



**NEWS RELEASE**

Contact: [Peter Allen](mailto:peter.allen@courts.ca.gov), 415-865-7738

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE**

December 21, 2012 (S.C. 51/12)

---

## Summary of Cases Accepted and Related Actions for Week of December 17, 2012

*[This news release is issued to inform the public and the press of cases that the Supreme Court has accepted and of their general subject matter. The statement of the issue or issues in each case set out below does not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]*

**#12-121 *Goodridge v. KDF Automotive Group, Inc., S206153.*** (D060269; 209 Cal.App.4th 325; San Diego County Superior Court; 37-2010-00105355-CU-CO-CTL.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed an order denying a petition to compel arbitration in a civil action. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *Sanchez v. Valencia Holding Co. LLC*, S199119 (#12-33), which includes the following issue: Does the Federal Arbitration Act (9 U.S.C. § 2), as interpreted in *AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion* (2011) 563 U. S. \_\_ [131 S.Ct. 1740], preempt state law rules invalidating mandatory arbitration provisions in a consumer contract as procedurally and substantively unconscionable?

**#12-122 *People v. Hernandez, S206072.*** (B235289; nonpublished opinion; Ventura County Superior Court ; 2008044775.) Petition for review after the Court of Appeal modified and affirmed a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses. The court ordered briefing deferred pending decision in *People v. McCullough*, S192513 (#11-80), which presents the following issue: Did defendant forfeit his claim that he was unable to pay the \$270.17 jail booking fee (Gov. Code, § 29550.2) imposed by the trial court at sentencing, because he failed to object at the time?

### **DISPOSITION**

The following cases were transferred for reconsideration in light of *In re Greg F.* (2012) 55 Cal.4th 393:

**#11-131 *In re L.L., S196866.***

**#11-141 *In re Juan D., S197299.***

**STATUS**

*People v. Edwards, S073316*. The court requested the parties in this automatic appeal to submit simultaneous letter briefs discussing the effect, if any, of *Williams v. Illinois* (2012) \_\_ U.S. \_\_ [132 S.Ct. 2221], and *People v. Dungo* (2012) 55 Cal.4th 608, on the issues in this case.

###