

1 DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669
City Attorney
2 WAYNE K. SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137
JEREMY M. GOLDMAN, State Bar #218888
3 Deputy City Attorneys
City Hall, Room 234
4 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102-4682
5 Telephone: (415) 554-6762
Facsimile: (415) 554-4699
6 E-Mail: jeremy.goldman@sfgov.org

7 Attorneys for Defendants
8 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
and SHERIFF VICKI HENNESSY
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 RIANA BUFFIN and CRYSTAL
14 PATTERSON, et al.,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 vs.

17 THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, VICKI HENNESSY in her
18 official capacity as the San Francisco
Sheriff, and KAMALA HARRIS, in her
19 official capacity as the California Attorney
General,

20 Defendants.
21

Case No. C15-04959 YGR

**SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF BY THE CITY AND
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING
PILOT PROJECT**

Hearing Date: To be set
Time: 2:00 p.m.
Place: Courtroom 1, Fourth Floor
Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

1 Pursuant to the Court’s August 11, 2016 Order, ECF No. 90, Defendant City and County of
2 San Francisco (“the City”) submits this supplemental brief regarding the pilot project in which the OR
3 Project uses a data-driven risk assessment tool to assist in formulating pretrial release
4 recommendations to the Superior Court.

5 The Laura and John Arnold Foundation developed the Public Safety Assessment pretrial risk
6 assessment tool (the “Tool”), and has made it available to certain jurisdictions, including San
7 Francisco, without charge. *See* Riker Decl. ¶¶ 2-3 & Exhs. A & B. The pilot project has been
8 operational in San Francisco since April 30, 2016. *Id.* ¶ 2. Based on a variety of factors about the
9 defendant, the Tool provides numerical scores regarding the risk of new criminal activity (NCA) and
10 of failure to appear (FTA), and includes a “flag” for a risk of new violent criminal activity (NVCA).
11 *Id.* ¶ 4 & Exh. C. A working group with representatives from the Superior Court, the Sheriff’s
12 Department, the District Attorney’s Office, the Public Defender’s Office, the San Francisco Pretrial
13 Diversion Project, and the San Francisco Bar Association (on behalf of Conflict Counsel) is
14 responsible for the development of a Decision Making Framework (DMF) for the use of the Tool. *Id.*
15 ¶¶ 5-6 & Exhs. D & E. In addition, the Foundation provides technical assistance and provides
16 approval of the San Francisco DMF and Court Report template. *Id.* ¶ 7.

17 As described in previous filings in this case, the OR Project prepares a “workup” for the court
18 to consider when deciding whether an arrestee should be released on his or her own recognizance.
19 *See, e.g.*, ECF No. 22 ¶¶ 3-5; ECF No. 71-4 ¶¶ 11-12. Previously the preparation of the workup
20 involved an interview process. *See id.* Under the pilot project, OR Project employees now prepare
21 information for the court using the Tool and the DMF. Riker Decl. ¶ 8. The Court Report, which
22 includes a recommendation about pretrial release, is included with the OR workup. *Id.*

23 Once the information is submitted to the court, eligible arrestees—those not charged with
24 certain categories of felonies, *see* Cal. Penal Code § 1270.1(a)—may obtain pre-arraignment release
25 on their own recognizance, if granted by the court. In other cases the court considers the information
26 when it sets the terms of pretrial release at or after arraignment. The court may accept or reject the
27 recommendation in the Court Report. Riker Decl. ¶ 8. The pilot project provides a new method for
28

1 the OR Project to use but does not alter the court's responsibility for making decisions about pretrial
2 release under California law.

3 Dated: August 15, 2016

4 DENNIS J. HERRERA
5 City Attorney
6 WAYNE K. SNODGRASS
7 JEREMY M. GOLDMAN
8 Deputy City Attorneys

9 By: /s/Jeremy M. Goldman
10 JEREMY M. GOLDMAN

11 Attorneys for Defendants
12 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
13 and SHERIFF VICKI HENNESSY
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28