



Supreme Court of California
350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA 94102-4797
www.courts.ca.gov/supremecourt

NEWS RELEASE

Contact: [Cathal Conneely](mailto:Cathal.Conneely@courts.ca.gov), 415-865-7740

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

July 21, 2017

Summary of Cases Accepted and Related Actions During Week of July 17, 2017

[This news release is issued to inform the public and the press of cases that the Supreme Court has accepted and of their general subject matter. The statement of the issue or issues in each case set out below does not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]

#17-226 *In re A.N., S242494.* (B275914; 11 Cal.App.5th 403; Ventura County Superior Court; 2015040294.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order in a juvenile truancy wardship proceeding. This case presents the following issues: (1) Is the utilization of a school attendance review board or truancy mediation process required before juvenile court proceedings can be instituted to declare a juvenile a habitual truant? (2) Does Education Code section 48264.5 require the issuance of a fourth truancy report before commencing such a proceeding?

#17-227 *People v. Audinette, S241699.* (B267258; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; MA064178.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed judgments of conviction of criminal offenses.

#17-228 *People v. Caudillo, S242541.* (C077673; nonpublished opinion; Sutter County Superior Court; CRF131501, CRF131591.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed judgments of conviction of criminal offenses.

The court ordered briefing in *Audinette* and *Caudillo* deferred pending decision in *People v. Mendoza, S241647* (#17-208), which presents the following issue: Are the provisions of Proposition 57 that eliminated the direct filing of certain juvenile cases in adult court applicable to cases not yet final on appeal?

#17-229 *People v. Contreras, S242621.* (C081681; nonpublished opinion; San Joaquin County Superior Court; STKCRFE20130007355, SF124692.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order denying a petition to recall sentence.

#17-230 *People v Harrell*, S242518. (B275532; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; BA400374-01.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed the denial of a post-conviction motion in a criminal case.

The court ordered briefing in *Contreras* and *Harrell* deferred pending decision in *People v. Valenzuela*, S232900 (#16-97), which presents the following issue: Is a defendant eligible for resentencing on the penalty enhancement for serving a prior prison term on a felony conviction after the superior court has reclassified the underlying felony as a misdemeanor under the provisions of Proposition 47?

#

The Supreme Court of California is the state's highest court and its decisions are binding on all other California state courts. The court's primary role is to decide matters of statewide importance and to maintain uniformity in the law throughout California by reviewing matters from the six districts of the California Courts of Appeal and the fifty-eight county superior courts (the trial courts). Among its other duties, the court also decides all capital appeals and related matters and reviews both attorney and judicial disciplinary matters.