



Supreme Court of California
350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA 94102-4797
www.courts.ca.gov/supremecourt

NEWS RELEASE

Contact: [Cathal Conneely](mailto:Cathal.Conneely@courts.ca.gov), 415-865-7740

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

November 2, 2018

Summary of Cases Accepted and Related Actions During Week of October 29, 2018

[This news release is issued to inform the public and the press of cases that the Supreme Court has accepted and of their general subject matter. The statement of the issue or issues in each case set out below does not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]

#18-150 *People v. Long*, S250773. (C076292, C077406, C078221; nonpublished opinion; Yolo County Superior Court; CRF110002374.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *People v. Franco*, S233963 (#16-218), which presents the following issue: For the purpose of the distinction between felony and misdemeanor forgery, is the value of an uncashed forged check the face value (or stated value) of the check or only the intrinsic value of the paper it is printed on?

DISPOSITIONS

Review in the following case was dismissed in light of *People v. DeHoyos* (2018) 4 Cal.5th 594:

#15-179 <i>People v. Lopez</i>, S228372.	(H040726; 238 Cal.App.4th 177, mod. 238 Cal.App.4th 999b; Santa Clara County Superior Court; C1235752, C1242237)
---	--

Review in the following case was dismissed in light of *Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, LLP v. J-M Manufacturing Co., Inc.* (2018) 6 Cal.5th 59:

#16-406 <i>Borisoff v. Pullman Group</i>, S237730.	(B259675; nonpublished opinion; Los Angeles County Superior Court; BC454901.)
---	---

STATUS

In the following case, in which briefing was previously deferred pending decision in *People v. Buycks* (2018) 5 Cal.5th 857, the court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *People v. Lara*, S243975 (#17-289), which presents the following issue: Does Penal Code section 490.2, added by Proposition 47, effective November 5, 2014, apply directly (i.e., without a petition under Penal Code section 1170.18) in trial and sentencing proceedings held after Proposition 47's effective date, where the charged offense was allegedly committed before Proposition 47's effective date?

#16-341 <i>People v. Jewkes</i> , S236685.	(C079556; nonpublished opinion; Butte County Superior Court; CM042665)
--	--

###

The Supreme Court of California is the state's highest court and its decisions are binding on all other California state courts. The court's primary role is to decide matters of statewide importance and to maintain uniformity in the law throughout California by reviewing matters from the six districts of the California Courts of Appeal and the fifty-eight county superior courts (the trial courts). Among its other duties, the court also decides all capital appeals and related matters and reviews both attorney and judicial disciplinary matters.