

Send to:  
 Multi Option ADR Project – SMC 127  
 400 County Center  
 Redwood City, CA 94063-1655

**San Mateo County Superior Court  
 Multi-Option Appropriate Dispute Resolution Project**

**FAMILY LAW ADR PROGRAM  
ATTORNEY EVALUATION**

Please submit evaluation by mail or fax within 10 days  
 Telephone: (650) 599-1070 Fax: (650) 599-1754

**This confidential information is used to assess the program, to track quality, and to provide feedback to neutrals. Information may be aggregated for blind statistical reports to the Judicial Council, the Court and the community. We appreciate your cooperation.**

**Case Name:**

**Case Number:**

1. I am:  Petitioner's attorney  Respondent's attorney  Other: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Process used in case:  Mediation  Binding Arbitration
3. Issues:  Custody/Visitation  Child Support  Spousal Support  
 Property  Other: \_\_\_\_\_
4. The session was held:  at the court with Monica Rands-Preuss  at the attorney/neutral's office
5. Please indicate if any issues in the case were resolved:  Fully  Partially  Not resolved
6. If issues were resolved, how much of a factor ADR was in reaching those agreements?  
 (Not a factor) 1 2 3 4 5 (Very much a factor)
7. Length of ADR Session: \_\_\_\_\_ hours. Number of sessions: \_\_\_\_\_
8. In your opinion, using ADR in this case:  Reduced  Increased costs for each party (separate from any mediator fees) by:  Under \$1,000  \$1—3,000  \$3—5,000  
 \$5—10,000  \$10—20,000  \$20—30,000  \$30,000 or more
9. In your opinion, using the ADR process in this case:  Reduced court time  Increased court time.  
 How much? Please estimate the amount of court time which was reduced/increased as a result of parties going to ADR. (Consider the decrease/increase to motions, settlement conferences and trial time.)  
 1day or less  3-5 days  5-10 days  10+days

Please rate the following:

I disagree

I agree

10. This process was fair to all parties.	1	2	3	4	5
11. This process allowed all to be heard.	1	2	3	4	5
12. This process offered a safe, secure setting.	1	2	3	4	5
13. The neutral did not unduly pressure my client to agree.	1	2	3	4	5
14. The neutral encouraged practical solutions.	1	2	3	4	5
15. The neutral understood key issues.	1	2	3	4	5
16. I would use this neutral again.	1	2	3	4	5
17. I would use the ADR program again.	1	2	3	4	5
18. Parties communication improved during the process.	1	2	3	4	5
19. My client was prepared to discuss settlement.	1	2	3	4	5
20. I was prepared to discuss settlement.	1	2	3	4	5
21. The other side was prepared to discuss settlement.	1	2	3	4	5
22. My client was satisfied with the outcome.	1	2	3	4	5
23. I was satisfied with the outcome.	1	2	3	4	5
24. Parties came away with a better understanding of the case.	1	2	3	4	5
25. Parties clarified, resolved and/or eliminated some issues.	1	2	3	4	5

**PLEASE SEE REVERSE SIDE.**

