0.66\% | 34,000 | 186,887 | 32,769 | 219,656 |
| Mariposa | 17,015 | 0.04\% | 34,000 | 44,141 | 2,173 | 46,314 |
| Mendocino | 89,944 | 0.23\% | 34,000 | 87,604 | 11,489 | 99,093 |
| Merced | 283,850 | 0.73\% | 34,000 | 203,166 | 36,258 | 239,424 |
| Modoc | 8,608 | 0.02\% | 34,000 | 39,130 | 1,100 | 40,229 |
| Mono | 13,278 | 0.03\% | 34,000 | 41,913 | 1,696 | 43,609 |
| Monterey | 433,268 | 1.11\% | 34,000 | 292,214 | 55,344 | 347,559 |
| Napa | 136,111 | 0.35\% | 34,000 | 115,118 | 17,386 | 132,505 |
| Nevada | 101,294 | 0.26\% | 34,000 | 94,368 | 12,939 | 107,307 |
| Orange | 3,156,317 | 8.06\% | 34,000 | 1,915,066 | 403,178 | 2,318,244 |
| Placer | 408,949 | 1.04\% | 34,000 | 277,721 | 52,238 | 329,959 |
| Plumas | 19,171 | 0.05\% | 34,000 | 45,425 | 2,449 | 47,874 |
| Riverside | 2,433,115 | 6.22\% | 34,000 | 1,484,060 | 310,798 | 1,794,859 |
| Sacramento | 1,576,565 | 4.03\% | 34,000 | 973,583 | 201,385 | 1,174,969 |
| San Benito | 65,305 | 0.17\% | 34,000 | 72,920 | 8,342 | 81,261 |
| San Bernardino | 2,184,021 | 5.58\% | 34,000 | 1,335,608 | 278,980 | 1,614,588 |
| San Diego | 3,281,855 | 8.38\% | 34,000 | 1,989,883 | 419,214 | 2,409,096 |


| County | Total <br> Population* | \% of State <br> Population | Base <br> $\mathbf{\$ 3 4 , 0 0 0}$ | TCTF Self- <br> Help Funding | IMF Self- <br> Help Funds | Total <br> Self-Help <br> Allocation |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Francisco | 841,311 | $2.15 \%$ | 34,000 | 535,395 | 107,466 | $\mathbf{6 4 2 , 8 6 1}$ |
| San Joaquin | 784,272 | $2.00 \%$ | 34,000 | 501,401 | 100,180 | $\mathbf{6 0 1 , 5 8 2}$ |
| San Luis Obispo | 279,593 | $0.71 \%$ | 34,000 | 200,629 | 35,714 | $\mathbf{2 3 6 , 3 4 3}$ |
| San Mateo | 744,634 | $1.90 \%$ | 34,000 | 477,779 | 95,117 | $\mathbf{5 7 2 , 8 9 6}$ |
| Santa Barbara | 443,132 | $1.13 \%$ | 34,000 | 298,093 | 56,604 | $\mathbf{3 5 4 , 6 9 7}$ |
| Santa Clara | $1,896,185$ | $4.84 \%$ | 34,000 | $1,164,067$ | 242,213 | $\mathbf{1 , 4 0 6 , 2 7 9}$ |
| Santa Cruz | 265,056 | $0.68 \%$ | 34,000 | 191,965 | 33,857 | $\mathbf{2 2 5 , 8 2 2}$ |
| Shasta | 180,662 | $0.46 \%$ | 34,000 | 141,669 | 23,077 | $\mathbf{1 6 4 , 7 4 6}$ |
| Sierra | 3,216 | $0.01 \%$ | 34,000 | 35,916 | 411 | $\mathbf{3 6 , 3 2 7}$ |
| Siskiyou | 43,770 | $0.11 \%$ | 34,000 | 60,085 | 5,591 | $\mathbf{6 5 , 6 7 6}$ |
| Solano | 446,985 | $1.14 \%$ | 34,000 | 300,389 | 57,096 | $\mathbf{3 5 7 , 4 8 5}$ |
| Sonoma | 481,751 | $1.23 \%$ | 34,000 | 321,108 | 61,537 | $\mathbf{3 8 2 , 6 4 6}$ |
| Stanislaus | 549,047 | $1.40 \%$ | 34,000 | 361,215 | 70,134 | $\mathbf{4 3 1 , 3 4 9}$ |
| Sutter | 99,002 | $0.25 \%$ | 34,000 | 93,002 | 12,646 | $\mathbf{1 0 5 , 6 4 8}$ |
| Tehama | 64,899 | $0.17 \%$ | 34,000 | 72,678 | 8,290 | $\mathbf{8 0 , 9 6 8}$ |
| Trinity | 16,004 | $0.04 \%$ | 34,000 | 43,538 | 2,044 | $\mathbf{4 5 , 5 8 2}$ |
| Tulare | 474,703 | $1.21 \%$ | 34,000 | 316,908 | 60,637 | $\mathbf{3 7 7 , 5 4 5}$ |
| Tuolumne | 54,891 | $0.14 \%$ | 34,000 | 66,713 | 7,012 | $\mathbf{7 3 , 7 2 5}$ |
| Ventura | 833,133 | $2.13 \%$ | 34,000 | 530,521 | 106,422 | $\mathbf{6 3 6 , 9 4 3}$ |
| Yolo | 219,761 | $0.56 \%$ | 34,000 | 164,970 | 28,072 | $\mathbf{1 9 3 , 0 4 2}$ |
| Yuba | 82,313 | $0.21 \%$ | 34,000 | 83,056 | 10,514 | $\mathbf{9 3 , 5 7 1}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 9 , 1 4 2 , 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\$ 1,972,000$ | $\$ 25,300,000$ | $\$ 5,000,000$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 , 3 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
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[^13]:    ${ }^{3}$ Due to downward trends in dependency filings, it is likely that the small-court adjustments will apply to more courts, which may result in some small courts receiving increased funding despite drops in caseloads.

[^14]:    Note: Allocations are based on filings data obtained from the Office of Court Research and caseload data obtained from the California Child Welfare

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Senate Bill 129 allowed the Judicial Council to retain up to 5 percent ( $\$ 1.05$ million) of the $\$ 70$ million for costs associated with implementing, supporting, and evaluating pretrial programs across the state. In the Budget Act of 2023, the $\$ 1.05$ million allocated to the Judicial Council was moved to item 0250-001-0001 and the original $\$ 70$ million allocated to pretrial release was reduced to $\$ 68.95$ million.
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